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## FOREWORD

Since my appointment as Statistician-General (SG) of the Republic of South Africa in 2000, it remained my aim to increase the stock of official statistics beyond statistics producing agencies such as Statistics South Africa (Stats SA), and to raise the profile and promote the use of official statistics. The creation of the Planning Commission, and the Performance Monitoring and Evaluation Departments in the Presidency demonstrates the importance of statistics in planning state programmes, monitoring their progress and evaluating their performance. With regard to statistical production, the Statistics Act (No. 6 of 1999) requires of the SG to coordinate statistical production beyond the confines of Stats SA. The National Statistical System (NSS) system was adopted with the express purpose of transforming the undesirable state of statistical production to:

1. meet user needs;
2. inform planning and decision-making; and
3. monitor the implementation of government programmes and projects.

To date, the Department of Science and Technology (DST) remains the only partner in the NSS who has managed to produce statistics following the prescripts of official statistics. As such, the DST has become a model for the type of relationship required to assist other NSS members to also contribute to the body of official statistics. In this regard, the DST continues to play a leading role in influencing the nature of the relationship between Stats SA and its partners in the NSS.

Furthermore, the DST has demonstrated that it recognises the significance of the Statistics Act in the national effort to provide credible numbers for the nation. In compliance with the provisions of the Statistics Act, the DST subjected the R\&D Survey to an independent assessment utilising the quality framework developed by Stats SA, namely "The South African Statistical Quality Assessment Framework" (SASQAF) that I gazetted in 2009. While the report on the quality assessment has yet to be published, the DST and its service provider, the HSRC, complied fully with the assessment procedure as specified in the "Procedure for designating statistics from organs of state as official statistics" and retained its status as official statistics. In
support of the NSS objective to strengthen the capacity for producing official statistics, I intend deploying resources from Stats SA to strengthen and support the quality improvement initiatives at the DST.

Given the strength of the relationship with the DST and the quality improvement initiatives supporting the R\&D Survey, I unequivocally endorse the 2008/09 R\&D Survey results and encourage its use by stakeholders.


Pali J Lehohla STATISTICIAN-GENERAL REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA


## Preface

The National R\&D survey has become a regular feature of South Africa's science and technology landscape. The Survey is conducted annually by the Human Sciences Research Council's Centre for Science, Technology and Innovation Indicators (CeSTII) on behalf of the Department of Science and Technology (DST).

The South African R\&D Survey collects data under strict conditions of confidentiality from institutions in the country that perform research and experimental development (R\&D). The data is then aggregated at sector level and provides essential information for planning at system and institutional level and also provides key indicators of national competitiveness. The R\&D Surveys involve the collection of primary data from the public and private sectors. The public sector includes universities, science councils and government department-based research institutes, while the private sector includes firms and non-profit organisations.

The R\&D Survey is carried out in accordance with international best practice as recommended by the guidelines of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Frascati Manual 2002. The results are submitted to the OECD and published in the authoritative biannual OECD Main Science and Technology Indicators (MSTI) series. They are also a component of South African official statistics as defined in the Statistics Act, 1999.

The 2008/09 R\&D survey recorded on R\&D Gross Expenditure on Research and Development (GERD) of R2 1,0 billion, which was a nominal increase of R2,4 billion from the R18,6 billion recorded for 2007/08. The 2008/09 expenditure represents a 13,0\% nominal increase over that of the previous year, but was insufficient to keep up with the increase in the nominal Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of 14,2\% over the corresponding period. As a consequence, R\&D expenditure, expressed as a percentage of GDP, dropped slightly from $0,93 \%$ in 2007/08 to 0,92\% in 2008/09. This means the increase in national R\&D expenditure for 2008/09 was not enough to reach the target of $1 \%$ of GDP set for this year.

The survey revealed some encouraging signs. Both the business and higher education sectors had real (after inflation) R\&D expenditure increases of $3,0 \%$ and $3,7 \%$
respectively. However, R\&D expenditure increases in the government sector (including the science councils) and non-profit sector were below the inflation rate. Nevertheless, in real terms, total R\&D expenditure in the country increased by 1,3\% between 2007/08 and 2008/09.

In view of government's commitment to greatly increase R\&D expenditure in the country this presents a challenge particularly in the current global economic climate. The number of full-time equivalent (FTE) researchers increased only very slightly from 19320 in 2007/08 to 19384 in 2008/09. However, because of the increase in total employment in South Africa the number of researchers per 1000 total employment is down from 1,5 in 2007/8 to 1,4 in 2008/09 and total R\&D personnel per 1000 total employment is down from 2,4 in 2007/08 to 2,2 in 2008/09.

We extend our appreciation to the CeSTII project team for their efforts in conducting this extensive survey each year. A special word of thanks goes to all the survey respondents in the higher education sector, science councils, and the government and non-profit sectors. The business sector plays a crucial role in South African R\&D and the many senior executives from this sector who give their time so readily to make this survey a success are sincerely thanked.

Mrs GNM Pander, MP MINISTER OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
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## Executive Summary

This section provides a brief summary of the key findings from the National Survey of Research and Experimental Development (R\&D), for the financial year 2008/09. It also includes data from the 2007/08 and 2006/07 surveys for comparison purposes.

Gross domestic expenditure on R\&D (GERD) amounted to R21.0 billion in 2008/09; an increase from R18.6 billion in 2007/08. This represented a nominal annual increase of $12.9 \%$ compared to the $12.7 \%$ increase between 2006/07 and 2007/08 and the $16.8 \%$ increase between 2005/06 and 2006/07. In real terms (at constant 2008 prices) GERD increased by $1.3 \%$ from 2007/08 to 2008/09. GERD expressed as a percentage of the gross domestic product (GDP), provides an indication of the concentration or intensity of R\&D in an economy - GERD as a percentage of the GDP decreased marginally from $0.93 \%$ in 2007/08 to $0.92 \%$ in 2008/09.

Table E1 provides a by-sector analysis of national inhouse or intramural R\&D which totalled R21.0 billion in 2008/09.

The contribution of government to GERD decreased from 6.2\% in 2007/08 to $5.4 \%$ in 2008/09. The contribution of science councils also decreased from $15.5 \%$ to $14.9 \%$ during the same period, while the contribution of the business sector increased from $57.7 \%$ to $58.6 \%$. The contribution of the higher education and not-for-profit organisations (NPO) sectors stayed relatively stable between the survey reference periods. The business sector expenditure on R\&D (BERD) amounted to R12.3 billion in 2008/09. This was followed by expenditure by higher education at R4.2 billion; science councils at R3.1 billion; government at R1.1 billion and NPOs at R240.6 million.

TABLE E1: TOTAL IN-HOUSE R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SECTOR (2008/09 AND 2007/08)*

| SECTOR | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Business enterprise | 12332012 | 58.6 | 10738456 | 57.7 |
| Government | 1139676 | 5.4 | 1154399 | 6.2 |
| Higher education | 4191366 | 19.9 | 3621862 | 19.4 |
| NPOs | 240649 | 1.1 | 223202 | 1.2 |
| Science councils | 3137343 | 14.9 | 2886094 | 15.5 |
| Total GERD | 21041046 | 100 | 18624013 | 100 |

*Subject to rounding error

The higher education sector employed the largest portion of R\&D personnel (headcounts) in 2008/09 at 53.0\% (Table E2). This was followed by the business sector (31.6\%), science councils (9.5\%), government (5.0\%) and NPOs (0.9\%). South Africa had a total of 1.4 full-time equivalents (FTEs) researchers per 1000 total employment in 2008/09 and 1.5 FTEs in 2007/08. Compared to other countries this
key indicator remains at a relatively low level. The indicator needs to be monitored given the importance of the goal of increasing the number of science and engineering graduates in the country. The number of women researchers as a percentage of total researchers in South Africa has decreased marginally from 40.3\% in 2007/08 to $39.7 \%$ in 2008/09; the same as the 2006/07 figure (39.7\%).

TABLE E2: HEADCOUNT OF R\&D PERSONNEL BY SECTOR (2008/09)*

| SECTOR | RESEARCHERS | TECHNICIANS | OTHER PERSONNEL DIRECTLY <br> SUPPORTING R\&D | TOTAL | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Business | 8560 | 5584 | 4451 | 18595 | 31.6 |
| Government | 1169 | 744 | 1050 | 2963 | 5.0 |
| Higher education* | 27316 | 2054 | 1856 | 31226 | 53.0 |
| NPOs | 262 | 77 | 163 | 502 | 0.9 |
| Science councils | 2648 | 1302 | 1659 | 5609 | 9.5 |
| Total | 39955 | 9761 | 9179 | 58895 | 100 |

*Including doctoral and postdoctoral students

Engineering sciences was the research field with the highest proportion of R\&D expenditure. R\&D expenditure in this field increased from $22.5 \%$ of total R\&D expenditure in 2007/08 to $24.4 \%$ in 2008/09.
Engineering sciences was followed by medical sciences which accounted for $14.9 \%$ of expenditure and computer and communication technologies which accounted for $13.1 \%$. Social sciences and the humanities collectively captured 12.5\% of expenditure at the level recorded for 2007/08 (12.4\%).

Detailed findings are outlined in the chapters as follows:

Chapter 1: Introduction to the Survey
Chapter 2: Business Sector
Chapter 3: Government Sector
Chapter 4: Higher Education Sector
Chapter 5: Not-for-profit Sector
Chapter 6: Science Council Sector

## Chapter 1

## Introduction to the Survey

### 1.1 Background to the Survey

The National Survey of Research and Experimental Development ( $R \& D$ ) is conducted annually by the Centre for Science, Technology and Innovation Indicators (CeSTII) and the results are submitted to the Department of Science and Technology (DST) and Statistics South Africa (Stats SA) for approval. The results of this survey have been endorsed by the Statistician General (SG) as official statistics.

The survey measures inputs into R\&D. The indicators and data tables provided in this report comprise i) the main subset of the science and technology (S\&T) indicators and ii) data tables specified for R\&D Surveys by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). The main results of the survey are annually submitted to the OECD for inclusion in publications such as the OECD Main S\&T Indicators (MSTI) and the accompanying OECD Science, Technology and Industry Scoreboard. The Directorate for Science, Technology and Industry of the OECD provides ongoing advice on the R\&D Survey and related work at CeSTII. Through this process, the South African R\&D Survey results have become compliant with international best practice, as recommended by the OECD, and are comparable to the R\&D Survey results of other OECD countries.

### 1.2 Methodology

The survey methodology follows the Frascati Manual guidelines. The manual defines R\&D as follows:

Research and Experimental Development (R\&D) is creative work undertaken on a systematic basis in order to increase the stock of knowledge, including knowledge of humanity, culture and society, and the use of this stock of knowledge to devise new applications (OECD 2002).

Following the Frascati Manual, the survey covered the following sectors:

- The business enterprise sector, comprising large, medium and small enterprises, including state-owned enterprises. Note that in accordance with OECD methodology, state-owned enterprises (SOEs) were also included.
- The government sector, comprising departments in the three tiers of national, provincial and local government with an R\&D component, government research institutions and museums.
- The higher education sector, comprising all public higher education institutions and one private higher education institution with an R\&D component.
- The not-for-profit sector, comprising nongovernmental and other organisations formally registered as not-for-profit organisations.
- The science council sector, comprising the nine science councils established through Acts of Parliament.

The survey data was collected using a questionnaire that was largely common across the five sectors. The work of the survey relied on appropriate sector sampling methodologies, sector-specific questionnaires and the database in which the data was captured, namely the Survey Management and Results System (SMRS).

The sectors were surveyed during the period October 2009 to March 2010. The survey covered expenditure in the year beginning 1 April 2008 and ending 31 March 2009 for science councils and all government departments. The business enterprise and NPO sectors collected data for the financial year ending 28 February 2009 (or the closest complete financial year). For higher education, this was the academic (calendar) year 2008. The bulk of R\&D activities recorded for all sectors thus occurred during 2008 or in the 2008/09 fiscal year.

Questionnaires were administered and returned either by post, in face-to-face interviews, electronically or telephonically. Telephone calls were used for followups and verification of information recorded in the questionnaires when required. Where necessary, organisations were also assisted in completing and returning their questionnaires.

As in previous R\&D Surveys, the lists of research fields (RF) and socio-economic objectives (SEO) were compatible with the systems used by OECD countries. The standard industrial classification (SIC) codes that were used are those provided by Stats SA.

The sampling methods of the various sectors are briefly outlined below:

- For the business sector a purposive sample was drawn from the business register, developed and maintained by CeSTII since 2002. A variety of sources was used to update and build the business register on a regular basis. All known and likely R\&D performers were included in the sample.
- Government departments were surveyed using a census approach. All national government departments, associated research institutions and museums performing R\&D at national, provincial and local levels were accordingly included in the government sector sample.
- Higher education institutions, namely universities, universities of science and technology, institutes of education and private higher education institutions were included in the higher education sector sample. All public higher education institutions were sampled through a census survey, while one private institution was surveyed purposively.
- Non-governmental and other organisations formally registered as not-for-profit organisations were surveyed through purposive sampling.
- The nine statutory science councils, as established through Acts of Parliament, were surveyed following the census approach - all science councils were thus surveyed.

The R\&D survey is currently undergoing a SASQAF review process which requires compliance with the
following criteria for data quality on official statistics:

1. Statistics collected must go beyond the needs of the producer and be of value to other users.
2. The data series must be sustainable.
3. The producing agency must be a member of the National Statistics System (NSS).

Thereafter a Data Quality Assessment Team (DQAT) will assess the relevant documentation (including metadata) submitted to them for review. The DQAT will review the statistics against quality criteria contained in SASQAF namely, prerequisites of quality, relevance, accuracy, timeliness, accessibility, interpretability, coherence, methodological soundness, and integrity. Once assessed the DQAT compiles a report to inform the SG on the quality of the statistics. The SG then produces a quality statement pronouncing on whether the statistics are classified as official.

### 1.3 Key Indicators

The data tables and indicators provided in this section comprise the main subset of S\&T indicators used for R\&D Surveys by the OECD. Standard economic and key R\&D indicators are provided in Tables 1.1 and 1.2, which are followed by summary tables that combine the data for all the five sectors surveyed. Individual sector reports follow in subsequent chapters.

South Africa's gross domestic product (GDP) increased by R285 billion from 2007/08 to 2008/09 in nominal terms (Table 1.1). Slight increases were recorded in total employment and industrial employment.
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TABLE 1.1: ECONOMIC INDICATORS FOR 2008/09 AND 2007/08

|  | VALUE | VALUE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| INDICATOR | $2007 / 08$ |  |
| GDP: Current prices (Rand million)* | 2283822 | 1999008 |
| Purchasing power parity (Rands per US\$) | 4.64 | 4.29 |
| Value added in industry (Rand million) | 2053487 | 1774972 |
| Implicit GDP price index (base year 2000 = 1.00) | 1.796 | 1.620 |
| National population (thousands) | 49668 | 49173 |
| Total employment (thousands) | 13713 | 132234 |
| Industrial employment (thousands) | 10409 | 10024 |

*For 2008/09 Stats SA P0441. Gross Domestic Product (GDP), 2nd Quarter 2010
*For 2007/08 Stats SA P0441. Gross Domestic Product (GDP), 2nd Quarter 2009.
Source for all other economic indicators: OECD Main Science and Technology Indicators (2009/2).

Table 1.2 sets out the latest key R\&D figures and indicators for South Africa and indicates that gross domestic expenditure on R\&D (GERD) amounted to R21 041 million in 2008/09. This was an increase of

R2 417 million from the previous survey round. GERD as a percentage of GDP was $0.92 \%$ in 2008/09, slightly down from the $0.93 \%$ recorded in 2007/08.

TABLE 1.2: KEY R\&D INDICATORS (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

|  | VALUE | VALUE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| INDICATOR | $2007 / 08$ |  |
| Gross domestic expenditure on R\&D (GERD) (Rand million) | 21041.0 | 18624.0 |
| GERD as a percentage of GDP | 0.92 | 0.93 |
| Total R\&D personnel (FTEs)a | 30802 | 31352 |
| Total researchers (FTEs)b | 19384 | 19320 |
| Total researchers per 1000 total employment (FTEs) | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Total R\&D personnel per 1000 total employment (FTEs) | 2.2 |  |
| Civil GERD as a percentage of GDP | 0.87 | 2.4 |
| Total researchers (headcount) | 39955 | 0.8 |
| Women researchers as a percentage of total researchers | 39.7 | 40084 |

a FTEs $=$ Full-time equivalents.
b Following OECD practice, doctoral students and post-doctoral fellows are included as researchers.

Tables 1.3 and 1.4 show R\&D expenditure by sector and types of research. The following tables (1.5 to 1.9) give detailed results for expenditure across a range of
areas for each sector. Tables 1.10 to 1.14 provide a breakdown of R\&D personnel.

TABLE 1.3: R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SECTOR 2008/09 (CURRENT PRICES, R’000)

| EXPENDITURE | BUSINESS <br> ENTERPRISE | GOVERNMENT | HIGHER <br> EDUCATION | NOT-FOR-PROFIT | SCIENCE COUNCILS | GERD |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CUrrent ( $\left.R^{\prime} 000\right)$ | 12332012 | 1139676 | 4191366 | 240649 | 3137343 | 21041046 |
| Percentage | 58.6 | 5.4 | 19.9 | 1.1 | 14.9 | 100 |

TABLE 1.4: GERD BY TYPE OF RESEARCH (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| TYPE OF RESEARCH | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Basic research | 4243156 | 20.2 | 3830806 | 20.6 | 3075263 | 18.6 |
| Applied research | 7013082 | 33.3 | 6373681 | 34.2 | 5794785 | 35.1 |
| Experimental development | 9784808 | 46.5 | 8419526 | 45.2 | 7650680 | 46.3 |
| Total | 21041046 | 100 | 18624013 | 100 | 16520729 | 100 |

TABLE 1.5: R\&D EXPENDITURE BY ACCOUNTING CATEGORY (2008/09)

