



DTT STATE OF READINESS: PRESENTATION TO PARLIAMENTARY PORTFOLIO COMMITTEE

21 September 2011

TEAM



Nolo Letele

Patricia van Rooyen

Karen Willenberg

Calvo Mawela

Gerdus van Eeden




WHY ARE WE HERE?



- Until recently, analogue TV transmitters sent signals to analogue TV sets
- > Worldwide countries now are moving to a digital system
- ➤ In future, digital transmitters will send signals over the air to digital TVs
- ➤ A simple converter is a temporary step to make analogue TVs work with digital transmitters


THE PAST






APRIL 2012 – START OF PERFORMANCE PERIOD





Analogue TV



Digital TV

JANUARY 2014 – DUAL ILLUMINATION ENDS






Analogue TV

Digital TV

Analogue TV with converter

FUTURE: 2020





HOW HAS THIS HAPPENED ELSEWHERE?



- Switch on of digital transmitters generated demand for digital TVs
- Price of digital TVs are falling
 - In the future will be cheaper than an analogue TV
 - Like analogue cell phones today
- In the UK today, the majority of TVs are already digital
- Analogue TV sets being phased out
- Shana expressed concern that western countries will dump analogue TVs in Africa.

WHAT IS HAPPENING IN SOUTH AFRICA?



- > NO LAUNCH YET
- South Africa was first in Africa to plan for digital
- We've spent 10 years planning and anticipating launch of DTT
- Delays, delays and more delays!
- 10 years later other countries Kenya, Uganda, Zambia have already launched
- > We have yet to launch

THE COMPLICATED SOUTH AFRICA PLAN



- The current proposal unnecessarily complicates the process
- Takes a temporary device and makes it permanent by stipulating an STB with encryption
- Countries that have successfully migrated have not done this
- By 2020 these countries will be transmitting digital signals to digital TVs without an encrypted STB between the two.
- By 2020 South Africans will be stuck with encrypted STBs even when they have digital TVs
- This will increase costs as these encrypted STBs will have to be maintained and replaced when they fail – will government be forced to continue subsidies

INCREASED COSTS



- > Simple converter available at a price of R350
- Encrypted STB being proposed as South Africa's entry level free-to-air STB at a price of R700
- > This is double the price of a simple converter
- Impact of this difference in price is enormous when applied to millions of TV households

IMPACT OF INCREASED COSTS



- Proposal to adopt an encrypted STB instead of a simple converter adds the following costs:
 - An extra R1.225 billion on government subsidies
 - R525million to be paid by poorest TV households as a contribution to subsidy
 - An extra R1.75 billion by non subsidised TV households to purchase a encrypted STB

WHY ADD <u>R3.5 BILLION</u> TO THE COST OF SOUTH AFRICA'S DIGITAL MIGRATION?

WHAT COULD BE DONE?



Simple converter R350

Govt to fund the poor 100%

Poor households to pay 0%

Number of households subsidised 5 million

Total Govt subsidy

Contribution by poor households

Saving in subsidy = R1.225 billion

R1.225 billion

0

LOCAL MANUFACTURE



- Subsidy perfect vehicle to support local manufacture
 - Why not use saving in retail subsidy for direct subsidies at manufacturing level?
- Government to choose exactly who will receive contracts for simple converters
 - Emphasis on BEE and new entrants
- Unique, encrypted STB for SA only is detrimental to local manufacture
 - Complexity means no export market
 - Favours incumbents
- > Savings in subsidies could be used to assist new entrants

REASONS FOR THIS COMPLEX STB



- "Prevent subsidized STBs leaving the country"
 - Sale outside SA is a remote
 - Resale of subsidised STBs in South Africa a more realistic threat
- "Disable stolen boxes"
 - Disabling stolen boxes will not restore services to consumers who have had their STBs (and probably their TVs) stolen
 - Ability to disable mobile handsets has not discouraged theft
- "Ensure conformance"
 - Encryption does not guarantee conformance
 - More effective to implement strict conformance regime
- "e-government services"
 - STBs are household not personal devices
 - Cell phones preferred personal devices and one to one communication



- Introducing encrypted STBs will cause more delays
- > Integrating encryption is complex
- ➤ This complex process will take 6 12 months

Launch in April 2012 not even a remote possibility

BDM POLICY



- Policy amendment reflects Cabinet decision to launch DTT in April 2012
- Proposal to adopt an encrypted STB with complex control mechanism will render all Cabinet timelines meaningless
- Policy amendment process presents an opportunity to revisit outdated assumptions made in 2008
- We should not waste this opportunity

ICASA: DTT REGULATIONS



- ICASA proposing to "repeal and re-open" DTT regulations
- > Policy amendments do not require changes to the DTT regulations
- > Previous process took 2 full years to finalise
- > If we repeal and re-issue same delays likely
- Current regulations are adequate

IF DTT REGULATIONS REPEALED - NO-ONE WILL BE LAUNCHING IN 2012

M-NET STATE OF READINESS



- Cabinet deadline for switch-off 31 December 2013
- > M-Net committed to meet this date
- Planned to launch in August 2011
- ICASA requested M-Net not to launch until:
 - Amendments to policy finalised
 - ICASA gazettes performance period
- M-Net abided by ICASA's decision and did not launch

CONCLUSION



Success or failure within the given timelines will be determined by the cost and complexity of this process

- > Current SA plan:
 - Too costly
 - Increases potential for digital migration to fail
 - Will hold South Africa back
- We must revisit our approach, join other African countries and launch DTT



THANK YOU