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | BUSINESSENTERPRISE |  | GOVERNMENT |  | HIGHER EDUCATION |  | NOT-FOR-PROFIT |  | SCIENCE COUNCILS |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Capital expenditure on R\&D | 2658738 | 21.6 | 86936 | 7.6 | 281193 | 6.7 | 7249 | 3.0 | 383927 | 12.2 | 3418043 | 16.2 |
| Land: buildings and other structures | 207473 | 1.7 | 15908 | 1.4 | 38564 | 0.9 | 3137 | 1.3 | 61063 | 1.9 | 326145 | 1.6 |
| Vehicles, plant, machinery, equipment | 2451265 | 19.9 | 71028 | 6.2 | 242629 | 5.8 | 4112 | 1.7 | 322864 | 10.3 | 3091898 | 14.7 |
| Current expenditure | 9673274 | 78.4 | 1052740 | 92.4 | 3910173 | 93.3 | 233400 | 97.0 | 2753416 | 87.8 | 17623003 | 83.8 |
| Labour costs | 5279507 | 42.8 | 479810 | 42.1 | 1504542 | 35.9 | 114292 | 47.5 | 1283210 | 40.9 | 8661361 | 41.2 |
| Total cost of R\&D postgraduate students | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 532883 | 12.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 532883 | 2.5 |
| Other current expenditure | 4393767 | 35.6 | 572930 | 50.3 | 1872748 | 44.7 | 119108 | 49.5 | 1470206 | 46.9 | 8428759 | 40.1 |
| Total | 12332012 | 100 | 1139676 | 100 | 4191366 | 100 | 240649 | 100 | 3137343 | 100 | 21041046 | 100 |
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TABLE 1.6: R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SOURCE OF FUNDS (2008/09)*

| SOURCE OF FUNDS | BUSINESSENTERPRISE |  | GOVERNMENT |  | HIGHER EDUCATION |  | NOT-FOR-PROFIT |  | SCIENCE COUNCILS |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Own funds | 8130033 | 65.9 | 742954 | 65.2 | 1983683 | 47.3 | 9650 | 4.0 | 381137 | 12.1 | 11247457 | 53.5 |
| Internal resources | 8130033 | 65.9 | 742954 | 65.2 | 1983683 | 47.3 | 9650 | 4.0 | 381137 | 12.1 | 11247457 | 53.5 |
| Government | 2567140 | 20.8 | 325573 | 28.6 | 1242991 | 29.7 | 3271 | 13.6 | 2221321 | 70.8 | 6389736 | 30.4 |
| Grants | 1979423 | 16.1 | 323932 | 28.4 | N/A | N/A | 21724 | 9.0 | 1316975 | 42.0 | 3642054 | 17.3 |
| Contracts | 587717 | 4.8 | 1641 | 0.1 | N/A | N/A | 10987 | 4.6 | 904346 | 28.8 | 1504691 | 7.2 |
| All government, research agencies, agency funding and science councils | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1242991 | 29.7 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1242991 | 5.9 |
| Business | 209346 | 1.7 | 15980 | 1.4 | 454184 | 10.8 | 26591 | 11.0 | 137356 | 4.4 | 843457 | 4.0 |
| Local business | 209346 | 1.7 | 15980 | 1.4 | 454184 | 10.8 | 26591 | 11.0 | 137356 | 4.4 | 843457 | 4.0 |
| Other South African sources | 29460 | 0.2 | 1821 | 0.2 | 100470 | 2.4 | 28297 | 11.8 | 5521 | 0.2 | 165569 | 0.8 |
| Higher education | 2120 | 0.0 | 86 | 0.0 | 16704 | 0.4 | 3442 | 1.4 | 677 | 0.0 | 23029 | 0.1 |
| Not for profit organisations | 19160 | 0.2 | 278 | 0.0 | 36593 | 0.9 | 19473 | 8.1 | 2463 | 0.1 | 77967 | 0.4 |
| Individual donations | 8180 | 0.1 | 1457 | 0.1 | 47173 | 1.1 | 5382 | 2.2 | 2381 | 0.1 | 64573 | 0.3 |
| Foreign | 1396033 | 11.3 | 53348 | 4.7 | 410038 | 9.8 | 143400 | 59.6 | 392008 | 12.5 | 2394827 | 11.4 |
| Parent company | 56611 | 4.6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | וו1 | 2.7 |
| Foundations | 7015 | 0.1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 7015 | 0.0 |
| All sources | 822907 | 6.7 | 53348 | 4.7 | 410038 | 9.8 | 143400 | 59.6 | 392008 | 12.5 | 1821701 | 8.7 |
| Total | 12332012 | 100 | 1139676 | 100 | 4191366 | 100 | 240649 | 100 | 3137343 | 100 | 21041046 | 100 |

${ }^{*}$ N/A entered where specific source of funds was not asked of the relevant sector

TABLE 1.7: PROVINCIAL SPLIT OF R\&D (2008/09)*

| PROVINCE | BUSINESS ENTERPRISE |  | GOVERNMENT |  | HIGHER EDUCATION |  | NOT-FOR-PROFIT |  | SCIENCE COUNCILS |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Eastern Cape | 316089 | 2.6 | 107929 | 9.5 | 286605 | 6.8 | 6790 | 2.8 | 171669 | 5.5 | 889081 | 4.2 |
| Free State | 1213808 | 9.8 | 58697 | 5.2 | 226892 | 5.4 | 4763 | 2.0 | 58561 | 1.9 | 1562720 | 7.4 |
| Gauteng | 7131411 | 57.8 | 264273 | 23.2 | 1467914 | 35.0 | 126136 | 52.4 | 1991853 | 63.5 | 10981587 | 52.2 |
| KwaZulu-Natal | 1255509 | 10.2 | 115302 | 10.1 | 567999 | 13.6 | 40492 | 16.8 | 231033 | 7.4 | 2210336 | 10.5 |
| Limpopo | 75675 | 0.6 | 55252 | 4.8 | 86635 | 2.1 | 5138 | 2.1 | 63455 | 2.0 | 286157 | 1.4 |
| Mpumalanga | 201550 | 1.6 | 39103 | 3.4 | 72590 | 1.7 | 10332 | 4.3 | 55547 | 1.8 | 379123 | 1.8 |
| North-West | 7319 | 0.1 | 52907 | 4.6 | 68443 | 1.6 | 2159 | 0.9 | 43624 | 1.4 | 174453 | 0.8 |
| Northern Cape | 222630 | 1.8 | 70741 | 6.2 | 150125 | 3.6 | 2339 | 1.0 | 41541 | 1.3 | 487376 | 2.3 |
| Western Cape | 1908020 | 15.5 | 375473 | 32.9 | 1264162 | 30.2 | 42500 | 17.7 | 480059 | 15.3 | 4070214 | 19.3 |
| Total | 12332012 | 100 | 1139676 | 100 | 4191366 | 100 | 240649 | 100 | 3137343 | 100 | 21041046 | 100 |

[^0]TABLE 1.8: R\&D EXPENDITURE BY RESEARCH FIELD (2008/09)

| MAIN RESEARCH FIELD | BUSINESSENTERPRISE ENTERPRISE |  | GOVERNMENT |  | HIGHER EDUCATION |  | NOT-FOR-PROFIT |  | SCIENCE COUNCILS |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Natural sciences, technology \& engineering | 11902551 | 96.5 | 824394 | 75.7 | 2703975 | 65.9 | 72019 | 27.6 | 2916350 | 90.9 | 18419290 | 87.5 |
| Mathematical sciences | 183255 | 1.5 | 20704 | 1.8 | 151880 | 3.6 | 1041 | 0.4 | 40632 | 1.3 | 397512 | 1.9 |
| Physical sciences | 655898 | 5.3 | 45804 | 4.0 | 135002 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 115737 | 3.7 | 952441 | 4.5 |
| Chemical sciences | 859041 | 7.0 | 17009 | 1.5 | 136528 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 44271 | 1.4 | 1056848 | 5.0 |
| Earth sciences | 95034 | 0.8 | 163156 | 14.3 | 136955 | 3.3 | 1012 | 0.4 | 167463 | 5.3 | 563619 | 2.7 |
| Information, computer and communication | 2412430 | 19.6 | 22191 | 1.9 | 125413 | 3.0 | 1555 | 0.6 | 201731 | 6.4 | 2763320 | 13.1 |
| Applied sciences and technologies | 1671375 | 13.6 | 15852 | 1.4 | 78904 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 139267 | 4.4 | 1905397 | 9.1 |
| Engineering sciences | 3908347 | 31.7 | 11487 | 1.0 | 352114 | 8.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 863084 | 27.5 | 5135032 | 24.4 |
| Biological sciences | 162776 | 1.3 | 125152 | 11.0 | 282280 | 6.7 | 2126 | 0.9 | 171810 | 5.5 | 744144 | 3.5 |
| Agricultural sciences | 293357 | 2.4 | 200598 | 17.6 | 192265 | 4.6 | 19426 | 8.1 | 442060 | 14.1 | 1147706 | 5.5 |
| Medical and health sciences | 1509109 | 12.2 | 180260 | 15.8 | 966365 | 23.1 | 36032 | 15.0 | 447479 | 14.3 | 3139245 | 14.9 |
| Environmental sciences | 57764 | 0.5 | 11675 | 1.0 | 68869 | 1.6 | 8396 | 3.5 | 101920 | 3.2 | 248624 | 1.2 |
| Material sciences | 82192 | 0.7 | 640 | 0.1 | 68467 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 155529 | 5.0 | 306828 | 1.5 |
| Marine sciences | 11975 | 0.1 | 9866 | 0.9 | 8933 | 0.2 | 2431 | 1.0 | 25368 | 0.8 | 58574 | 0.3 |
| Division 2: Social sciences and humanities | 429461 | 3.5 | 315282 | 24.3 | 1487391 | 34.0 | 168631 | 72.4 | 220993 | 9.1 | 2621757 | 12.5 |
| Social sciences | 428969 | 3.5 | 268058 | 23.5 | 967204 | 23.1 | 165924 | 68.9 | 194646 | 6.2 | 2024801 | 9.6 |
| Humanities | 491 | 0.0 | 47225 | 4.1 | 520187 | 12.4 | 2707 | 1.1 | 26347 | 0.8 | 596956 | 2.8 |
| Total | 12332012 | 100 | 1139676 | 100 | 4191366 | 100 | 240650 | 100 | 3137343 | 100 | 21041047 | 100 |

TABLE 1.9: R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE (2008/09)

| SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE | BUSINESS ENTERPRISE |  | GOVERNMENT |  | HIGHER EDUCATION |  | NOT-FOR-PROFIT |  | SCIENCE COUNCILS |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Defence | 908781 | 7.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 5150 | 0.1 | 2050 | 0.9 | 280219 | 8.9 | 1196200 | 5.7 |
| Defence | 908781 | 7.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 5150 | 0.1 | 2050 | 0.9 | 280219 | 8.9 | 1196200 | 5.7 |
| Division 2: Economic development | 9737338 | 79.0 | 373251 | 32.8 | 1539535 | 36.7 | 69809 | 29.0 | 1592110 | 50.7 | 13312043 | 63.3 |
| Economic development unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 209400 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 209400 | 1.0 |
| Plant production and plant primary products | 266259 | 2.2 | 66503 | 5.8 | 153054 | 3.7 | 17520 | 7.3 | 349907 | 11.2 | 853243 | 4.1 |
| Animal production and animal primary products | 74302 | 0.6 | 78619 | 6.9 | 117255 | 2.8 | 972 | 0.4 | 18760 | 0.6 | 289908 | 1.4 |
| Mineral resources (excluding energy) | 839558 | 6.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 88576 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 67418 | 2.1 | 995552 | 4.7 |
| Energy resources | 732188 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 71648 | 1.7 | 1760 | 0.7 | 379859 | 12.1 | 1185455 | 5.6 |
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TABLE 1.9: R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE (2008/09) continued

| SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE | BUSINESS ENTERPRISE |  | GOVERNMENT |  | HIGHER EDUCATION |  | NOT-FOR-PROFIT |  | SCIENCE COUNCILS |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Energy supply | 393798 | 3.2 | 12387 | 1.1 | 106457 | 2.5 | 2575 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 515217 | 2.4 |
| Manufacturing | 2562745 | 20.8 | 320 | 0.0 | 210009 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 225227 | 7.2 | 2998301 | 14.2 |
| Construction | 1295717 | 10.5 | 2484 | 0.2 | 46175 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 116781 | 3.7 | 1461157 | 6.9 |
| Transport | 621479 | 5.0 | 12073 | 1.1 | 29517 | 0.7 | 74 | 0.0 | 41260 | 1.3 | 704403 | 3.3 |
| Information and communication services | 1151637 | 9.3 | 11965 | 1.0 | 87013 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 24146 | 0.8 | 1274761 | 6.1 |
| Commercial services | 1422123 | 11.5 | 2405 | 0.2 | 54604 | 1.3 | 827 | 0.3 | 19536 | 0.6 | 1499495 | 7.1 |
| Economic framework | 160562 | 1.3 | 105080 | 9.2 | 193599 | 4.6 | 39059 | 16.2 | 106105 | 3.4 | 604405 | 2.9 |
| Natural resources | 216971 | 1.8 | 81415 | 7.1 | 172228 | 4.1 | 7022 | 2.9 | 243111 | 7.7 | 720747 | 3.4 |
| Division 3: Society | 1019848 | 8.3 | 285961 | 25.1 | 1359797 | 32.4 | 141189 | 58.7 | 418385 | 13.3 | 3225180 | 15.3 |
| Society unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 209400 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 209400 | 1.0 |
| Health | 930645 | 7.5 | 74784 | 6.6 | 644763 | 15.4 | 37461 | 15.6 | 326340 | 10.4 | 2013993 | 9.6 |
| Education and training | 27232 | 0.2 | 127907 | 11.2 | 227502 | 5.4 | 32308 | 13.4 | 50525 | 1.6 | 465474 | 2.2 |
| Social development and community services | 61971 | 0.5 | 83270 | 7.3 | 278132 | 6.6 | 71420 | 29.7 | 41520 | 1.3 | 536313 | 2.5 |
| Division 4: Environment | 221747 | 1.8 | 99985 | 8.8 | 339148 | 8.1 | 6937 | 2.9 | 338290 | 10.8 | 1006107 | 4.8 |
| Environment unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 69800 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 69800 | 0.3 |
| Environmental knowledge | 91953 | 0.7 | 83429 | 7.3 | 135472 | 3.2 | 3406 | 1.4 | 173945 | 5.5 | 488205 | 2.3 |
| Environmental aspects of development | 31493 | 0.3 | 12424 | 1.1 | 72050 | 1.7 | 593 | 0.2 | 59943 | 1.9 | 176503 | 0.8 |
| Environmental and other aspects | 98301 | 0.8 | 4132 | 0.4 | 61826 | 1.5 | 2938 | 1.2 | 104402 | 3.3 | 271599 | 1.3 |
| Division 5: Advancement of knowledge | 444298 | 3.6 | 380480 | 33.4 | 947737 | 22.6 | 20663 | 8.6 | 508339 | 16.2 | 2301517 | 10.9 |
| Advancement of knowledge unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 209400 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 209400 | 1.0 |
| Natural sciences, technologies and engineering | 439330 | 3.6 | 333561 | 29.3 | 423469 | 10.1 | 486 | 0.2 | 407189 | 13.0 | 1604035 | 7.6 |
| Social sciences and humanities | 4968 | 0.0 | 46919 | 4.1 | 314868 | 7.5 | 20177 | 8.4 | 101150 | 3.2 | 488082 | 2.3 |
| Total | 12332012 | 100 | 1139677 | 100 | 4191367 | 100 | 240648 | 100 | 3137343 | 100 | 21041047 | 100 |

TABLE 1.10: R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT BY SECTOR (2008/09)*

| OCCUPATION | BUSINESS <br> ENTERPRISE | GOVERNMENT | HIGHER <br> EDUCATION* | NOT-FOR-PROFIT | SCIENCE <br> COUNCILS | TOTAL | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Researchers | 8560 | 1169 | 27316 | 262 | 2648 | 39955 | 67.8 |
| Technicians | 5584 | 744 | 2054 | 77 | 1302 | 9761 | 16.6 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D | 4451 | 1050 | 1856 | 163 | 1659 | 9179 | 15.6 |
| Total | 18595 | 2963 | 31226 | 502 | 5609 | 58895 | 100 |
| Percentage | 31.6 | 5.0 | 53.0 | 0.9 | 9.5 | 100 |  |

[^1]TABLE 1.11: R\&D PERSONNEL FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS (FTEs) BY SECTOR (2008/09)*

| OCCUPATION | BUSINESS <br> ENTERPRISE | GOVERNMENT | HIGHER <br> EDUCATION | NOT-FOR-PROFIT | SCIENCE <br> COUNCILS | TOTAL | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Researchers | 6172.0 | 805.0 | $9,953.1$ | 207.6 | 2246.7 | 19384.3 | 62.9 |
| Technicians | 3809.9 | 495.2 | 541.7 | 56.5 | 1119.1 | 6022.4 | 19.6 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D | 2510.6 | 773.7 | 674.2 | 102.3 | 1334.0 | 5394.8 | 17.5 |
| Total | 12492 | 2074 | 11169 | 366 | 4700 | 30802 | 100 |
| Percentage | 40.6 | 6.7 | 36.3 | 1.2 | 15.3 | 100 |  |

*Including doctoral and post-doctoral students

TABLE 1.12: EXPENDITURE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY AREAS OF R\&D (2008/09)

| MULTIDISCIPLINARY AREA OF R\&D | BUSINESS ENTERPRISE |  | GOVERNMENT |  | HIGHER EDUCATION |  | NOT-FOR-PROFIT |  | SCIENCE COUNCILS |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Biotechnology | 268923 | 2.2 | 21729 | 1.9 | 303483 | 7.2 | 255 | 0.1 | 207250 | 6.6 | 801640 | 3.8 |
| Nanotechnology | 56881 | 0.5 | 4652 | 0.4 | 153013 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 173834 | 5.5 | 388380 | 1.8 |
| Total | 325804 | 2.6 | 26381 | 2.3 | 456496 | 10.9 | 255 | 0.1 | 381084 | 12.1 | 1190020 | 5.7 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 12332012 | 100 | 1139676 | 100 | 4191366 | 100 | 240649 | 100 | 3137343 | 100 | 21041046 | 100 |

TABLE 1.13: EXPENDITURE ON R\&D IN SPECIFIC AREAS OF INTEREST (2008/09)*

| AREA OF INTEREST | BUSINESS ENTERPRISE |  | GOVERNMENT |  | HIGHER EDUCATION |  | NOT-FOR-PROFIT |  | SCIENCE COUNCILS |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Open source software | 96266 | 0.8 | 4658 | 0.4 | 49532 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 67833 | 2.2 | 218289 | 1.0 |
| New materials | 154140 | 1.2 | 726 | 0.1 | 202242 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 157134 | 5.0 | 514242 | 2.4 |
| Tuberculosis (TB), HIV/ AIDS, malaria | 466161 | 3.8 | 2 | 0.0 | 650502 | 15.5 | 8763 | 3.6 | 490982 | 15.6 | 1616410 | 7.7 |
| Total | 716567 | 5.8 | 5386 | 0.5 | 902276 | 21.5 | 8763 | 3.6 | 715949 | 22.8 | 2348941 | 11.2 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 12332012 | 100 | 1139676 | 100 | 4191366 | 100 | 240649 | 100 | 3137343 | 100 | 21041046 | 100 |
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TABLE 1.14: R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT BY SECTOR, PERSONNEL CATEGORY, RACE AND GENDER (2008/09)*

| QUALIFICATION | AFRICAN |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| Researchers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 851 | 361 | 215 | 143 | 337 | 179 | 4054 | 2004 | 5457 | 2686 | 8143 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 2341 | 1590 | 432 | 361 | 775 | 647 | 5611 | 3729 | 9158 | 6327 | 15485 |
| Diplomas | 826 | 626 | 195 | 160 | 353 | 297 | 1705 | 1161 | 3079 | 2245 | 5324 |
| Subtotal | 4017 | 2578 | 842 | 663 | 1465 | 1123 | 11370 | 6895 | 17694 | 11258 | 28952 |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 20 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 11 | 114 | 66 | 152 | 98 | 250 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 543 | 509 | 71 | 59 | 126 | 125 | 910 | 631 | 1649 | 1325 | 2974 |
| Diplomas | 1482 | 856 | 433 | 230 | 331 | 207 | 2129 | 869 | 4375 | 2161 | 6536 |
| Subtotal | 2045 | 1381 | 509 | 295 | 470 | 343 | 3153 | 1566 | 6177 | 3584 | 9761 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 44 | 23 | 10 | 4 | 105 | 3 | 110 | 76 | 269 | 106 | 376 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 325 | 337 | 43 | 59 | 84 | 79 | 424 | 473 | 877 | 948 | 1825 |
| Diplomas | 2031 | 1097 | 539 | 573 | 155 | 179 | 886 | 1519 | 3611 | 3368 | 6979 |
| Subtotal | 2399 | 1457 | 593 | 636 | 345 | 261 | 1421 | 2068 | 4757 | 4422 | 9179 |
| Total | 8461 | 5416 | 1944 | 1593 | 2279 | 1727 | 15944 | 10529 | 28628 | 19264 | 47892 |

[^3]
## Chapter 2

## Business Sector

### 2.1 Introduction

Information and management systems for the R\&D Surveys are continually updated and improved on the basis of ongoing research and survey processes. The business sector has surveyed an increasing number of firms over the last few survey rounds as more companies become known as R\&D performers. This results in wider coverage and a more complete picture of R\&D in the sector. It should, however, be mentioned that a small number of large R\&D performing firms are still responsible for the majority of $R \& D$ expenditure as was found in previous surveys. It is suggested that successful and accurate surveys of these firms would provide a good indication of total R\&D spending in the business sector. The primary goal of the business sector survey is to obtain accurate data from these large companies as the basis for robust indicators for the sector.

### 2.2 Survey Methods

The business register that has been developed and maintained by CeSTII since 2002 was used to draw a purposive sample for the survey. The purposive sample aims to cover all known or likely R\&D performers. The business register is continually maintained, updated and amended and new contacts potentially involved in R\&D are added systematically. The techniques applied in
maintaining the register and frame of $R \& D$ performers include obtaining new contacts through systematic intelligence-gathering by considering business rankings such as the Technology Top 100 and the JSE 100, as well as other available information (namely THRIP, SPII, Innovation Fund lists, media, trade publications, referrals, etc).
Enterprises are systematically interrogated with varying degrees of emphasis according to their R\&D expenditure and known historic data. The research effort focused on surveying the largest R\&D performers which account for the majority of expenditure, as well as smaller companies with $R \& D$ activity, in order to determine total business expenditure on R\&D. A purposive sample was drawn from the register including all known and likely R\&D performers surveyed in the previous survey round, as well as any new contacts obtained through the process described above. In accordance with OECD methodology, state-owned enterprises (SOEs) were also included in the business sector sample. After all expired or non-traceable companies and companies which were purposively not surveyed had been removed from the sample, the business sector sample amounted to 1813 companies with 762 companies performing $R \& D$ as indicated in Table 2.1.

TABLE 2.1: BUSINESS SECTOR FIELDWORK SAMPLES (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| NUMBER OF FIRMS |  | $2008 / 09$ |  | $2007 / 08$ |  | 2006/07 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sample | 1813 | $100 \%$ | 1767 | $100 \%$ | 1699 | $100 \%$ |
| Response | 1082 | $59.7 \%$ | 1116 | $63.2 \%$ | 1055 | $61.1 \%$ |
| No R\&D | 320 | $17.7 \%$ | 393 | $22.2 \%$ | 360 | $21.2 \%$ |
| R\&D performed | 762 | $42.0 \%$ | 723 | $40.9 \%$ | 677 | $39.8 \%$ |
| No Response | 731 | $40.3 \%$ | 651 | $36.8 \%$ | 622 | $39.0 \%$ |

## Business Sector continued

### 2.3 Detailed Results

### 2.3.1 Key Results

The business sector is the largest contributor to GERD. Business sector expenditure on research and development (BERD) comprised 58.6\% of GERD in 2008/9, an increase from 57.7\% in 2007/08. BERD increased from R9.2 billion in 2006/07 to R12.3 billion in 2008/09 (Table 2.2).

TABLE 2.2: IN-HOUSE R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SECTOR (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| SECTOR | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000S | \% | R'000S | \% | R'000S | \% |
| Business enterprise | 12332012 | 58.6 | 10738456 | 57.7 | 9243165 | 55.9 |
| Government | 1139676 | 5.4 | 1154399 | 6.2 | 1021355 | 6.2 |
| Higher education | 4191366 | 19.9 | 3621862 | 19.4 | 3298808 | 20.0 |
| Not-for-profit | 240649 | 1.1 | 223202 | 1.2 | 212538 | 1.3 |
| Science councils | 3137343 | 14.9 | 2886094 | 15.5 | 2744718 | 16.6 |
| Grand Total | 21041046 | 100 | 18624013 | 100 | 16520584 | 100 |

BERD has increased by R1.6 billion from 2007/08 (Tables 2.2 and 2.3). BERD as a percentage of GDP remained stable at $0.54 \%$ for the last two survey rounds. BERD financed by industry increased slightly from 66.4\% in 2007/08 to 67.6\% in 2008/09, while financial support for BERD activities by government has decreased from $21.7 \%$ in 2007/08 to $20.8 \%$ in

2008/09. The percentage of BERD financed from other national sources decreased from $0.9 \%$ to $0.2 \%$ during the same period. BERD financed from abroad remained in the region of $11 \%$. The total business sector FTE R\&D personnel and FTE researchers increased by 31 and 125 respectively from the previous year.

TABLE 2.3: MAIN INDICATORS OF BUSINESS SECTOR (RAND CURRENT) $(2008 / 09,2007 / 08,2006 / 07)$

| MAIN INDICATORS | 2008/09 | 2007/08 | 2006/07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BERD (Rand million) | 12332 | 10738 | 9243 |
| BERD as \% of GDP | 0.54\% | 0.54\% | 0.53\% |
| \% of BERD financed by industry | 67.6\% | 66.4\% | 69.4\% |
| \% of BERD financed by government | 20.8\% | 21.7\% | 19.1\% |
| \% of BERD financed by other national sources | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% |
| \% of BERD financed from abroad | 11.3\% | 11.0\% | 10.6\% |
| Total business sector R\&D personnel (FTEs) | 12492 | 12461 | 12595 |
| Total business sector researchers (FTEs) | 6172 | 6047 | 6111 |

Table 2.4 indicates that the total number of R\&D personnel headcounts declined slightly from 59344 in the previous year to 58895 in the current year. The business sector employed $38.8 \%$ of R\&D personnel in 2008/09 (excluding doctoral and post-doctoral
students); an increase from $36.9 \%$ in 2007/08. The business sector employs the second largest number of R\&D personnel, after the higher education sector, according to the data presented in Table 2.4

TABLE 2.4: HEADCOUNT OF R\&D PERSONNEL BY SECTOR (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| SECTORS | RESEARCHERS |  | TECHNICIANS DIRECTLYSUPPORTING R\&D |  | OTHER PERSONNEL DIRECTLY SUPPORTING R\&D |  | GRAND TOTAL |  | PERCENTAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Business enterprise | 8560 | 8336 | 5584 | 5303 | 4451 | 4312 | 18595 | 17951 | 38.8 | 36.9 |
| Goverrment | 1169 | 1138 | 744 | 739 | 1050 | 917 | 2963 | 2794 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
| Higher education | 16313 | 17008 | 2054 | 2006 | 1856 | 2351 | 20223 | 21365 | 42.2 | 44.0 |
| Not-for-profit | 262 | 264 | 77 | 77 | 163 | 161 | 502 | 502 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Science councils | 2648 | 2594 | 1302 | 1351 | 1659 | 2043 | 5609 | 5988 | 11.7 | 12.3 |
| Grand total | 28952 | 29340 | 9761 | 9476 | 9179 | 9784 | 47892 | 48600 | 100 | 100 |
| Higher education doctoral and postdoctoral students | 11003 | 10744 | - | - | - |  | 11003 | 10744 |  |  |
| Total | 39955 | 40084 | 9761 | 9476 | 9179 | 9784 | 58895 | 59344 | 100 | 100 |

### 2.3.2 Financial Data

Capital, as well as current R\&D expenditure increased from 2007/08 by R1 213 million and R380 million respectively (Table 2.5). The proportion of R\&D expenditure devoted to capital expenditure increased from $13.5 \%$ to $21.6 \%$, while the proportion devoted to current expenditure, which includes labour costs, decreased from $86.5 \%$ to $78.4 \%$.

TABLE 2.5: BERD BY ACCOUNTING CATEGORY (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Capital expenditure on R\&D | 2658738 | 21.6 | 1445305 | 13.5 | 1120589 | 12.1 |
| Land: buildings and other structures | 207473 | 1.7 | 262994 | 2.4 | 154129 | 1.7 |
| Vehicles, plant, machinery, equipment | 2451265 | 19.9 | 1182311 | 11.0 | 966460 | 10.5 |
| Current expenditure | 9673274 | 78.4 | 9293151 | 86.5 | 8122576 | 87.9 |
| Labour costs | 5279507 | 42.8 | 4881074 | 45.5 | 4461218 | 48.3 |
| Other current expenditure | 4393767 | 35.6 | 4412077 | 41.1 | 3661358 | 39.6 |
| Total | 12332012 | 100 | 10738456 | 100 | 9243165 | 100 |

## Business Sector continued

Experimental development comprises the largest portion of expenditure at R7 832 million (or 63.5\%) in 2008/09; this was followed by applied research at R3 427 million and basic research at R1 073 million.

The percentage distribution of expenditure on these research types has remained relatively stable over the last three years (Table 2.6).

TABLE 2.6: BERD BY TYPE OF RESEARCH (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| TYPE OF RESEARCH | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Basic research | 1073117 | 8.7 | 929134 | 8.7 | 800085 | 8.7 |
| Applied research | 3426651 | 27.8 | 3077341 | 28.7 | 2550483 | 27.6 |
| Experimental development | 7832244 | 63.5 | 6731981 | 62.7 | 5892597 | 63.8 |
| Total | 12332012 | 100 | 10738456 | 100 | 9243165 | 100 |

The business sector funds most of its R\&D activities (67.6\%, Table 2.3). However, internal resources, excluding funding from other local businesses, make up the bulk of such funding. This funding increased slightly from 64.4\% of total funding in 2007/08 to 65.9\% in 2008/09 (Table 2.7). The contribution of government
dropped slightly from $21.7 \%$ in 2007/08 to 20.8 in 2008/09. During the latter period the contribution of other local businesses and other South African sources decreased. The contribution from foreign sources increased by $0.3 \%$ between 2007/08 and 2008/09.

TABLE 2.7: BERD BY SOURCE OF FUNDS (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| SOURCE OF FUNDS | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Own Funds | 8130033 | 65.9 | 6916974 | 64.4 | 6185887 | 66.9 |
| Internal Resources | 8130033 | 65.9 | 6916974 | 64.4 | 6185887 | 66.9 |
| Government | 2567140 | 20.8 | 2326728 | 21.7 | 1764448 | 19.1 |
| Grants | 1979423 | 16.1 | 1829489 | 17.0 | 1299208 | 14.1 |
| Contracts | 587717 | 4.8 | 497239 | 4.6 | 465240 | 5.0 |
| Other Local Business | 209346 | 1.7 | 216939 | 2.0 | 228432 | 2.5 |
| Contracts | 209346 | 1.7 | 216939 | 2.0 | 228432 | 2.5 |
| Other South African Sources | 29460 | 0.2 | 97622 | 0.9 | 87311 | 0.9 |
| Higher Education | 2120 | 0.0 | 1816 | 0.0 | 1657 | 0.0 |
| Not For Profit Organisations | 19160 | 0.2 | 18900 | 0.2 | 18239 | 0.2 |
| Individual Donations | 8180 | 0.1 | 76906 | 0.7 | 67415 | 0.7 |
| Foreign | 1396033 | 11.3 | 1180193 | 11.0 | 977087 | 10.6 |
| Parent Company | 566111 | 4.6 | 424409 | 4.0 | 337919 | 3.7 |
| Foundations | 7015 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| All Sources | 822907 | 6.7 | 755779 | 7.0 | 639164 | 6.9 |
| Total | 12332012 | 100 | 10738456 | 100 | 9243165 | 100 |

The provincial distribution of R\&D remained relatively stable from the previous reference period (Figure 2.1). The largest proportion of business sector R\&D occurred in Gauteng (57.8\%) in 2008/09, followed by the Western Cape (15.5\%) and KwaZulu-Natal (10.2\%).

There was a slight increase in the proportion of R\&D conducted in the Free State and the Northern Cape between 2007/08 and 2008/09. The Free State share of R\&D grew from $7.3 \%$ to $9.8 \%$ and the Northern Cape's share from $0.1 \%$ to $1.8 \%$ in this period.

FIGURE 2.1: PROVINCIAL DISTRIBUTION OF BUSINESS SECTOR R\&D ACTIVITY (2008/09 AND 2007/08)


PROVINCES
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### 2.3.3 Orientation of BERD

The share of business expenditure on R\&D increased in the physical sciences, chemical sciences, earth sciences and engineering sciences since 2006/07 (Table 2.8). Declines in the share of expenditure on R\&D since 2006/07 were observed in the mathematical sciences, information sciences, applied science and technologies, biological sciences, agricultural sciences, medical and
health sciences, material sciences and social sciences. The shares of the environmental and marine sciences remained relatively unchanged. In most cases the increases or decreases in spending were fairly small. The largest component of BERD was spent in the field of engineering sciences ( $31.7 \%$ ) in 2008/09, followed by information, computer and communication sciences (19.6\%), applied sciences and technologies (13.6\%) and medical and health sciences (12.2\%).

TABLE 2.8: BERD BY RESEARCH FIELD (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| MAIN RESEARCH FIELD | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Natural sciences, technology and engineering | 11902551 | 96.5 | 10357433 | 96.5 | 8881904 | 96.1 |
| Mathematical sciences | 183255 | 1.5 | 176077 | 1.6 | 159496 | 1.7 |
| Physical sciences | 655898 | 5.3 | 507646 | 4.7 | 382551 | 4.1 |
| Chemical sciences | 859041 | 7.0 | 580146 | 5.4 | 438969 | 4.7 |
| Earth sciences | 95034 | 0.8 | 93014 | 0.9 | 66244 | 0.7 |
| Information, computer and communication | 2412430 | 19.6 | 2182253 | 20.3 | 1980630 | 21.4 |
| Applied sciences and technologies | 1671375 | 13.6 | 1581438 | 14.7 | 1551885 | 16.8 |
| Engineering sciences | 3908347 | 31.7 | 3237265 | 30.1 | 2439092 | 26.4 |
| Biological sciences | 162776 | 1.3 | 161058 | 1.5 | 160584 | 1.7 |
| Agricultural sciences | 293357 | 2.4 | 311287 | 2.9 | 277889 | 3.0 |
| Medical and health sciences | 1509109 | 12.2 | 1268551 | 11.8 | 1225114 | 13.3 |
| Environmental sciences | 57764 | 0.5 | 62355 | 0.6 | 42315 | 0.5 |
| Material sciences | 82192 | 0.7 | 184625 | 1.7 | 146588 | 1.6 |
| Marine sciences | 11975 | 0.1 | 11719 | 0.1 | 10547 | 0.1 |
| Division 2: Social Sciences and Humanities | 429461 | 3.5 | 381023 | 3.5 | 361261 | 3.9 |
| Social sciences | 428969 | 3.5 | 380554 | 3.5 | 360856 | 3.9 |
| Humanities | 491 | 0.0 | 469 | 0.0 | 405 | 0.0 |
| Total | 12332012 | 100 | 10738456 | 100 | 9243165 | 100 |

Economic development continued to absorb the vast majority of BERD at 79.0\% in 2008/09 (Table 2.9). The proportions of expenditure in the defence and the society divisions have declined since 2006/07, while the proportions of expenditure have increased in the economic development, environment and advancement
of knowledge divisions. Within the sub-divisions decreases worth mentioning, in the share of BERD since 2006/07, were in mineral resources and commercial services; and increases in energy supply, construction, economic framework, natural resources and in natural sciences, technologies and engineering.

TABLE 2.9: BERD BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)*

| SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Defence | 908781 | 7.4 | 900909 | 8.4 | 777139 | 8.4 |
| Division 2: Economic development | 9737338 | 79.0 | 8399187 | 78.2 | 7233003 | 78.3 |
| Plant production and plant primary products | 266259 | 2.2 | 279437 | 2.6 | 279937 | 3.0 |
| Animal production and animal primary products | 74302 | 0.6 | 78657 | 0.7 | 67619 | 0.7 |
| Mineral resources (excluding energy) | 839558 | 6.8 | 937628 | 8.7 | 779765 | 8.4 |
| Energy resources | 732188 | 5.9 | 585453 | 5.5 | 470735 | 5.1 |
| Energy supply | 393798 | 3.2 | 252064 | 2.3 | 239018 | 2.6 |
| Manufacturing | 2562745 | 20.8 | 2117823 | 19.7 | 1846199 | 20.0 |
| Construction | 1295717 | 10.5 | 1017969 | 9.5 | 756166 | 8.2 |
| Transport | 621479 | 5.0 | 523022 | 4.9 | 446162 | 4.8 |
| Information and communication services | 1151637 | 9.3 | 1087198 | 10.1 | 895714 | 9.7 |
| Commercial services | 1422123 | 11.5 | 1347470 | 12.5 | 1329972 | 14.4 |
| Economic framework | 160562 | 1.3 | 41756 | 0.4 | 16243 | 0.2 |
| Natural resources | 216971 | 1.8 | 130711 | 1.2 | 105475 | 1.1 |
| Division 3: Society | 1019848 | 8.3 | 915567 | 8.5 | 839908 | 9.1 |
| Society unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Health | 930645 | 7.5 | 857364 | 8.0 | 799201 | 8.6 |
| Education and training | 27232 | 0.2 | 12204 | 0.1 | 12913 | 0.1 |
| Social development and community services | 61971 | 0.5 | 45999 | 0.4 | 27794 | 0.3 |
| Division 4: Environment | 221747 | 1.8 | 164552 | 1.5 | 113821 | 1.2 |
| Environment unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Environmental knowledge | 91953 | 0.7 | 62551 | 0.6 | 39233 | 0.4 |
| Environmental aspects of development | 31493 | 0.3 | 33901 | 0.3 | 28327 | 0.3 |
| Environmental and other aspects | 98301 | 0.8 | 68100 | 0.6 | 46261 | 0.5 |
| Division 5: Advancement of knowledge | 444298 | 3.6 | 358242 | 3.3 | 279295 | 3.0 |
| Advancement of knowledge unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Natural sciences, technologies and engineering | 439330 | 3.6 | 353694 | 3.3 | 275446 | 3.0 |
| Social sciences and humanities | 4968 | 0.0 | 4548 | 0.0 | 3848 | 0.0 |
| Total | 12332012 | 100 | 10738457 | 100 | 9243165 | 100 |
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Proportional increases, worth noting, regarding BERD by Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes were observed in the following classifications (Table 2.10): the manufacture of refined petroleum, coke and nuclear fuel; financial and other business services; electricity, gas and water supply; and financial and business services. Proportional decreases
were observed in the manufacture of basic metals, other metal machinery and equipment and office machinery; electrical machinery and apparatus; transport equipment; furniture and recycling; as well as in transport, storage and communication; and in community and social services.

TABLE 2.10: BERD BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION (SIC) CODES (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| SIC CLASSIFICATION | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| 10000 Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry and Fishing | 220757 | 1.8 | 213808 | 2.0 |
| 20000 Mining and Quarrying | 578825 | 4.7 | 559332 | 5.2 |
| 30000 Manufacturing | 4787581 | 38.8 | 4222127 | 39.3 |
| Manufacture of food products, beverages and tobacco products | 215876 | 1.8 | 196238 | 1.8 |
| Manufacture of textiles, clothing and leather goods | 13755 | 0.1 | 17888 | 0.2 |
| Manufacture of wood and products of wood and cork, except furniture; manufacture of articles of straw and plaiting materials; manufacture of paper and paper products; manufacture of publishing, printing and reproduction of recorded material | 118016 | 1.0 | 118535 | 1.1 |
| Manufacture of refined petroleum, coke and nuclear fuel; manufacture of chemicals and chemical products (incl. Pharmaceuticals); manufacture of Rubber and Plastic Products | 2267063 | 18.4 | 1579382 | 14.7 |
| Manufacture of non-metallic mineral products | 134638 | 1.1 | 183758 | 1.7 |
| Manufacture of basic metals, fabricated metal products, machinery \& equipment, manufacture of office, accounting and computing Machinery | 315295 | 2.6 | 500715 | 4.7 |
| Manufacture of electrical machinery and apparatus | 166498 | 1.4 | 187612 | 1.7 |
| Manufacture of radio, television and communication equipment \& apparatus; manufacture of medical, precision and optical Instruments, watches \& clocks | 511356 | 4.1 | 506497 | 4.7 |
| Manufacture of transport equipment | 984235 | 8.0 | 924053 | 8.6 |
| Manufacture of furniture, recycling, manufacturing not elsewhere classified | 60849 | 0.5 | 7449 | 0.1 |
| 40000 Electricity, Gas and Water Supply | 2306297 | 18.7 | 1737511 | 16.2 |
| 50000 Construction | 6105 | 0.0 | 6043 | 0.1 |
| 60000 Wholesale and Retail | 334131 | 2.7 | 317780 | 3.0 |
| 70000 Transport, Storage and Communication | 425235 | 3.4 | 490138 | 4.6 |
| 80000 Financial Intermediation, Real Estate and Business Services | 3377896 | 27.4 | 2759550 | 25.7 |
| 90000 Community, Social and Personal Services | 295185 | 2.4 | 432167 | 4.0 |
| Total | 12332012 | 100 | 10738456 | 100 |

### 2.3.4 R\&D Personnel

The total headcount of R\&D personnel in the business sector amounted to 18595 in 2008/09, an increase of $3.6 \%$ from the headcount of 17951 in 2007/08 (Table
2.11). FTEs amounted to 12492.5 in 2008/09. The data indicate that female employees comprised 33.8\% of the business sector R\&D headcount in 2008/09; an increase from $33.2 \%$ in 2007/08 and $32.6 \%$ in 2006/07.

TABLE 2.11: BUSINESS R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT AND FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| OCCUPATION | HEADCOUNT |  |  | FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | FTES | FTEs AS \% OF HEADCOUNT |
| 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 6033 | 2527 | 8560 | 6172.0 | 72.1 |
| Technicians | 3833 | 1751 | 5584 | 3809.9 | 68.2 |
| Other personnel: executive and management* | 2443 | 2008 | 4451 | 2510.6 | 56.4 |
| Total | 12309 | 6286 | 18595 | 12492.5 | 67.2 |
| 2007/08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 5924 | 2412 | 8336 | 6047.5 | 72.5 |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D | 3615 | 1688 | 5303 | 3796.4 | 71.6 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D | 2458 | 1854 | 4312 | 2617.4 | 60.7 |
| Total | 11997 | 5954 | 17951 | 12461.3 | 69.4 |
| 2006/07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 5857 | 2370 | 8227 | 6110.9 | 74.3 |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D | 3517 | 1596 | 5113 | 3735.0 | 73.0 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D | 2398 | 1729 | 4127 | 2749.4 | 66.6 |
| Total | 11772 | 5695 | 17467 | 12595.3 | 72.1 |

* 'Other personnel' was split into 'executive and management' and 'administrative' support staff in the 2006/07, 2007/08 and 2008/09 surveys

Further analysis of the data on personnel by race, gender and qualifications (Tables 2.12.1 and 2.12.2) indicates that of the 18595 R\&D personnel headcount employed by the business sector in 2008/09, $46.0 \%$ were researchers; $30.0 \%$ were technicians directly supporting R\&D and $23.9 \%$ were other personnel supporting

R\&D. Of the researchers 16.0\% had PhDs in 2008/09 compared to $12.4 \%$ in 2007/08. Female researchers made up $26.0 \%$ of the researchers holding PhD degrees in 2008/09. Of the total R\&D personnel headcount in the business sector, $57.7 \%$ were White; $26.4 \%$ were African; 9.3\% were Indian and $6.7 \%$ were Coloured.

## Business Sector continued

TABLE 2.12.1: BUSINESS R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT BY RACE, QUALIFICATION AND GENDER (2008/09)

| QUALIFICATION | AFRICAN |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| Researchers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 100 | 31 | 10 | 15 | 42 | 25 | 860 | 285 | 1012 | 356 | 1368 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 495 | 369 | 137 | 46 | 368 | 235 | 2698 | 929 | 3698 | 1579 | 5277 |
| Diplomas | 255 | 192 | 108 | 84 | 100 | 46 | 860 | 269 | 1323 | 591 | 1915 |
| Subtotal | 850 | 591 | 255 | 146 | 510 | 307 | 4418 | 1483 | 6033 | 2527 | 8560 |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 10 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 96 | 56 | 124 | 82 | 206 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 226 | 231 | 23 | 18 | 74 | 64 | 632 | 348 | 954 | 662 | 1616 |
| Diplomas | 861 | 375 | 185 | 114 | 223 | 138 | 1485 | 381 | 2754 | 1007 | 3761 |
| Subtotal | 1097 | 618 | 213 | 138 | 310 | 211 | 2213 | 785 | 3833 | 1751 | 5584 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 44 | 25 | 156 | 34 | 190 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 137 | 121 | 21 | 6 | 40 | 37 | 257 | 205 | 456 | 370 | 826 |
| Diplomas | 1011 | 455 | 162 | 302 | 101 | 109 | 557 | 738 | 1831 | 1603 | 3434 |
| Subtotal | 1158 | 585 | 184 | 308 | 243 | 146 | 859 | 968 | 2443 | 2008 | 4451 |
| Total | 3105 | 1795 | 652 | 591 | 1062 | 664 | 7490 | 3236 | 12309 | 6286 | 18595 |

TABLE 2.12.2: BUSINESS R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT BY RACE, QUALIFICATION AND GENDER (2007/08)


| Researchers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 63 | 57 | 19 | 5 | 28 | 26 | 253 | 181 | 763 | 269 | 1032 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 440 | 299 | 126 | 40 | 284 | 169 | 3157 | 1255 | 4007 | 1763 | 5770 |
| Diplomas | 165 | 128 | 102 | 19 | 89 | 55 | 799 | 178 | 1154 | 380 | 1534 |
| Subtotal | 667 | 485 | 247 | 64 | 401 | 250 | 4609 | 1614 | 5924 | 2412 | 8336 |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 30 | 40 | 43 | 83 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 152 | 178 | 89 | 45 | 103 | 49 | 868 | 513 | 1212 | 786 | 1998 |
| Diplomas | 690 | 322 | 237 | 77 | 184 | 122 | 1252 | 337 | 2363 | 859 | 3222 |
| Subtotal | 842 | 513 | 327 | 122 | 287 | 172 | 2160 | 881 | 3615 | 1688 | 5303 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 28 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 33 | 31 | 66 | 77 | 143 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 74 | 159 | 8 | 9 | 23 | 14 | 218 | 173 | 323 | 354 | 677 |
| Diplomas | 1269 | 567 | 115 | 121 | 137 | 77 | 547 | 658 | 2069 | 1423 | 3492 |
| Subtotal | 1372 | 752 | 124 | 130 | 165 | 111 | 798 | 861 | 2458 | 1854 | 4312 |
| Total | 2880 | 1750 | 697 | 316 | 853 | 532 | 7567 | 3355 | 11997 | 5954 | 17951 |

### 2.3.5 Collaboration

R\&D collaboration is based on the reporting firm's record of the number of R\&D projects undertaken in collaboration with other entities. The total number of collaborative R\&D projects increased both within South Africa and with foreign partners (Table 2.13). In 2008/09 most of the collaboration occurred between

R\&D performing firms and other companies, which included specialist consultants, in 2008/09. This was followed by collaboration with higher education institutions. Higher education institutions were, however, the preferred partners in 2007/08. Collaboration with government research institutes increased slightly from 2007/08.

TABLE 2.13: NUMBER OF R\&D COLLABORATIVE PROJECTS (2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| PARTNER | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SA | FOREIGN | SA | FOREIGN |
| Higher education institutions | 139 | 12 | 92 | 23 |
| Science councils (e.g. CSIR, Mintek, MRC, ARC etc) | 21 | 1 | 18 | 5 |
| Government research institutes | 32 | 5 | 24 | 17 |
| Members of own company / affiliated companies | 79 | 26 | 38 | 12 |
| Other companies (including specialist consultants) | 170 | 59 | 80 | 35 |
| Not-for-profit organisations | 16 | 6 | 17 | 2 |
| Total | 457 | 109 | 269 | 94 |

### 2.3.6 R\&D in multidisciplinary and other specific areas

### 2.3.6. 1 Multidisciplinary R\&D

This section reports on the multidisciplinary R\&D and other specific areas of national interest namely, biotechnology, nanotechnology, open source software, new materials, and tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS and malaria. Thirty-seven companies reported that they conducted

R\&D in biotechnology in 2008/09 (Table 2.14), while nine companies reported involvement in nanotechnology research in 2008/09. These figures are up slightly from 2007/08. R268.9 million was spent on biotechnology R\&D and R56.9 million on nanotechnology R\&D by the business sector in 2008/09.

TABLE 2.14: BUSINESS SECTOR EXPENDITURE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY R\&D (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| MULTIDISCIPLINARY R\&D AREA | 2008/09 |  |  | 2007/08 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | NUMBER OF COMPANIES | R'000 | \% | NUMBER OF COMPANIES |
| Biotechnology | 268923 | 2.2 | 37 | 169410 | 1.6 | 35 |
| Nanotechnology | 56881 | 0.5 | 9 | 30314 | 0.3 | 7 |
| Total | 325804 | 2.6 | 46 | 199724 | 1.9 | 42 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 12332012 | 100 | n/a | 10738456 | 100 | n/a |

## Business Sector continued

2.3.6.2. Business sector R\&D expenditure by specific areas of national interest

The number of companies doing research in the areas of open source software, new materials, tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS and malaria increased from 63 in 2007/08
to 67 in 2008/09, while R\&D expenditure on these areas increased from R489 million to R717 million (Table 2.15). Business sector R\&D expenditure on new materials and TB, HIV/AIDS and malaria increased while expenditure on open source software decreased.

TABLE 2.15: BUSINESS SECTOR EXPENDITURE ON R\&D IN SPECIFIC AREAS OF NATIONAL INTEREST (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| SPECIFIC AREAS OF INTEREST | 2008/09 |  |  | 2007/08 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | NUMBER OF COMPANIES | R'000 | \% | NUMBER OF COMPANIES |
| Open source software | 96266 | 0.8 | 24 | 114195 | 1.1 | 26 |
| New Materials | 154140 | 1.2 | 22 | 72992 | 0.7 | 18 |
| Tuberculosis (TB), HIV/AIDS, malaria | 466161 | 3.8 | 21 | 302122 | 2.8 | 19 |
| Total | 716567 | 5.8 | 67 | 489309 | 4.6 | 63 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 12332012 | 100 | n/a | 10738456 | 100 | n/a |

## Chapter 3

## Government Sector

### 3.1 Introduction

The government sector comprises national, provincial and local departments; government research institutes; and museums. It excludes science councils and institutions owned by central government. State owned enterprises form part of the business sector and are surveyed and presented under that sector (see Chapter 2) while science councils are surveyed and presented separately (see Chapter 6).
The government sector is surveyed through a census covering all national and provincial government departments. Most government departments at both the national and provincial levels and some research institutes indicate that they outsource most or some of their R\&D activities to other agencies or service providers. However, they offen do not provide detailed information on which agencies or service providers they outsource to as prompted in the survey instrument. This limits the usefulness of the question in uncovering new R\&D performers.

Furthermore, the reporting systems of various departments within the sector differ, particularly with respect to finances, as they do not always report according to the format of the survey instrument. In most instances, it seems that the R\&D budget is not separated from the budget for scientific and technological services. This might lead to respondents returning a questionnaire as a 'nil' even though the institution concerned performs R\&D. The nilreturns and non-responses are usually from smaller departments or organisations which suggest that they may lack capacity and/or funding to undertake research.

### 3.2 Survey Methods

Whilst the survey instrument was checked and updated during the questionnaire design phase for the current survey, no major changes were made in the 2008/09 survey instrument. A brief overview of the 2008/09 fieldwork in the various sub-sectors of the government sector follows.

The 2007/08 registers of the various sub-sectors of the government sector were updated telephonically for
the current survey. Contact details of the respondents were updated using the contact information in the 2007/08 registers and, when not available, the department or organisation's website was utilised. The reporting units (units of measure) in the government sector differ across departments. Some departments have directorates dedicated to R\&D, while others have these activities spread across different sections within the same department. The preferred contact at national level was a director-general (DG) and within the provincial departments, a head of department (HoD).

Hard copies of questionnaires were posted to all departments; followed up by emails with electronic copies. In the 2008/09 survey, 141 questionnaires in total were sent out to respondents in the various government sub-sectors namely: national departments, provincial departments, research institutes and museums. The response rate for the government sector in 2008/09 was $43.3 \%$ with 61 returned questionnaires received.
The following measures to improve return rates and obtain valid information were used:

- Instructions and definitions accompanying the questionnaire were drawn up using understandable and user-friendly content and layout.
- Constant follow-up queries were made by email and telephone to remind respondents and offer support.
- Where necessary, questionnaires were completed over the telephone for respondents.
- Parent departments were engaged to co-ordinate the survey within their provincial departments where and when required.

Some questionnaires were returned incomplete or were incorrectly completed. In such cases respondents were contacted by telephone to prompt for missing data. Information obtained in this way, and previous survey data adjusted for inflation, was used to compile commuted responses for likely R\&D performers who did not return a questionnaire for the current survey. Once the verification process was completed, all questionnaires including the nil-returns were captured in the Survey Management and Results System (SMRS).

## Government Sector continued

### 3.3 Detailed Results

This section presents the key results and indicators; financial data; the orientation of government sector R\&D expenditure (GOVERD); R\&D personnel and national R\&D priority areas

### 3.3.1 Key Results

Table 3.1 presents in-house R\&D expenditure by sector. The 2008/09 survey results indicate that GOVERD dropped slightly by about $1.3 \%$ between

2007/08 and 2008/09. The government sector contribution to national R\&D expenditure has also dropped to $5.4 \%$ (R1. 14 billion) in the 2008/09 survey from 6.2\% in the 2007/08 survey. This was due to a $42.4 \%$ drop in R\&D expenditure by national departments, plus an $8.4 \%$ decrease in provincial departments. The research institutes and museums' R\&D expenditures increased by $58.5 \%$ and $12.2 \%$ respectively in the 2008/09 survey.

TABLE 3.1: IN-HOUSE R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SECTOR (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| SECTOR | 2008/09 |  |  | 2007/08 |  |  | 2006/07 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SUBTOTAL | TOTAL | \% | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SUBTOTAL } \\ \hline \text { R'000 }^{\prime} \end{array}$ | TOTAL <br> R'000 | \% | SUBTOTAL <br> $R^{\prime} 000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \hline R^{\prime} 000 \end{aligned}$ | \% |
|  | R'000 | R'000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business enterprise |  | 12332012 | 58.6 |  | 10738456 | 57.7 |  | 9243165 | 55.9 |
| Government |  | 1139676 | 5.4 |  | 1154399 | 6.2 |  | 1021355 | 6.2 |
| National departments | 287333 |  | 1.4 | 499085 |  | 2.7 | 489971 |  | 2.9 |
| Provincial departments | 232062 |  | 1.1 | 253418 |  | 1.3 | 174860 |  | 1.1 |
| Research institutes | 579395 |  | 2.8 | 365468 |  | 2.0 | 327065 |  | 2.0 |
| Museums | 40886 |  | 0.2 | 36428 |  | 0.2 | 29459 |  | 0.2 |
| Higher education |  | 4191366 | 19.9 |  | 3621862 | 19.4 |  | 3298808 | 20.0 |
| Not-for-profit |  | 240649 | 1.1 |  | 223202 | 1.2 |  | 212538 | 1.3 |
| Science councils |  | 3137343 | 14.9 |  | 2886094 | 15.5 |  | 2744718 | 16.6 |
| Grand total |  | 21041046 | 100 |  | 18624013 | 100 |  | 16520584 | 100 |

Table 3.2 presents the main indicators for the government sector. The 2008/09 R\&D Survey results indicate that the government sector as a whole spent R1. 14 billion on R\&D. Compared to the 2007/08
results this represents a decrease of $1.2 \%$, while R\&D expressed as a percentage of GDP stood at $0.06 \%$ in 2008/09, compared to $0.07 \%$ in 2007/08.

TABLE 3.2: MAIN INDICATORS OF THE GOVERNMENT SECTOR (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| MAIN INDICATORS | 2008/09 | 2007/08 | 2006/07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditure on R\&D (Rand million) | 1140 | 1154 | 1024 |
| Expenditure on R\&D as \% of GDP | 0.06\% | 0.07\% | 0.07\% |
| Total Government Sector R\&D personnel (FTEs) | 805.0 | 1950.0 | 784.6 |
| Total government R\&D researchers (FTEs) | 2073.9 | 757.6 | 2068.3 |
| \% Expenditure financed by local industry | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| \% Expenditure financed by government | 28.6 | 31.4 | 37.9 |

The government sector employed a total of 2963 R\&D personnel headcounts in 2008/09 (Table 3.3). This is an increase of approximately $6.0 \%$ compared to the 2794 headcounts recorded in the 2007/08 survey. The total number of researchers within the national departments dropped by 22.3\% between 2007/08 and 2008/09, while the provincial departments
and research institutes increased their numbers of researchers by $17.6 \%$ and $9.0 \%$ respectively. Museums' total number of researchers remained constant at 106 between 2007/08 and 2008/09. The government sector as a whole contributed $6.3 \%$ towards the total R\&D personnel within South Africa, an increase from 5.8\% in 2007/08 R\&D.

TABLE 3.3: HEADCOUNT OF R\&D PERSONNEL BY SECTOR (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| SECTOR | RESEARCHERS |  | TECHNICIANS DIRECTLY SUPPORTING R\&D |  | TOTAL OTHER PERSONNEL |  | GRAND TOTAL |  | PERCENTAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2007/08 |
| Business enterprise | 8560 | 8336 | 5584 | 5303 | 4451 | 4312 | 18595 | 17951 | 38.8 | 36.9 |
| Government | 1169 | 1138 | 744 | 739 | 1050 | 917 | 2963 | 2794 | 6.3 | 5.8 |
| National departments | 206 | 265 | 298 | 344 | 53 | 49 | 557 | 658 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| Provincial departments | 287 | 244 | 126 | 117 | 719 | 631 | 1132 | 992 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| Government research institutes | 570 | 523 | 237 | 191 | 242 | 202 | 1049 | 916 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| Museums | 106 | 106 | 83 | 87 | 36 | 35 | 225 | 228 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Higher education | 16313 | 17008 | 2054 | 2006 | 1856 | 2351 | 20223 | 21365 | 42.2 | 44.0 |
| Not-for-profit | 262 | 264 | 77 | 77 | 163 | 161 | 502 | 502 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Science councils | 2648 | 2594 | 1302 | 1351 | 1659 | 2043 | 5609 | 5988 | 11.7 | 12.3 |
| Grand total | 28952 | 29340 | 9761 | 9476 | 9179 | 9784 | 47892 | 48600 | 100 | 100 |
| Higher education doctoral and postdoctoral students | 11003 | 10744 | - | - | - | - | 11003 | 10744 | - | - |
| Total | 39955 | 40084 | 9761 | 9476 | 9179 | 9784 | 58895 | 59344 | 100 | 100 |

### 3.3.2 Financial Data

The government sector's expenditure on R\&D infrastructure dropped in 2008/09. About $7.6 \%$ was spent on capital goods in 2008/09 compared to $8.7 \%$ in $2007 / 08$ and $11.8 \%$ in 2006/07 (Table 3.4).

TABLE 3.4: GOVERD BY ACCOUNTING CATEGORY (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | 2008/09 |  |  | 2007/08 |  |  |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R'000 | \% |  | R'000 | \% |  | R'000 | \% |
| National departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditure on R\&D | 9340 |  | 3.3 | 22507 |  | 4.5 | 48920 |  | 10.0 |
| Land: buildings and other structures |  | 1107 | 0.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 3701 | 0.8 |
| Vehicles, plant, machinery, equipment |  | 8233 | 2.9 |  | 22507 | 4.5 |  | 45219 | 9.2 |
| Current expenditure | 277993 |  | 96.7 | 476578 |  | 95.5 | 441051 |  | 90.0 |
| Labour costs |  | 98791 | 34.4 |  | 120257 | 24.1 |  | 158890 | 32.4 |
| Other current expenditure |  | 179202 | 62.4 |  | 356321 | 71.4 |  | 282161 | 57.6 |
| Total | 287333 |  | 100 | 499085 |  | 100 | 489971 |  | 100 |

## Government Sector continued

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE |  | 2008/09 |  |  | 2007/08 |  |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R'000 | \% |  | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} 000$ | \% |  | R'000 | \% |
| Provincial departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditure on R\&D | 24249 |  | 10.4 | 37336 |  | 14.7 | 12706 |  | 7.3 |
| Land: buildings and other structures |  | 2515 | 1.1 |  | 8681 | 3.4 |  | 4495 | 2.6 |
| Vehicles, plant, machinery, equipment |  | 21734 | 9.4 |  | 28655 | 11.3 |  | 8211 | 4.7 |
| Current expenditure | 207813 |  | 89.6 | 216082 |  | 85.3 | 162154 |  | 92.7 |
| Labour costs |  | 129187 | 55.7 |  | 135695 | 53.5 |  | 100676 | 57.6 |
| Other current expenditure |  | 78626 | 33.9 |  | 80387 | 31.7 |  | 61478 | 35.2 |
| Total | 232062 |  | 100 | 253418 |  | 100 | 174860 |  | 100 |
| Government research institutes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditure on R\&D | 49345 |  | 8.5 | 38837 |  | 10.6 | 57343 |  | 17.5 |
| Land: buildings and other structures |  | 9955 | 1.7 |  | 10225 | 2.8 |  | 31602 | 9.7 |
| Vehicles, plant, machinery, equipment |  | 39390 | 6.8 |  | 28612 | 7.8 |  | 25741 | 7.9 |
| Current expenditure | 530050 |  | 91.5 | 326631 |  | 89.4 | 269722 |  | 82.5 |
| Labour costs |  | 224691 | 38.8 |  | 183167 | 50.1 |  | 148117 | 45.3 |
| Other current expenditure |  | 305359 | 52.7 |  | 143464 | 39.3 |  | 121605 | 37.2 |
| Total | 579395 |  | 100 | 365468 |  | 100 | 327065 |  | 100 |
| Museums |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditure on R\&D | 4002 |  | 9.8 | 1644 |  | 4.5 | 1908 |  | 6.5 |
| Land: buildings and other structures |  | 2331 | 5.7 |  | 460 | 1.3 |  | 481 | 1.6 |
| Vehicles, plant, machinery, equipment |  | 1671 | 4.1 |  | 1184 | 3.3 |  | 1427 | 4.8 |
| Current expenditure | 36884 |  | 90.2 | 34784 |  | 95.5 | 27551 |  | 93.5 |
| Labour costs |  | 27141 | 66.4 |  | 25041 | 68.7 |  | 20197 | 68.6 |
| Other current expenditure |  | 9743 | 23.8 |  | 9743 | 26.7 |  | 7354 | 25.0 |
| Total | 40886 |  | 100 | 36428 |  | 100 | 29459 |  | 100 |
| All Government Sectors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditure on R\&D | 86936 |  | 7.6 | 100324 |  | 8.7 | 120877 |  | 11.8 |
| Land: buildings and other structures |  | 15908 | 1.4 |  | 19366 | 1.7 |  | 40279 | 3.9 |
| Vehicles, plant, machinery, equipment |  | 71028 | 6.2 |  | 80958 | 7.0 |  | 80598 | 7.9 |
| Current expenditure | 1052740 |  | 92.4 | 1054075 |  | 91.3 | 900478 |  | 88.2 |
| Labour costs |  | 479810 | 42.1 |  | 464160 | 40.2 |  | 427880 | 41.9 |
| Other current expenditure |  | 572930 | 50.3 |  | 589915 | 51.1 |  | 472598 | 46.3 |
| Total | 1139676 |  | 100 | 1154399 |  | 100 | 1021355 |  | 100 |

A steady increase in basic research as a proportion of government investment in R\&D was observed in the three consecutive survey reference periods. Basic research increased from $22.0 \%$ in 2006/07 to $27.9 \%$ in 2007/08 and to $31.4 \%$ in 2008/09 (Table 4.5). Applied research accounted for $52.8 \%$ of the total
expenditure on R\&D in 2008/09 compared to 51.9\% in 2007/08. There has been a steady increase in expenditure on applied research since 2006/07. Experimental development accounted for $15.8 \%$ of total R\&D expenditure in 2008/09 compared to $20.2 \%$ of total expenditure in $2007 / 08$ and $26.9 \%$ in 2006/07.

TABLE 3.5: GOVERD BY TYPE OF RESEARCH (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| TYPE OF RESEARCH | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Basic research | 357786 | 31.4 | 322270 | 27.9 | 224774 | 22.0 |
| Applied research | 601688 | 52.8 | 599162 | 51.9 | 521845 | 51.1 |
| Experimental development | 180202 | 15.8 | 232967 | 20.2 | 274736 | 26.9 |
| Total | 1139676 | 100 | 1154399 | 100 | 1021355 | 100 |

Table 3.6 indicates GOVERD by source of funds. The government sector funds most of its own R\&D activities. In 2008/09 the contribution of government towards funding its own R\&D (including own funds, grants and contracts) amounted to R1.07 billion compared to R1. 1 billion in 2007/08. This is a decrease of $2.1 \%$
since the previous year. Funding from the domestic business sector rose from R5.3 million in 2007/08 to R15.9 million in 2008/09, while funding from other South African sources remained steady at R1.8 million. Funding from foreign sources dropped slightly from $4.9 \%$ in 2007/08 to $4.7 \%$ in 2008/09.

TABLE 3.6: GOVERD BY SOURCES OF FUNDS (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

|  |  | $2008 / 09$ |  | $2007 / 08$ |  | $2006 / 07$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SOURCE OF FUNDS | $R^{\prime} 000$ | $\%$ | $R^{\prime} 000$ | $\%$ | $R^{\prime} 000$ |
| Organisation | 742954 | 65.2 | 727996 | 63.1 | 549896 | 53.8 |
| Own funds | 742954 | 65.2 | 727996 | 63.1 | 549896 | 53.8 |
| Government | 325573 | 28.6 | 363053 | 31.4 | 387109 | 37.9 |
| Grants | 323932 | 28.4 | 361416 | 31.3 | 356130 | 34.9 |
| Contracts | 1647 | 0.1 | 1637 | 0.1 | 30979 | 3.0 |
| Business | 15980 | 1.4 | 5343 | 0.5 | 13067 | 1.3 |
| Business (domestic) | 15980 | 1.4 | 5343 | 0.5 | 13067 | 1.3 |
| Other South African sources | 1821 | 0.2 | 1835 | 0.2 | 19623 | 1.9 |
| Higher education | 86 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9351 | 0.9 |
| Not for profit organisations | 278 | 0.0 | 278 | 0.0 | 260 | 0.0 |
| Individual donations | 1457 | 0.1 | 1557 | 0.1 | 10012 | 1.0 |
| Foreign | 53348 | 4.7 | 56172 | 4.9 | 51660 | 5.1 |
| All sources | 53348 | 4.7 | 56172 | 4.9 | 51660 | 5.1 |
| Total | 1139676 | 100 | 1154399 | 100 | 1021355 | 100 |

## Government Sector continued

Figure 3.1 presents provincial distribution of R\&D activity. As compared to other provinces, the Western Cape accounts for a greater proportion of government R\&D expenditure with $32.9 \%$, followed by Gauteng province with $23.2 \%$ in 2008/09. The 2008/09 survey results indicate that this represented a $2.2 \%$ drop in Gauteng's GOVERD from 25.4\% in the 2007/08
survey. GOVERD in KwaZulu-Natal and the Northern Cape provinces rose from $6.6 \%$ and $5.8 \%$ respectively in $2007 / 08$ to $10.1 \%$ and $6.2 \%$ in 2008/09. Whilst Limpopo and North West also recorded slight increases between 2007/08 and 2008/09, the rest of the provinces recorded declines in GOVERD for the 2008/09 period.

FIGURE 3.1: PROVINCIAL DISTRIBUTION OF GOVERNMENT R\&D ACTIVITY (2008/09 AND 2007/08)


### 3.3.3 Orientation of GOVERD

Table 3.7 provides a breakdown of GOVERD by research fields (RFs). Total R\&D expenditure in the government sector was highest in the social sciences, which accounted for $23.5 \%$ of total expenditure in 2008/09. A percentage increase in total R\&D expenditure was observed in the medical and health sciences (3.6\%), the earth sciences (0.8\%), the
humanities (5.7\%), and the environmental sciences (35.9\%) between 2008/09 and 2007/08. Proportions of R\&D expenditure dropped between 2007/08 and 2008/09 in the following research fields: information, computer and communication (72.9\%), chemical science (24.9\%), the engineering sciences (18.9\%) and the agricultural sciences (3.8\%).

TABLE 3.7: GOVERD BY RESEARCH FIELD (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| MAIN RESEARCH FIELD | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Natural sciences, technology \& engineering | 824394 | 72.3 | 874425 | 75.7 | 808404 | 79.2 |
| Mathematical sciences | 20704 | 1.8 | 20643 | 1.8 | 24823 | 2.4 |
| Physical sciences | 45804 | 4.0 | 45052 | 3.9 | 24726 | 2.4 |
| Chemical sciences | 17009 | 1.5 | 22672 | 2.0 | 16622 | 1.6 |
| Earth sciences | 163156 | 14.3 | 161815 | 14.0 | 109959 | 10.8 |
| Information, computer \& communication | 22191 | 1.9 | 82123 | 7.1 | 56323 | 5.5 |
| Applied sciences and technologies | 15852 | 1.4 | 15286 | 1.3 | 31603 | 3.1 |
| Engineering sciences | 11487 | 1.0 | 14164 | 1.2 | 26008 | 2.5 |
| Biological sciences | 125152 | 11.0 | 113409 | 9.8 | 99841 | 9.8 |
| Agricultural sciences | 200598 | 17.6 | 208662 | 18.1 | 170347 | 16.7 |
| Medical and Health sciences | 180260 | 15.8 | 173929 | 15.1 | 187741 | 18.4 |
| Environmental sciences | 11675 | 1.0 | 8589 | 0.7 | 40851 | 4.0 |
| Material sciences | 640 | 0.1 | 637 | 0.1 | 158 | 0.0 |
| Marine sciences | 9866 | 0.9 | 7445 | 0.6 | 19402 | 1.9 |
| Division 2: Social sciences \& humanities | 315282 | 27.7 | 279974 | 24.3 | 212951 | 20.8 |
| Social sciences | 268058 | 23.5 | 235299 | 20.4 | 189155 | 18.5 |
| Humanities | 47225 | 4.1 | 44676 | 3.9 | 23796 | 2.3 |
| Total | 1139676 | 100 | 1154399 | 100 | 1021355 | 100 |

Table 3.8 provides a breakdown of GOVERD by socioeconomic objectives (SEOs). The 2008/09 survey results indicate that between the 2007/08 and 2008/09 reference periods, the government sector recorded no defence-related R\&D as opposed to the $4.9 \%$ of GOVERD in this area in 2006/07. The 2008/09 survey results further indicate that R\&D expenditure in areas aligned to economic development amounted to R373 million. This is a decrease of $13.1 \%$ from R430 million in 2007/08. However, the R\&D expenditure on society has increased by 7.5\% from R266 million in 2007/08 to R285 million in 2008/09. A notable, steady increase in R\&D expenditure in advancement of knowledge can
also be observed in the three survey reference periods. R\&D expenditure in the advancement of knowledge rose by $7.0 \%$ in the 2008/09 compared to 2007/08. The major contributor within the advancement of knowledge division is R\&D related to natural sciences, technologies and engineering. Whilst there has been a steady increase in R\&D expenditure on the latter area, there has been a steady decrease in the R\&D expenditure on the environment in the three consecutive survey reference periods. R\&D expenditure in the area of environment has decreased by approximately $3.3 \%$ between 2007/8 and $2008 / 9$ as compared to a $2.3 \%$ decrease between 2006/7 and 2007/8 period.

## Government Sector continued

TABLE 3.8: GOVERD BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Defence | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 50000 | 4.9 |
| Defence | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 50000 | 4.9 |
| Division 2: Economic development | 373251 | 32.8 | 429646 | 37.2 | 350497 | 34.3 |
| Plant production \& primary products | 66503 | 5.8 | 79290 | 6.9 | 45951 | 4.5 |
| Animal production \& primary products | 78619 | 6.9 | 79997 | 6.9 | 66655 | 6.5 |
| Mineral resources (excluding energy) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Energy resources | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Energy supply | 12387 | 1.1 | 14290 | 1.2 | 8905 | 0.9 |
| Manufacturing | 320 | 0.0 | 318 | 0.0 | 79 | 0.0 |
| Construction | 2484 | 0.2 | 3219 | 0.3 | 3911 | 0.4 |
| Transport | 12073 | 1.1 | 15386 | 1.3 | 21710 | 2.1 |
| Information and communication services | 11965 | 1.0 | 69318 | 6.0 | 32858 | 3.2 |
| Commercial services | 2405 | 0.2 | 6897 | 0.6 | 4908 | 0.5 |
| Economic framework | 105080 | 9.2 | 98537 | 8.5 | 76965 | 7.5 |
| Natural resources | 81415 | 7.1 | 62394 | 5.4 | 88558 | 8.7 |
| Division 3: Society | 285961 | 25.1 | 265948 | 23.1 | 341911 | 33.5 |
| Health | 74784 | 6.6 | 69493 | 6.0 | 150704 | 14.8 |
| Education and training | 127907 | 11.2 | 111407 | 9.7 | 112042 | 11.0 |
| Social development and community services | 83270 | 7.3 | 85048 | 7.4 | 79165 | 7.8 |
| Division 4: Environment | 99985 | 8.8 | 103372 | 9.0 | 105792 | 10.4 |
| Environment unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Environmental knowledge | 83429 | 7.3 | 71734 | 6.2 | 74710 | 7.3 |
| Environmental aspects of development | 12424 | 1.1 | 20797 | 1.8 | 8112 | 0.8 |
| Environmental and other aspects | 4132 | 0.4 | 10841 | 0.9 | 22970 | 2.2 |
| Division 5: Advancement of knowledge | 380480 | 33.4 | 355434 | 30.8 | 173155 | 17.0 |
| Advancement of knowledge unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Natural sciences, technologies and engineering | 333561 | 29.3 | 324409 | 28.1 | 149847 | 14.7 |
| Social sciences and humanities | 46919 | 4.1 | 31025 | 2.7 | 23309 | 2.3 |
| Total | 1139676 | 100 | 1154399 | 100 | 1021355 | 100 |

### 3.3.4 R\&D Personnel

Table 3.9 presents government R\&D personnel headcounts and FTEs. The total R\&D FTEs in government increased by 6.4\% from 1950.0 (2007/08) to 2073.9 (2008/09). Whilst there was an observable decrease in R\&D personnel between 2006/07 and 2007/08, there has been a notable
increase in R\&D personnel in the 2008/09 survey. While other research support staff increased by $14.5 \%$ in 2008/09, technicians remained relatively constant. Time spent on research by government sector researchers increased to $68.9 \%$ in 2008/09 compared to $66.6 \%$ in the 2007/08 survey.

TABLE 3.9: GOVERNMENT R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT AND FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| OCCUPATION | HEADCOUNT |  |  | FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | FTEs | FTEs AS \% OF HEADCOUNT |
| 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 623 | 546 | 1169 | 805.0 | 68.9 |
| Technicians | 405 | 339 | 744 | 495.2 | 66.6 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D | 752 | 298 | 1050 | 773.7 | 73.7 |
| Total | 1780 | 1183 | 2963 | 2073.9 | 70.0 |
| 2007/08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 616 | 522 | 1138 | 757.6 | 66.6 |
| Technicians | 404 | 335 | 739 | 495.6 | 67.1 |
| Other Personnel Directly Supporting R\&D | 633 | 284 | 917 | 696.9 | 76.0 |
| Total | 1653 | 1141 | 2794 | 1950.0 | 69.8 |
| 2006/07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 624 | 487 | 111 | 784.6 | 70.6 |
| Technicians | 493 | 338 | 831 | 555.7 | 66.9 |
| Other Personnel Directly Supporting R\&D | 665 | 317 | 982 | 728.0 | 74.1 |
| Total | 1782 | 1142 | 2924 | 2068.3 | 70.7 |

The breakdown of R\&D personnel by race, gender and qualification is illustrated in Tables 3.10.1 and 3.10.2. In the 2008/09 survey, the government sector employed 2963 R\&D personnel (in headcounts), of whom 1169 (39.5\%) were researchers. Of the 1169 researchers, 236 (20.2\%) had PhD degrees in the 2008/09 survey compared to $25.0 \%$ in 2006/07.

Female researchers made up $41.1 \%$ of researchers holding PhD degrees in 2008/09; the 2007/08 figure was $38.6 \%$. Female researchers accounted for $46.7 \%$ of total researchers in 2008/09 compared to $45.9 \%$ in $2007 / 08$. Of the 546 female researchers in 2008/9, $43.2 \%$ were White, $42.1 \%$ were African, 7.7\% Coloured and 7.0\% Indian.

## Government Sector continued

TABLE 3.10.1: GOVERNMENT SECTOR R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNTS BY RACE, QUALIFICATIONS AND GENDER $(2008 / 09)$

| QUALIFICATION |  |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |


| Researchers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 26 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 102 | 64 | 139 | 97 | 236 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 246 | 188 | 21 | 40 | 25 | 23 | 171 | 166 | 463 | 417 | 880 |
| Diplomas | 10 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 21 | 32 | 53 |
| Subtotal | 282 | 230 | 31 | 42 | 32 | 38 | 278 | 236 | 623 | 546 | 1169 |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 141 | 82 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 76 | 98 | 236 | 201 | 437 |
| Diplomas | 109 | 86 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 38 | 35 | 167 | 138 | 305 |
| Subtotal | 250 | 168 | 27 | 17 | 12 | 21 | 116 | 133 | 405 | 339 | 744 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 29 | 26 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 19 | 43 | 60 | 103 |
| Diplomas | 410 | 99 | 248 | 54 | 2 | 10 | 43 | 74 | 703 | 237 | 940 |
| Subtotal | 444 | 125 | 249 | 63 | 3 | 16 | 56 | 94 | 752 | 298 | 1050 |
| Total | 976 | 523 | 307 | 122 | 47 | 75 | 450 | 463 | 1780 | 1183 | 2963 |

TABLE 3.10.2: GOVERNMENT SECTOR R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNTS BY RACE, QUALIFICATIONS AND GENDER (2007/08)

| QUALIFICATION | AFRICAN |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| Researchers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 29 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 101 | 64 | 140 | 88 | 228 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 219 | 165 | 22 | 38 | 29 | 26 | 176 | 161 | 446 | 390 | 836 |
| Diplomas | 17 | 26 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 30 | 44 | 74 |
| Subtotal | 265 | 205 | 32 | 42 | 37 | 42 | 282 | 233 | 616 | 522 | 1138 |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 137 | 87 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 15 | 74 | 95 | 230 | 206 | 436 |
| Diplomas | 109 | 86 | 19 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 39 | 31 | 172 | 129 | 301 |
| Subtotal | 246 | 173 | 30 | 17 | 13 | 19 | 115 | 126 | 404 | 335 | 739 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 27 | 24 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 17 | 40 | 55 | 95 |
| Diplomas | 325 | 111 | 233 | 36 | 2 | 12 | 27 | 70 | 587 | 229 | 816 |
| Subtotal | 357 | 135 | 235 | 45 | 3 | 17 | 38 | 87 | 633 | 284 | 917 |
| Total | 868 | 513 | 297 | 104 | 53 | 78 | 435 | 446 | 1653 | 1141 | 2794 |

3.3.5 R\&D in multidisciplinary and other specific areas

### 3.3.5. 1 Multidisciplinary R\&D

Table 3.11 presents GOVERD by multidisciplinary R\&D. The government sector reported $0.4 \%$ of total R\&D performed in nanotechnology. Expenditure on R\&D performed in biotechnology has increased from R8.6 million in 2007/08 to R21.7 million in 2008/09.

TABLE 3.11: GOVERD BY MULTIDISCIPLINARY R\&D (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| MULTIDISCIPLINARY AREA <br> OF R\&D | $2008 / 09$ |  | 2007/08 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | R'000 $^{\prime}$ | $\%$ | $R^{\prime} 000$ | $\%$ |
| Biotechnology | 21729 | 1.9 | 8639 | 0.7 |
| Nanotechnology | 4652 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 26381 | 2.3 | 8639 | 0.7 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 1139676 | 100 | 1154399 | 100 |

3.3.5.2 Government sector R\&D expenditure by specific areas of national interest

Total research and development expenditure by specific areas of national interest has dropped by R17 million in 2008/09 (75.9\%) (Table 3.12). This drop in R\&D expenditure was mostly observed in TB, HIV/AIDS and malaria and in open source software research areas. An increase in R\&D expenditure on new materials was also observed in the 2008/09 survey.

TABLE 3.12: GOVERD BY SPECIFIC AREAS OF NATIONAL INTEREST (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| SPECIFIC AREAS OF <br> INTEREST |  | $2008 / 09$ | $2007 / 08$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | R $^{\prime} 000$ | $\%$ | $R^{\prime} 000$ | $\%$ |
| Open source software | 4658 | 0.4 | 21494 | 1.9 |
| New materials | 726 | 0.1 | 630 | 0.1 |
| Tuberculosis (TB), HIV/ALDS, <br> malaria | 2 | 0.0 | 263 | 0.0 |
| Total | 5386 | 0.5 | 22387 | 1.9 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 1139676 | 100 | 1154399 | 100 |

## Chapter 4

## Higher Education Sector

### 4.1 Introduction

The higher education sector is well-defined in size and scope, and is therefore surveyed as a census. The Frascati Manual (OECD, 2002) describes the higher education sector as comprising all universities, colleges of technology and other institutions of postsecondary education, whatever their source of funding or legal status. It also includes all research institutes, experimental stations and clinics operating under the direct control of, or administered by, or associated with higher education institutions.

The higher education landscape has undergone significant changes in the past due to institutional mergers. The institutions surveyed in the 2005/06 to the current 2008/09 surveys reflect the new higher education landscape in its entirety. All universities and universities of science and technology were surveyed, as well as all private higher education institutions with a research component.

### 4.2 Survey Methods

The 2008/09 survey questionnaire was the same as that used in 2007/08. All higher education institutions used the electronic questionnaire choice with most respondents preferring the Ms Excel version. The use of hard-copy questionnaires in the higher education sector was phased out during the 2006/07 survey.

The choice of unit of measure again varied across the sector. Although the majority of institutions preferred to collect data centrally, some preferred to collect data at faculty level. In total, 24 institutions were surveyed. These included eight universities of science and technology (previously seven - Mangosuthu Technikon has been officially declared a university of technology since the last survey), fifteen universities in the public
sector and one private higher education institution.
The response of the higher education sector to the survey has been generally very good. A response rate of $83 \%$ was reported for the 2008/09 survey. Respondents who usually submit a return were able to complete the questionnaire within the deadlines set. The success of this survey, it seems, is largely due to most respondents now anticipating the R\&D Survey and incorporating survey specific fields into their institutional data collection mechanisms. However, four medium to low research-intensive institutions failed to return questionnaires. The primary reason for this seems to be that the data are simply not available in the form required by the survey and new respondents, who had no previous knowledge of the R\&D Surveys, had to take responsibility for the survey. In these cases supplementary data sources such as HEMIS, NRF, MRC, THRIP and the Innovation Fund were used to populate questionnaires that had missing information and to populate questionnaires for institutions that did not submit a return for the 2008/09 survey. Once questionnaires for these institutions had been populated, they were sent to the research deans at the institutions concerned for signing off.

### 4.3 Detailed Results

### 4.3.1 Key Results

Table 4.1 shows that the University of Cape Town had the highest R\&D expenditure of R698 million in 2008/09 followed by the University of the Witwatersrand (R616 million) and the University of KwaZulu Natal (R554 million). The University of Cape Town also had the highest headcount of researchers (2 321) followed by the University of Pretoria (1993) and the University of KwaZulu Natal (1871).

TABLE 4.1: HIGHER EDUCATION OVERVIEW (2008/09)

| 2008/09 RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT SURVEY HIGHER EDUCATION | TOTAL R\&D EXPENDITURE (R'000) | RESEARCHER HEADCOUNT | RESEARCHER FTEs | POSTGRAD HEADCOUNT | POSTGRAD FTEs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private Universities | 13358 | 53 | 21.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Monash University | 13358 | 53 | 21.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Universities | 3885628 | 14086 | 3308.0 | 10516 | 6007.0 |
| Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University | 84510 | 437 | 66.3 | 356 | 173.9 |
| North West University | 226185 | 1298 | 428.3 | 796 | 630.7 |
| Rhodes University | 99897 | 291 | 104.0 | 256 | 256.0 |
| University of Cape Town | 698000 | 2321 | 545.7 | 1467 | 744.0 |
| University of Fort Hare | 10157 | 69 | 13.5 | 155 | 93.0 |
| University of Johannesburg | 128455 | 689 | 147.3 | 622 | 622.0 |
| University of KwaZulu Natal | 554273 | 1871 | 479.6 | 1168 | 506.0 |
| University of Limpopo | 32193 | 413 | 65.2 | 136 | 59.7 |
| University of Pretoria | 551344 | 1993 | 360.1 | 1563 | 681.5 |
| University of South Africa | 146730 | 1051 | 235.2 | 778 | 402.5 |
| University of Stellenbosch | 401557 | 1043 | 300.3 | 986 | 521.5 |
| University of the Free State | 180874 | 109 | 37.5 | 587 | 233.5 |
| University of the Western Cape | 132972 | 516 | 221.2 | 364 | 218.4 |
| University of the Witwatersrand | 616702 | 1754 | 263.0 | 1131 | 783.0 |
| University of Zululand | 21779 | 231 | 40.0 | 151 | 81.2 |
| Universities of (Science) and Technology | 292380 | 2174 | 315.0 | 482 | 303.0 |
| Cape Peninsula University of Technology | 52321 | 275 | 45.8 | 106 | 106.0 |
| Central University of Technology | 31174 | 119 | 26.0 | 68 | 40.3 |
| Durban Institute of Technology | 55076 | 299 | 37.0 | 60 | 27.7 |
| Mangosuthu Technikon | 4526 | 32 | 6.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tshwane University of Technology | 89298 | 455 | 70.5 | 157 | 74.4 |
| University of Venda for Science and Technology | 8931 | 278 | 20.0 | 49 | 29.4 |
| Vaal University of Technology | 19113 | 190 | 29.0 | 29 | 16.0 |
| Walter Sisulu University of Technology and Science | 31941 | 526 | 80.0 | 13 | 9.0 |
| Total | 4191366 | 16313 | 3643.0 | 10998 | 6310.0 |

Higher education expenditure on research and experimental development (HERD) increased by $15.7 \%$ in nominal terms from the figure of R3.6 billion reported in 2007/08 to R4.2 billion in 2008/09,
compared with the $9.8 \%$ increase observed in the 2007 academic year (Table 4.2). Higher education accounted for $19.9 \%$ of GERD and was the second largest contributor to GERD in 2008/09.

## Higher Education Sector conitived

TABLE 4.2: IN-HOUSE R\&D EXPENDITURE PER SECTOR (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| SECTOR | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Business enterprise | 12332012 | 58.6 | 10738456 | 57.7 | 9243165 | 55.9 |
| Government | 1139676 | 5.4 | 1154399 | 6.2 | 1021355 | 6.2 |
| Higher education | 4191366 | 19.9 | 3621862 | 19.4 | 3298808 | 20.0 |
| Universities of Technology | 292380 | 1.4 | 234422 | 1.2 | 230236 | 1.4 |
| Universities | 3885628 | 18.5 | 3377829 | 18.1 | 3059362 | 18.5 |
| Private higher education | 13358 | 0.1 | 9611 | 0.1 | 9210 | 0.1 |
| Not-for-profit | 240649 | 1.1 | 223202 | 1.2 | 212538 | 1.3 |
| Science councils | 3137343 | 14.9 | 2886094 | 15.5 | 2744718 | 16.6 |
| Grand Total | 21041046 | 100 | 18624013 | 100 | 16520584 | 100 |

In 2008/09 HERD as a percentage of GDP remained the same at $0.18 \%$ as in 2007/08 (Table 4.3). Total higher education (HE) R\&D personnel (FTEs) decreased from 5168.9 in 2006/07 to 4859.3 in 2008/09. The

HE researcher FTEs (excluding postgraduate students) have remained relatively stable during the last three survey rounds.

TABLE 4.3: MAIN INDICATORS OF THE HIGHER EDUCATION SECTOR (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)*

| MAIN INDICATORS | $2008 / 09$ | $2007 / 08$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HERD (Rand million) | 4191 | 3622 | $2006 / 07$ |
| HERD as a \% of GDP | $0.18 \%$ | $0.18 \%$ |  |
| Total HE R\&D personnel (FTES) | 4859.3 | 5178.1 | $0.19 \%$ |
| Total HE researchers* (FTEs) | 3643.5 | 3168.9 |  |
| $\%$ HERD financed by Industry | $10.8 \%$ | 3672.3 | 3657.8 |

* Excluding postgraduate students

The higher education sector, including doctoral and postdoctoral students, accounted for approximately $53 \%$ of the total R\&D human resources in the country during 2008/09. Of the 39955 researchers in South

Africa, 68.4\% (including doctoral and postdoctoral students) are found in the higher education sector (Table 4.4).

TABLE 4.4: HEADCOUNT OF R\&D PERSONNEL BY SECTOR (2008/09 AND 2007/08)*

| SECTOR | RESEARCHERS |  | TECHNICIANS DIRECTLYSUPPORTING R\&D |  | OTHER PERSONNEL DIRECTLY SUPPORTING R\&D |  | GRAND TOTAL |  | PERCENTAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2007/08 |
| Business enterprise | 8560 | 8336 | 5584 | 5303 | 4451 | 4312 | 18595 | 17951 | 31.6 | 30.2 |
| Government | 1169 | 1138 | 744 | 739 | 1050 | 917 | 2963 | 2794 | 5.0 | 4.7 |
| Higher education* | 16313 | 17008 | 2054 | 2006 | 1856 | 2351 | 20223 | 21365 | 34.3 | 36.0 |
| Universities of technology | 2174 | 2241 | 310 | 331 | 295 | 293 | 2779 | 2865 | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| Universities | 14086 | 14720 | 1743 | 1675 | 1558 | 2056 | 17387 | 18451 | 29.5 | 31.1 |
| Private higher education | 53 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 57 | 49 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Not-for-profit | 262 | 264 | 77 | 77 | 163 | 161 | 502 | 502 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Science councils | 2648 | 2594 | 1302 | 1351 | 1659 | 2043 | 5609 | 5988 | 9.5 | 10.1 |
| Grand total | 28952 | 29340 | 9761 | 9476 | 9197 | 9784 | 47892 | 48600 | 81.3 | 81.9 |
| Higher education doctoral and postdoctoral students | 11003 | 10744 | - | - | - | - | 11003 | 10744 | 18.7 | 18.1 |
| Total | 39955 | 40084 | 9761 | 9476 | 9197 | 9784 | 58895 | 59344 | 100 | 100 |

*Excluding postgraduate and postdoctoral students

### 4.3.2 Financial Data

According to the data presented in Table 4.5 current expenditure (labour costs and other current expenditure) accounted for $93.3 \%$ of HERD, with just over 6.7\%
investment in infrastructure and research equipment. This is similar to the results of previous surveys.

TABLE 4.5: HERD BY ACCOUNTING CATEGORY (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Capital expenditure on R\&D | 281193 | 6.7 | 295813 | 8.2 | 216037 | 6.5 |
| Land: buildings and other structures | 38564 | 0.9 | 51734 | 1.4 | 69123 | 2.1 |
| Vehicles, plant, machinery, equipment | 242629 | 5.8 | 244079 | 6.7 | 146914 | 4.5 |
| Current expenditure | 3910173 | 93.3 | 3326049 | 91.8 | 3082771 | 93.5 |
| Labour costs | 1504542 | 35.9 | 1466379 | 40.5 | 1376395 | 41.7 |
| Total cost of R\&D postgraduate students | 532883 | 12.7 | 495128 | 13.7 | 438486 | 13.3 |
| Other current expenditure | 1872748 | 44.7 | 1364542 | 37.7 | 1267890 | 38.4 |
| Total | 4191366 | 100 | 3621862 | 100 | 3298808 | 100 |

## Higher Education Sector conitived

Basic research accounted for the largest proportion of HERD at $46.9 \%$, followed by applied research (35.0\%) and experimental research (18.1\%), as indicated in Table 4.6. A decrease in basic research was evident
in 2008/09, however when comparing the figures reported in the last three surveys fluctuations in the amount spent on applied R\&D are noticeable.

TABLE 4.6: HERD BY TYPE OF RESEARCH (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)*

| TYPE OF RESEARCH | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Basic research | 1965121 | 46.9 | 1709334 | 47.2 | 1348299 | 40.9 |
| Applied research | 1468624 | 35.0 | 1262425 | 34.9 | 1282627 | 38.9 |
| Experimental development | 757621 | 18.1 | 650102 | 17.9 | 667882 | 20.2 |
| Total | 4191366 | 100 | 3621861 | 100 | 3298808 | 100 |

*Subject to rounding to nearest $R^{\prime} 000$

General university funds (GUF), comprising own funds and the higher education vote, constituted the largest proportion of higher education R\&D funds (47.3\%), as indicated in Table 4.7. Data from the last two surveys indicated that the proportion of GUF decreased from 2007/08 until 2008/09, although actual expenditure increased over the period.

Agency funding contributed to $16.4 \%$ of higher
education expenditure, whilst $10.8 \%$ came from the domestic business sector. In 2006/07 an increase in funding from domestic business to higher education was reported for the first time. However, the 2007/08 data saw this figure drop to $14.4 \%$ and the 2008/09 data saw a further drop to $10.8 \%$. Funding from foreign sources increased to $9.8 \%$ from $8.8 \%$ reported in the previous year.

TABLE 4.7: HERD BY SOURCE OF FUNDS (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| SOURCES OF FUNDS | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| General university funds | 1983683 | 47.3 | 1734903 | 47.9 | 1759499 | 53.3 |
| External sources | 1697175 | 40.5 | 1546458 | 42.7 | 1250128 | 37.9 |
| National, provincial and local government | 58377 | 1.4 | 64900 | 1.8 | 22666 | 0.7 |
| Government research institutes | 38609 | 0.9 | 53150 | 1.5 | 41483 | 1.3 |
| Agency funding (e.g. NRF, MRC, ARC, etc.) | 686163 | 16.4 | 489580 | 13.5 | 449738 | 13.6 |
| Science councils | 459842 | 11.0 | 419024 | 11.6 | 53748 | 1.6 |
| Domestic business | 454184 | 10.8 | 519804 | 14.4 | 682493 | 20.7 |
| Other South African sources | 100470 | 2.4 | 20215 | 0.6 | 10473 | 0.3 |
| Higher education institutions | 16704 | 0.4 | 7010 | 0.2 | 5265 | 0.2 |
| Not for profit organisations | 36593 | 0.9 | 10171 | 0.3 | 4378 | 0.1 |
| Individual donations | 47173 | 1.1 | 3034 | 0.1 | 830 | 0.0 |
| Foreign sources | 410038 | 9.8 | 320286 | 8.8 | 278708 | 8.4 |
| Total | 4191366 | 100 | 3621862 | 100 | 3298808 | 100 |

The largest proportion of higher education R\&D expenditure was in Gauteng (34.9\%) followed by the

Western Cape (27.4\%) and KwaZulu-Natal (14.7\%) see Figure 4.1.

FIGURE 4.1: PROVINCIAL DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION R\&D ACTIVITY (2008/09 AND 2007/08)


### 4.3.3 Orientation of HERD

Table 4.8 shows HERD by research field. The natural, technology and engineering sciences accounted for the largest percentage of R\&D expenditure (64.5\%), while the social sciences and the humanities accounted for $35.5 \%$ in 2008/09. Within Division 1, the medical and
health sciences constituted the largest component of R\&D expenditure (23.1\%), followed by the engineering sciences (8.4\%) and the biological sciences (6.7\%). The current survey data reflects consistent increases in expenditure devoted to R\&D in the social sciences and humanities.

## Higher Education Sector conitived

TABLE 4.8: HERD BY RESEARCH FIELD (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| MAIN RESEARCH FIELD | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} 000$ | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Natural sciences, technology and engineering | 2703975 | 64.5 | 2389525 | 66.0 | 2294479 | 69.6 |
| Mathematical sciences | 151880 | 3.6 | 109354 | 3.0 | 104323 | 3.2 |
| Physical sciences | 135002 | 3.2 | 146726 | 4.1 | 121559 | 3.7 |
| Chemical sciences | 136528 | 3.3 | 143897 | 4.0 | 106214 | 3.2 |
| Earth sciences | 136955 | 3.3 | 121419 | 3.4 | 119682 | 3.6 |
| Information, computer and communication | 125413 | 3.0 | 119600 | 3.3 | 143037 | 4.3 |
| Applied sciences and technologies | 78904 | 1.9 | 96972 | 2.7 | 101400 | 3.1 |
| Engineering sciences | 352114 | 8.4 | 294630 | 8.1 | 349889 | 10.6 |
| Biological sciences | 282280 | 6.7 | 271216 | 7.5 | 230480 | 7.0 |
| Agricultural sciences | 192265 | 4.6 | 159793 | 4.4 | 151950 | 4.6 |
| Medical and health sciences | 966365 | 23.1 | 785630 | 21.7 | 710386 | 21.5 |
| Environmental sciences | 68869 | 1.6 | 58793 | 1.6 | 58256 | 1.8 |
| Material sciences | 68467 | 1.6 | 72484 | 2.0 | 86764 | 2.6 |
| Marine sciences | 8933 | 0.2 | 9013 | 0.2 | 10539 | 0.3 |
| Division 2: Social sciences and humanities | 1487391 | 35.5 | 1232337 | 34.0 | 1004329 | 30.4 |
| Social sciences | 967204 | 23.1 | 796281 | 22.0 | 658419 | 20.0 |
| Humanities | 520187 | 12.4 | 436056 | 12.0 | 345910 | 10.5 |
| Total | 4191366 | 100 | 3621862 | 100 | 3298808 | 100 |

Table 4.9 reflects HERD by socio-economic objectives (SEOs). The largest proportion of HERD was devoted
to economic development (36.7\%), followed by society (32.4\%) and the advancement of knowledge (22.6\%).

TABLE 4.9: HERD BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} 000$ | \% | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} 000$ | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Defence | 5150 | 0.1 | 4328 | 0.1 | 2711 | 0.1 |
| Division 2: Economic development | 1539534 | 36.7 | 1271620 | 35.1 | 1199956 | 36.4 |
| Economic development unclassified | 209400 | 5.0 | 171520 | 4.7 | 150668 | 4.6 |
| Plant production and plant primary products | 153054 | 3.7 | 123126 | 3.4 | 119949 | 3.6 |
| Animal production \& primary products | 117255 | 2.8 | 95219 | 2.6 | 85256 | 2.6 |
| Mineral resources (excluding energy) | 88576 | 2.1 | 74725 | 2.1 | 89559 | 2.7 |
| Energy resources | 71648 | 1.7 | 84459 | 2.3 | 51923 | 1.6 |
| Energy supply | 106457 | 2.5 | 96209 | 2.7 | 90365 | 2.7 |
| Manufacturing | 210009 | 5.0 | 172947 | 4.8 | 210910 | 6.4 |
| Construction | 46175 | 1.1 | 28313 | 0.8 | 27521 | 0.8 |
| Transport | 29517 | 0.7 | 22770 | 0.6 | 16447 | 0.5 |
| Information and communication services | 87013 | 2.1 | 67026 | 1.9 | 80322 | 2.4 |
| Commercial services | 54604 | 1.3 | 93285 | 2.6 | 41037 | 1.2 |
| Economic framework | 193599 | 4.6 | 164759 | 4.5 | 133600 | 4.0 |
| Natural resources | 172228 | 4.1 | 77260 | 2.1 | 102399 | 3.1 |
| Division 3: Society | 1359797 | 32.4 | 1149091 | 31.7 | 1062182 | 32.2 |
| Society unclassified | 209400 | 5.0 | 171520 | 4.7 | 150668 | 4.6 |
| Health | 644763 | 15.4 | 556914 | 15.4 | 507767 | 15.4 |
| Education and training | 227502 | 5.4 | 195917 | 5.4 | 199056 | 6.0 |
| Social development and community services | 278132 | 6.6 | 224740 | 6.2 | 204691 | 6.2 |
| Division 4: Environment | 339148 | 8.1 | 317863 | 8.8 | 261464 | 7.9 |
| Environment unclassified | 69800 | 1.7 | 57173 | 1.6 | 50223 | 1.5 |
| Environmental knowledge | 135472 | 3.2 | 108189 | 3.0 | 112319 | 3.4 |
| Environmental aspects of development | 72050 | 1.7 | 93853 | 2.6 | 42619 | 1.3 |
| Environmental and other aspects | 61826 | 1.5 | 58648 | 1.6 | 56303 | 1.7 |
| Division 5: Advancement of knowledge | 947737 | 22.6 | 878959 | 24.3 | 772495 | 23.4 |
| Advancement of knowledge unclassified | 209400 | 5.0 | 171520 | 4.7 | 150668 | 4.6 |
| Natural sciences, technologies and engineering | 423469 | 10.1 | 416081 | 11.5 | 329497 | 10.0 |
| Social sciences and humanities | 314868 | 7.5 | 291359 | 8.0 | 292330 | 8.9 |
| Total | 4191366 | 100 | 3621862 | 100 | 3298808 | 100 |

## Higher Education Sector conitived

### 4.3.4 R\&D Personnel

The number of researchers, excluding post-graduate students, employed in the higher education sector has decreased by $4.1 \%$ since the last survey (Table 4.10). Time spent on research by researchers in the sector has increased to $22.3 \%$ in 2008/09 compared to the $21.6 \%$
reported in 2007/08. The representation of women researchers in the sector has remained fairly static during the last three surveys at $43.3 \%$ in 2006/07; 42.7\% in $2007 / 08$ and $43.1 \%$ in 2008/09. Note that postgraduate students are not included in these proportions.

TABLE 4.10: HE R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT AND FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)*

| OCCUPATION | HEADCOUNT |  |  | FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | FTEs | FTEs AS \% OF HEADCOUNT |
| 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 9283 | 7030 | 16313 | 3643.5 | 22.3 |
| Technicians | 1214 | 840 | 2054 | 541.7 | 26.4 |
| Other personnel | 692 | 1164 | 1856 | 674.2 | 36.3 |
| Total | 11189 | 9034 | 20223 | 4859.3 | 24.0 |
| 2007/08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 9754 | 7254 | 17008 | 3672.3 | 21.6 |
| Technicians | 1231 | 775 | 2006 | 612.8 | 30.5 |
| Other personnel | 791 | 1560 | 2351 | 893.0 | 38.0 |
| Total | 11776 | 9589 | 21365 | 5178.1 | 24.2 |
| 2006/07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 9900 | 7559 | 17459 | 3657.8 | 21.0 |
| Technicians | 1356 | 814 | 2170 | 643.8 | 29.7 |
| Other personnel | 725 | 1392 | 2117 | 867.3 | 41.0 |
| Total | 11981 | 9765 | 21746 | 5168.9 | 23.8 |

[^5]Table 4.11 indicates an increase in the headcounts of post-doctoral students and a slight decrease in post doctoral FTEs in 2008/09. An increase was also noted in the doctoral headcounts and FTEs. Postdoctoral fellows spend $85.9 \%$ of their time on research, while doctoral students spend $55.6 \%$ of their time on research. This trend appears to have been consistent for the last three years. However, it is important to note that an agreed FTE standard for PhD students must be used, as any inconsistencies in this large group may
introduce distortions. Masters students with a research component have indicated that they spend $43.4 \%$ of their time doing research, which is also consistent for the last three surveys. An FTE must also take into account that many students study on a part-time basis. According to the OECD guidelines masters students are not counted as researchers. Just over 53.4\% of postgraduate students were male. About $40.7 \%$ of postdoctoral fellows and $41.7 \%$ of doctoral students were female $\ln$ 2008/09.

TABLE 4.11: HE POSTGRADUATE STUDENT HEADCOUNT AND FTE BY GENDER AND QUALIFICATION (2008/09, 2007/08, 2006/07)

| QUALIFICATION | HEADCOUNT |  |  | FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | FTEs | FTES AS \% OF HEADCOUNT |
| 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Post-doctoral fellows | 372 | 255 | 627 | 538.9 | 85.9 |
| Doctoral students | 6045 | 4331 | 10376 | 5770.8 | 55.6 |
| Masters students | 13100 | 12424 | 25524 | 11074.1 | 43.4 |
| Total | 19517 | 17010 | 36527 | 17383.7 | 47.6 |
| 2007/08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Post-doctoral fellows | 346 | 269 | 615 | 599.2 | 97.4 |
| Doctoral students | 5554 | 4575 | 10129 | 5728.0 | 56.6 |
| Masters students | 13113 | 11898 | 25011 | 11154.8 | 44.6 |
| Total | 19013 | 16742 | 35755 | 17481.9 | 48.9 |
| 2006/07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Post-doctoral fellows | 323 | 219 | 542 | 501.3 | 92.5 |
| Doctoral students | 5621 | 4124 | 9745 | 5331.8 | 54.7 |
| Masters students | 13036 | 11955 | 24991 | 11039.8 | 44.2 |
| Total | 18980 | 16298 | 35278 | 16873.0 | 47.8 |

Tables 4.12.1 and 4.12.2 provide a breakdown of total R\&D personnel by race, gender and qualification within the higher education sector. The breakdown of researcher personnel by race in the higher education sector in 2008/09 was as follows: Whites represented the majority of researchers in the sector ( $63.5 \%$ ), followed by Africans (22.0\%), Indians (8.9\%) and Coloureds (5.5\%).

In 2007/08 the breakdown was: Whites (62.8\%), Africans (23.4\%), Indians $8.6 \%$ and Coloureds (5.2\%). Researchers holding doctoral degrees were still predominantly White (74.0\%) in the higher education sector during 2008/09. Approximately $43 \%$ of researchers in the sector were women. This percentage split has remained largely the same for the last three surveys.

## Higher Education Sector conitived

TABLE 4.12.1: HE R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT BY GENDER, POPULATION GROUP AND QUALIFICATION (2008/09)

| QUALIFICATION | AFRICAN |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| Researchers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 600 | 230 | 183 | 116 | 251 | 120 | 2762 | 1503 | 3796 | 1969 | 5765 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 1205 | 736 | 226 | 240 | 305 | 293 | 2216 | 2250 | 3952 | 3519 | 7471 |
| Diplomas | 464 | 361 | 70 | 69 | 244 | 239 | 757 | 873 | 1535 | 1542 | 3077 |
| Subtotal | 2269 | 1327 | 479 | 425 | 800 | 652 | 5735 | 4626 | 9283 | 7030 | 16313 |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 12 | 17 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 71 | 53 | 16 | 16 | 32 | 16 | 88 | 114 | 207 | 199 | 406 |
| Diplomas | 267 | 168 | 180 | 84 | 96 | 49 | 459 | 328 | 1002 | 629 | 1631 |
| Subtotal | 339 | 223 | 196 | 100 | 128 | 67 | 551 | 450 | 1214 | 840 | 2054 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 19 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 49 | 43 | 80 | 59 | 139 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 73 | 65 | 10 | 17 | 28 | 23 | 90 | 164 | 201 | 269 | 470 |
| Diplomas | 150 | 184 | 63 | 112 | 29 | 36 | 169 | 504 | 411 | 836 | 1247 |
| Subtotal | 242 | 259 | 82 | 133 | 60 | 61 | 308 | 711 | 692 | 1164 | 1856 |
| Total | 2850 | 1809 | 757 | 658 | 988 | 780 | 6594 | 5787 | 11189 | 9034 | 20223 |

TABLE 4.12.2: HE R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT BY GENDER, POPULATION GROUP AND QUALIFICATION (2007/08)

| QUALIFICATION | AFRICAN |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| Researchers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 632 | 271 | 183 | 117 | 263 | 124 | 2790 | 1404 | 3868 | 1916 | 5784 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 1373 | 932 | 216 | 233 | 382 | 331 | 2483 | 2454 | 4454 | 3950 | 8404 |
| Diplomas | 387 | 388 | 66 | 63 | 178 | 193 | 801 | 744 | 1432 | 1388 | 2820 |
| Subtotal | 2392 | 1591 | 465 | 413 | 823 | 648 | 6074 | 4602 | 9754 | 7254 | 17008 |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 9 | 18 | 27 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 62 | 42 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 13 | 75 | 100 | 172 | 173 | 345 |
| Diplomas | 320 | 175 | 195 | 75 | 71 | 37 | 464 | 297 | 1050 | 584 | 1634 |
| Subtotal | 383 | 218 | 213 | 94 | 88 | 51 | 547 | 412 | 1231 | 775 | 2006 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 29 | 18 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 60 | 55 | 101 | 82 | 183 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 83 | 88 | 24 | 45 | 10 | 17 | 96 | 229 | 213 | 379 | 592 |
| Diplomas | 208 | 266 | 84 | 179 | 33 | 42 | 152 | 612 | 477 | 1099 | 1576 |
| Subtotal | 320 | 372 | 117 | 228 | 46 | 64 | 308 | 896 | 791 | 1560 | 2351 |
| Total | 3095 | 2181 | 795 | 735 | 957 | 763 | 6929 | 5910 | 11776 | 9589 | 21365 |

### 4.3.5 R\&D in multidisciplinary and other specific areas

### 4.3.5. 1 Multidisciplinary R\&D

R\&D expenditure by national priority areas is shown in Table 4.13. About $10.9 \%$ of HERD was dedicated to the multidisciplinary R\&D areas of biotechnology
and nanotechnology, with $7.2 \%$ of this dedicated to biotechnology and $3.7 \%$ to nanotechnology.

TABLE 4.13: HERD BY MULTIDISCIPLINARY R\&D (2008/09 AND 2008/07)

| MULTIDISCIPLINARY AREA OF R\&D | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Biotechnology | 303483 | 7.2 | 253872 | 7.0 |
| Nanotechnology | 153013 | 3.7 | 170405 | 4.7 |
| Total | 456496 | 10.9 | 424277 | 11.7 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 4191366 | 100 | 3621862 | 100 |

### 4.3.5.2 Higher education sector R\&D expenditure by specific areas of national interest

Table 4.14 indicates that $21.5 \%$ of higher education R\&D expenditure was devoted to the areas of national interest: open source software, new materials,
tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS and malaria in 2008/09.
Research on health related issues consumed the bulk of this expenditure (15.5\%).

TABLE 4.14: HERD BY SPECIFIC AREAS OF NATIONAL INTEREST (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| SPECIFIC AREAS OF INTEREST | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Open source software | 49532 | 1.2 | 41234 | 1.1 |
| New materials | 202242 | 4.8 | 160993 | 4.4 |
| Tuberculosis (TB), HIV/AIDS, malaria | 650502 | 15.5 | 583726 | 16.1 |
| Total | 902276 | 21.5 | 785953 | 21.7 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 4191366 | 100 | 3621862 | 100 |

## Chapter 5

## Not-for-profit Sector

### 5.1 Introduction

The Not-for-profit sector covers the non-market, private non-profit institutions serving the general public.

### 5.2 Survey Methods

The 2008/09 R\&D survey methodology for the NPO sector remained largely unchanged. A purposive sampling method was followed whereby NPOs that were considered likely to undertake R\&D activities, as well as organisations whose primary activities had not yet been clarified, were surveyed. Previous surveys showed marginal adjustments in the methodology with the aim of ensuring a better response rate.

The baseline register compiled for the first survey (2001/02) is continuously updated and expanded for each R\&D Survey using various sources including internet searches, newspaper reports, journals and referrals. The NPO sample consists of organisations that previously completed a questionnaire, as well as large and likely R\&D performers. Experience from past surveys showed that only about 20-30 organisations actually took the time to complete the R\&D questionnaire. The 2007/08 and the 2008/09 surveys used the existing register which had been updated and included 90 organisations to which questionnaires were sent. The NPO register appears to be more or less static in number, because the number of organisations added is balanced by the number that had to be removed because they had closed down or become untraceable. The task at hand is to continuously identify and include the as yet unknown NPOs that conduct research.

Questionnaires were sent by post and email to the 90 NPOs identified and selected for the 2008/09 survey. These were followed up with telephonic support and reminders. Attempts were made to complete some questionnaires telephonically. Another strategy was to
contact respondents to enquire if their information or R\&D activities had remained the same as previously reported. If it had, we asked for permission to reuse their information collected in the previous survey (adjusted by the current inflation rate).

A total of 32 questionnaires including commuted questionnaires accounted for the 2008/09 NPO financial and human resource data. Commuted questionnaires were compiled from historic information from previous R\&D surveys, annual reports and some telephonic enquiries. Returned questionnaires were checked for completeness and accuracy of data before uploading into the SMRS.

The poor return rate underlines the necessity of finding new strategies for surveying the NPO sector as the current method or survey instrument is insufficient to measure R\&D in the sector. CeSTII is also involved in the process with Stats SA of drawing up a SASQAF quality management plan that will aim to address various issues, including poor response rates and commutation of data for the sector. The research team has succeeded in compiling a register of NPOs and has good historical data collected over the last six years. New efforts will be made to extend the register significantly for future surveys. This can help improve efforts to gain more insight into this sector and to ensure that the quality of each survey improves.

### 5.3 Detailed Results

### 5.3.1 Key Results

As was the case in the previous surveys, the NPO sector still accounts for the smallest proportion ( $1.1 \%$ ) of total R\&D expenditure across all sectors in South Africa. The 2008/09 R\&D survey found steady increases in the nominal R\&D spend over the last three surveys (Table 5.1) with total R\&D expenditure for 2008/09 of R240 million.

TABLE 5.1: IN-HOUSE R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SECTOR (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| SECTOR | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000S | \% | R'OOOS | \% | R'000S | \% |
| Business enterprise | 12332012 | 58.6 | 10738456 | 57.7 | 9243165 | 55.9 |
| Government | 1139676 | 5.4 | 1154399 | 6.2 | 1021355 | 6.2 |
| Higher education | 4191366 | 19.9 | 3621862 | 19.4 | 3298808 | 20.0 |
| Not-for-profit | 240649 | 1.1 | 223202 | 1.2 | 212538 | 1.3 |
| Science councils | 3137343 | 14.9 | 2886094 | 15.5 | 2744718 | 16.6 |
| Grand Total | 21041046 | 100 | 18624013 | 100 | 16520584 | 100 |

NPO expenditure on R\&D as a percentage of GDP has remained the same at $0.01 \%$ in 2006/07, 2007/08 and 2008/09 (Table 5.2). Total NPO R\&D personnel
and researchers (FTEs in both cases) remained relatively stable over the last three survey rounds.

TABLE 5.2: MAIN INDICATORS OF THE NPO SECTOR (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| MAIN INDICATORS | $2008 / 09$ | $2007 / 08$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Not for profit domestic expenditure on R\&D (Rand million) | 241 | 223 |  |
| Not for profit expenditure on R\&D as a \% of GDP | $0.01 \%$ | $2006 / 07$ |  |
| Total NPO R\&D personnel (FTES) | 366.4 | $0.01 \%$ |  |
| Total NPO researchers (FTEs) | 207.6 | 379.1 | $20.01 \%$ |
| $\%$ of NPO expenditure on R\&D financed by industry | $11.0 \%$ | 362.7 |  |
| $\%$ of NPO expenditure on R\&D financed by government | $13.6 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |  |

In the last two surveys R\&D personnel in the NPO sector made up approximately $1 \%$ of the total R\&D workforce (Table 5.3). The 2008/09 survey results indicate that

TABLE 5.3: HEADCOUNT OF R\&D PERSONNEL BY SECTOR (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| SECTORS | RESEARCHERS |  | TECHNICIANS DIRECTLY SUPPORTING R\&D |  | OTHER PERSONNEL DIRECTLY SUPPORTING R\&D |  | GRAND TOTAL |  | PERCENTAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Business enterprise | 8560 | 8336 | 5584 | 5303 | 4451 | 4312 | 18595 | 17951 | 38.8 | 36.9 |
| Government | 1169 | 1138 | 744 | 739 | 1050 | 917 | 2963 | 2794 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
| Higher education* | 16313 | 17008 | 2054 | 2006 | 1856 | 2351 | 20223 | 21365 | 42.2 | 44.0 |
| Not-for-profit | 262 | 264 | 77 | 77 | 163 | 161 | 502 | 502 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Science councils | 2648 | 2594 | 1302 | 1351 | 1659 | 2043 | 5609 | 5988 | 11.7 | 12.3 |
| Grand total | 28952 | 29340 | 9761 | 9476 | 9179 | 9784 | 47892 | 48600 | 100 | 100 |
| Higher education doctoral and postdoctoral students | 11003 | 10744 | - | - | - | - | 11003 | 10744 | - | - |
| Total | 39955 | 40084 | 9761 | 9476 | 9179 | 9784 | 58895 | 59344 | 100 | 100 |

[^6]
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### 5.3.2 Financial Data

Current expenditure totalled R233 million and comprised labour costs and other operational expenses (Table 5.4). It accounted for the greater proportion (97.0\%) of total NPO expenditure on R\&D. The cost of infrastructure, equipment and buildings,
and maintenance of physical plant accounted for the remaining 3.0\%. The trend in the percentage breakdown of capital and current expenditure remained similar to that recorded for the last three R\&D Surveys.

TABLE 5.4: NPO R\&D BY ACCOUNTING CATEGORY (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Capital expenditure on R\&D | 7249 | 3.0 | 7025 | 3.1 | 6974 | 3.3 |
| Land: buildings and other structures | 3137 | 1.3 | 2959 | 1.3 | 2624 | 1.2 |
| Vehicles, plant, machinery, equipment | 4112 | 1.7 | 4066 | 1.8 | 4350 | 2.0 |
| Current expenditure | 233400 | 97.0 | 216177 | 96.9 | 205564 | 96.7 |
| Labour costs | 114292 | 47.5 | 109147 | 48.9 | 98631 | 46.4 |
| Other current expenditure | 119108 | 49.5 | 107030 | 48.0 | 106933 | 50.3 |
| Total | 240649 | 100 | 223202 | 100 | 212538 | 100 |

Table 5.5 shows that more than half (54.5\%) of the total R\&D expenditure in the NPO sector was used to
support applied research, followed by basic research (29.4\%) and experimental development (16.1\%).

TABLE 5.5: NPO R\&D EXPENDITURE BY TYPE OF RESEARCH (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| TYPE OF RESEARCH | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000S | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Basic research | 70725 | 29.4 | 65337 | 29.3 | 54915 | 25.8 |
| Applied research | 131259 | 54.5 | 119982 | 53.8 | 110698 | 52.1 |
| Experimental development | 38665 | 16.1 | 37883 | 17.0 | 46925 | 22.1 |
| Total | 240649 | 100 | 223202 | 100 | 212538 | 100 |

It is a characteristic of the NPO sector that international development agencies are the largest source of funding as indicated in Table 5.6. Funding from foreign sources has been increasing steadily each year from 55.8\%
(2006/07) to 58.9\% (2007/08) and 59.6\% (2008/09). Funding from government increased marginally, from 2006/07 to 2007/08, but the 2008/09 data shows a drop from 15.0\% (2007/08) to 13.6\% (2008/09).

TABLE 5.6: NPO R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SOURCES OF FUNDS (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| SOURCE OF FUNDS | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Organisation | 9650 | 4.0 | 6325 | 2.8 | 14974 | 7.0 |
| Own funds | 9650 | 4.0 | 6325 | 2.8 | 14974 | 7.0 |
| Government | 32711 | 13.6 | 33399 | 15.0 | 29816 | 14.0 |
| Grants | 21724 | 9.0 | 18301 | 8.2 | 17352 | 8.2 |
| Contracts | 10987 | 4.6 | 15098 | 6.8 | 12464 | 5.9 |
| Business | 26591 | 11.0 | 23791 | 10.7 | 24339 | 11.5 |
| Business (Domestic only) | 26591 | 11.0 | 23791 | 10.7 | 24339 | 11.5 |
| Other South African sources | 28297 | 11.8 | 28162 | 12.6 | 24736 | 11.6 |
| Higher education | 3442 | 1.4 | 3134 | 1.4 | 2722 | 1.3 |
| Not for profit organisations | 19473 | 8.1 | 18758 | 8.4 | 19100 | 9.0 |
| Individual donations | 5382 | 2.2 | 6270 | 2.8 | 2914 | 1.4 |
| Foreign | 143400 | 59.6 | 131525 | 58.9 | 118673 | 55.8 |
| All sources | 143400 | 59.6 | 131525 | 58.9 | 118673 | 55.8 |
| Total | 240649 | 100 | 223202 | 100 | 212538 | 100 |

Figure 5.1 shows that R\&D expenditure was mainly concentrated in Gauteng (52.4\%), followed by the

Western Cape (17.7\%) and KwaZulu-Natal (16.8\%) during 2008/09.

FIGURE 5.1: PROVINCIAL DISTRIBUTION OF R\&D ACTIVITY (2008/09 AND 2007/08)


## Not-for-profit Sector continued

### 5.3.3 NPO R\&D Orientation

NPO R\&D expenditure on social sciences was $70.1 \%$ in 2008/09 with the remaining $29.9 \%$ being spent on natural sciences (Table 5.7).

TABLE 5.7: NPO R\&D EXPENDITURE BY RESEARCH FIELDS (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| MAIN RESEARCH FIELD | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Natural sciences, technology and engineering | 72018 | 29.9 | 61494 | 27.6 | 53937 | 25.4 |
| Mathematical sciences | 1041 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Physical sciences | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Chemical sciences | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Earth sciences | 1012 | 0.4 | 459 | 0.2 | 185 | 0.1 |
| Information, computer and communication | 1555 | 0.6 | 1446 | 0.6 | 925 | 0.4 |
| Applied sciences and technologies | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1407 | 0.7 |
| Engineering sciences | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Biological sciences | 2126 | 0.9 | 2005 | 0.9 | 1874 | 0.9 |
| Agricultural sciences | 19426 | 8.1 | 18324 | 8.2 | 17234 | 8.1 |
| Medical and health sciences | 36032 | 15.0 | 29603 | 13.3 | 25237 | 11.9 |
| Environmental sciences | 8396 | 3.5 | 7363 | 3.3 | 3097 | 1.5 |
| Material sciences | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Marine sciences | 2431 | 1.0 | 2294 | 1.0 | 3978 | 1.9 |
| Division 2: Social sciences and humanities | 168631 | 70.1 | 161708 | 72.4 | 158601 | 74.6 |
| Social sciences | 165924 | 68.9 | 159155 | 71.3 | 156574 | 73.7 |
| Humanities | 2707 | 1.1 | 2553 | 1.1 | 2027 | 1.0 |
| Total | 240649 | 100 | 223202 | 100 | 212538 | 100 |

Expenditure by socio-economic objectives (SEOs) in the NPO section was the highest in Division 3: Society at $58.7 \%$, followed by Division 2: Economic development at 29.0\%, as seen in Table 5.8. In 2008/09 the main
thrust observed in Division 3 was social development and community services (29.7\%), followed by health (15.6\%) and education and training (13.4\%).

TABLE 5.8: NPO R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE | 2008/09 |  | 2006/07 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} 000$ | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Defence | 2050 | 0.9 | 1438 | 0.6 | 1312 | 0.6 |
| Defence | 2050 | 0.9 | 1438 | 0.6 | 1312 | 0.6 |
| Division 2: Economic development | 69810 | 29.0 | 63450 | 28.4 | 61743 | 29.1 |
| Economic development unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Plant production and plant primary products | 17520 | 7.3 | 16030 | 7.2 | 13996 | 6.6 |
| Animal production and animal primary products | 972 | 0.4 | 918 | 0.4 | 1850 | 0.9 |
| Mineral resources (excluding energy) | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Energy resources | 1760 | 0.7 | 1000 | 0.4 | 656 | 0.3 |
| Energy supply | 2575 | 1.1 | 1438 | 0.6 | 1312 | 0.6 |
| Manufacturing | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Construction | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Transport | 74 | 0.0 | 70 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Information and communication services | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1388 | 0.7 |
| Commercial services | 827 | 0.3 | 782 | 0.4 | 622 | 0.3 |
| Economic framework | 39059 | 16.2 | 36588 | 16.4 | 37516 | 17.7 |
| Natural resources | 7022 | 2.9 | 6624 | 3.0 | 4403 | 2.1 |
| Division 3: Society | 141189 | 58.7 | 129159 | 57.9 | 127170 | 59.8 |
| Society unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Health | 37461 | 15.6 | 33549 | 15.0 | 28057 | 13.2 |
| Education and training | 32308 | 13.4 | 32161 | 14.4 | 38907 | 18.3 |
| Social development and community services | 71420 | 29.7 | 63449 | 28.4 | 60206 | 28.3 |
| Division 4: Environment | 6937 | 2.9 | 5885 | 2.6 | 4493 | 2.1 |
| Environment unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Environmental knowledge | 3406 | 1.4 | 2553 | 1.1 | 1090 | 0.5 |
| Environmental aspects of development | 593 | 0.2 | 559 | 0.3 | 209 | 0.1 |
| Environmental and other aspects | 2938 | 1.2 | 2773 | 1.2 | 3194 | 1.5 |
| Division 5: Advancement of knowledge | 20663 | 8.6 | 23271 | 10.4 | 17819 | 8.4 |
| Advancement of knowledge unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Natural sciences, technologies and engineering | 486 | 0.2 | 459 | 0.2 | 925 | 0.4 |
| Social sciences and humanities | 20177 | 8.4 | 22812 | 10.2 | 16894 | 7.9 |
| Total | 240649 | 100 | 223203 | 100 | 212537 | 100 |

## Not-for-profit Sector continued

### 5.3.4 R\&D Personnel

Table 5.9 indicates the NPO sector accounted for 262 researchers, 77 technicians and 163 other personnel directly supporting R\&D in 2008/09. There were 207.6 FTE researchers in the NPO sector, spending an average of about $79.2 \%$ of their time on research. This indicates a slight decrease in FTE numbers as well as time spent on research from 2007/08. The number of FTE technicians remained static at 56.5 as did the time they reported spending on R\&D at 73.4\%. Support
staff accounted for 102.3 FTEs and dedicated 62.8\% of their time to R\&D again representing decreases from $2007 / 08$. Females were once again well represented accounting for $55.2 \%$ of the total headcounts and $46.6 \%$ of researchers. Previous surveys show the same pattern, indicating that despite females making up the greater proportion of total R\&D personnel, male researchers are still in the majority.

TABLE 5.9: NPO R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT AND FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| OCCUPATION | HEAdCOUNT |  |  | FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | FTEs | FTEs AS \% OF HEADCOUNT |
| 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 140 | 122 | 262 | 207.6 | 79.2 |
| Technicians | 44 | 33 | 77 | 56.5 | 73.4 |
| Other personnel | 41 | 122 | 163 | 102.3 | 62.8 |
| Total | 225 | 277 | 502 | 366.4 | 73.0 |
| 2007/8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 134 | 130 | 264 | 215.6 | 81.7 |
| Technicians | 44 | 33 | 77 | 56.5 | 73.4 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D | 41 | 120 | 161 | 107.0 | 66.5 |
| Total | 219 | 283 | 502 | 379.1 | 75.5 |
| 2006/07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 127 | 125 | 252 | 203.6 | 80.8 |
| Technicians | 43 | 34 | 77 | 55.3 | 71.8 |
| Other personnel | 38 | 117 | 155 | 103.9 | 67.0 |
| Total | 208 | 276 | 484 | 362.7 | 74.9 |

Tables 5.10.1 and 5.10.2 provide a breakdown of total R\&D personnel by race, gender and qualification within the NPO sector for 2008/09 and 2007/08 respectively. The 2008/09 R\&D Survey showed that of the total R\&D personnel in the NPO sector, Africans (41.0\%)
and Whites (40.8\%) make up the biggest proportions followed by, Coloureds (10.2\%) and Indians (8.0\%). Just over half of the R\&D personnel (52.2\%) consist of researchers. Approximately 10\% of the NPO R\&D personnel have doctoral degrees.

TABLE 5.10.1: NPO R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT BY GENDER, POPULATION GROUP AND QUALIFICATION LEVEL (2008/09)

| QUALIFICATION | AFRICAN |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |


| Researchers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 37 | 9 | 46 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 49 | 29 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 41 | 64 | 100 | 11 | 211 |
| Diplomas | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Subtotal | 58 | 31 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 16 | 67 | 71 | 140 | 122 | 262 |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 10 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 22 | 20 | 42 |
| Diplomas | 14 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 22 | 13 | 35 |
| Subtotal | 24 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 44 | 33 | 77 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 6 | 25 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 15 | 21 | 52 | 73 |
| Diplomas | 10 | 35 | 4 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 17 | 67 | 84 |
| Subtotal | 16 | 60 | 4 | 25 | 4 | 6 | 17 | 31 | 41 | 122 | 163 |
| Total | 98 | 108 | 17 | 34 | 13 | 27 | 97 | 108 | 225 | 277 | 502 |

TABLE 5.10.2 NPO R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT BY GENDER, POPULATION GROUP AND QUALIFICATION LEVEL $(2007 / 08)$

| QUALIFICATION | AFRICAN |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |

Researchers

| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 5 | 32 | 6 | 38 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 48 | 29 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 39 | 56 | 99 | 102 | 201 |
| Diplomas | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 25 |
| Subtotal | 58 | 51 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 61 | 134 | 130 | 264 |

Technicians directly supporting R\&D

| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 10 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 22 | 20 | 42 |
| Diplomas | 14 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 22 | 13 | 35 |
| Subtotal | 24 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 44 | 33 | 77 |

Other personnel directly supporting R\&D

| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 6 | 25 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 51 | 69 |
| Diplomas | 13 | 36 | 4 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 65 | 85 |
| Subtotal | 19 | 61 | 4 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 27 | 41 | 120 | 161 |
| Total | 101 | 129 | 17 | 33 | 13 | 28 | 87 | 94 | 219 | 283 | 502 |

## Not-for-profit Sector continued

### 5.3.5 R\&D in multidisciplinary and other specific areas

### 5.3.5.1 Multidisciplinary R\&D

The data on multidisciplinary R\&D in Table 5.11 shows that the NPO sector had no expenditure on research
in nanotechnology and that only $0.1 \%$ of total R\&D expenditure was devoted to research in biotechnology.

TABLE 5.11: NPO EXPENDITURE BY MULTIDISCIPLINARY R\&D (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| MULTIDISCIPLINARY AREA OF R\&D | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Biotechnology | 255 | 0.1 | 491 | 0.2 |
| Nanotechnology | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 255 | 0.1 | 491 | 0.2 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 240649 | 100 | 223202 | 100 |

5.3.5.2. NPO sector R\&D expenditure by specific areas of national interest

Table 5.12 shows that in 2008/09 the NPO sector spent about $3.6 \%$ of its R\&D on TB, HIV/AIDS or
malaria related research. There was insufficient data available for 2007/08 to report on these categories.

TABLE 5.12: NPO EXPENDITURE BY SPECIFIC AREAS OF NATIONAL INTEREST OF R\&D (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| SPECIFIC AREAS OF INTEREST | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Open source software | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New materials | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tuberculosis (TB), HIV/AIDS, malaria | 8763 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 8763 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 240649 | 100 | 223202 | 100 |

## Chapter 6

Science Council Sector

### 6.1 Introduction

The science councils, as directed by acts of parliament, perform research with outcomes that are critical to the direction of policy development and the achievement of developmental goals. There are nine science councils in South Africa that are included in the R\&D Survey. The R\&D Survey provides insight into the extent to which science councils are investing in activities that enhance research and innovation and ultimately contribute to economic growth.

It is important that the purpose of the R\&D Survey is well understood at all levels of the science councils as this assists them to structure their reporting mechanisms and systems to provide the data requested for the survey. Furthermore, taking part in the survey helps them to identify where resources for R\&D are most needed within their organisations.

### 6.2 Survey Methods

The survey instrument (questionnaire) for the 2008/09 survey was updated and checked prior to dispatch. There were no substantial changes made to the survey instruments. The register was verified and contact information was updated before the fieldwork began. While the other science councils provide data through a single reporting unit for the entire organisation, the various units of the National Research Foundation (NRF) provide R\&D data individually. In the 2008/09
survey 15 electronic questionnaires were dispatched to the science councils sector.

This survey covered expenditure in the year ending March 2009 (for science councils and all government departments). Respondents were given two to three months to complete and return the survey questionnaire. Telephonic and electronic follow-ups were used to ensure that the questionnaires were returned on time.

The response rate in the science councils sector for the 2008/09 survey was $100 \%$. Where necessary, follow-up calls were made to verify data. The questionnaires were checked and verified for accuracy and completeness before capturing the data on the SMRS.

### 6.3 Detailed Results

### 6.3.1 Key Results

In-house R\&D expenditure by the science councils (Table 6.1) accounted for $14.9 \%$ of GERD in 2008/09, a $0.6 \%$ decrease from $15.5 \%$ in 2007/08. Despite this, nominal expenditure increased from R2.88 billion in 2007/08 to R3.13 billion in 2008/09 (Table 6.1), constituting an $8.7 \%$ increase in the 2008/09 survey reference period. R\&D personnel (FTEs) decreased from 5058.8 in 2007/08 to 4699.9 in 2008/09, while researchers (FTEs) in the science council sector increased from 1982.7 to 2246.7 between 2006/07 and 2008/09 (Table 6.2).

TABLE 6.1: IN-HOUSE R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SECTOR (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| SECTOR | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000S | \% | R'000S | \% | R'000S | \% |
| Business enterprise | 12332012 | 58.6 | 10738456 | 57.7 | 9243165 | 55.9 |
| Government | 1139676 | 5.4 | 1154399 | 6.2 | 1021355 | 6.2 |
| Higher education | 4191366 | 19.9 | 3621862 | 19.4 | 3298808 | 20.0 |
| Not-for-profit | 240649 | 1.1 | 223202 | 1.2 | 212538 | 1.3 |
| Science councils | 3137343 | 14.9 | 2886094 | 15.5 | 2744718 | 16.6 |
| Grand Total | 21041046 | 100 | 18624013 | 100 | 16520584 | 100 |

## Science Council Sector coninued

TABLE 6.2: MAIN INDICATORS OF THE SCIENCE COUNCIL SECTOR (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| MAIN INDICATORS | 2008/09 | 2007/08 | 2006/07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditure on R\&D (millions of Rands) | 3137 | 2886 | 2745 |
| Expenditure on R\&D as \% of GDP | 0.137\% | 0.166\% | 0.178\% |
| R\&D personnel (FTEs) | 4699.9 | 5058.8 | 4956.1 |
| Researchers (FTEs) | 2246.7 | 2300.2 | 1982.7 |
| \% Expenditure financed by local industry | 10.5 | 9.1 | 9.7 |
| \% Expenditure financed by Government | 52.6 | 64.9 | 66.7 |

The 2008/09 survey results reveal that science councils employed a total of 5609 (headcounts) R\&D personnel (Table 6.3). This constituted $11.7 \%$ of total R\&D personnel. The total number of R\&D researchers
in the science councils sector increased by $2.1 \%$ in the 2008/09 survey whilst the total R\&D personnel decreased by 6.3\% from 5988 in 2007/08 to 5609 in 2008/09.

TABLE 6.3: R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT BY SECTOR (2008/09 AND 2007/08)*

| SECTORS | RESEARCHERS |  | TECHNICIANS DIRECTLY SUPPORTING R\&D |  | OTHER PERSONNEL DIRECTLY SUPPORTING R\&D |  | GRAND TOTAL |  | PERCENTAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 | 08/09 | 07/08 |
| Business enterprise | 8560 | 8336 | 5584 | 5303 | 4451 | 4312 | 18595 | 17951 | 38.8 | 36.9 |
| Government | 1169 | 1138 | 744 | 739 | 1050 | 917 | 2963 | 2794 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
| Higher education | 16313 | 17008 | 2054 | 2006 | 1856 | 2351 | 20223 | 21365 | 42.2 | 44.0 |
| Not-for-profit | 262 | 264 | 77 | 77 | 163 | 161 | 502 | 502 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Science councils | 2648 | 2594 | 1302 | 1351 | 1659 | 2043 | 5609 | 5988 | 11.7 | 12.3 |
| Grand total | 28952 | 29340 | 9761 | 9476 | 9179 | 9784 | 47892 | 48600 | 100 | 100 |
| Higher education doctoral and postdoctoral students | 11003 | 10744 | - | - | - | - | 11003 | 10744 | - | - |
| Total | 39955 | 40084 | 9761 | 9476 | 9179 | 9784 | 58895 | 59344 | 100 | 100 |

*Excluding postgraduate and postdoctoral students

Table 6.4 provides a summary of total R\&D expenditure, researchers (FTEs), expenditure on basic
research and capital expenditure by science councils for the 2008/09 survey.

TABLE 6.4: SCIENCE COUNCIL OVERVIEW 2008/09*

| SCIENCE COUNCILS | TOTAL R\&D <br> EXPENDITURE | RESEARCHERS | BASIC RESEARCH | CAPITAL EXPENDITURE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | R'000 | (FTES) | R'000 $^{\prime}$ | R $^{\prime} 000$ |
| Africa Institute of South Africa | 28722 | 22.0 | 11489 | 2001 |
| Agricultural Research Council | 536012 | 310.0 | 80402 | 60066 |
| Council for Scientific and Industrial Research | 1337428 | 1308.0 | 187240 | 212000 |
| Council for Geoscience | 94393 | 80.0 | 73627 | 23712 |
| Human Sciences Research Council | 197000 | 86.0 | 19700 | 11522 |
| Medical Research Council | 387725 | 249.0 | 232635 | 15815 |
| Mintek | 389222 | 69.2 | 77844 | 16587 |
| National Research Foundation | 166841 | 122.5 | 93470 | 42224 |
| Total | 3137343 | 2246.7 | 776407 | 383927 |

*Note that the SABS recorded a nil expenditure on R\&D for the 2008/09 financial year.

### 6.3.2 Financial Data

Capital expenditure on R\&D in the science councils sector increased from R205 million (7.1\%) in the 2007/08 survey to R383 million (12.2\%) in the 2008/09 survey (Table 6.5), a percentage increase of $86.5 \%$. Current expenditure made up $87.8 \%$ of total

R\&D expenditure in science councils during 2008/09. Of the current expenditure labour costs made up 40.9\% whilst other current expenditure contributed $46.9 \%$. Other current expenditure stood at R1. 4 billion during 2007/08 and 2008/09.

TABLE 6.5: R\&D EXPENDITURE BY ACCOUNTING CATEGORY (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Capital expenditure on R\&D | 383927 | 12.2 | 205857 | 7.1 | 212625 | 7.7 |
| Land: Buildings and other structures | 61063 | 1.9 | 30704 | 1.1 | 53713 | 2.0 |
| Vehicles, plant, machinery, equipment | 322864 | 10.3 | 175153 | 6.1 | 158912 | 5.8 |
| Current expenditure | 2753416 | 87.8 | 2680237 | 92.9 | 2532093 | 92.3 |
| Labour costs | 1283210 | 40.9 | 1250480 | 43.3 | 1162633 | 42.4 |
| Other current expenditure | 1470206 | 46.9 | 1429757 | 49.5 | 1369460 | 49.9 |
| Total | 3137343 | 100 | 2886094 | 100 | 2744718 | 100 |

## Science Council Sector continued

In the three survey reference periods presented, science council sector expenditure on R\&D mostly went to applied research compared to other types of research
(Table 6.6). Basic research accounted for $24.7 \%$ of the total R\&D performed in 2008/09. This was a percentage decrease of $3.5 \%$ between 2007/08 and 2008/09.

TABLE 6.6: SCIENCE COUNCIL R\&D EXPENDITURE BY TYPE OF RESEARCH $(2008 / 09,2007 / 08$
AND 2006/07)

| TYPE OF RESEARCH | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Basic research | 776407 | 24.7 | 804731 | 27.9 | 647191 | 23.6 |
| Applied research | 1384860 | 44.1 | 1314770 | 45.6 | 1328996 | 48.4 |
| Experimental research | 976077 | 31.1 | 766593 | 26.6 | 768531 | 28.0 |
| Total | 3137343 | 100 | 2886094 | 100 | 2744718 | 100 |

Funding for R\&D activities in the science councils came from a variety of sources. Most funding came from government grants and contracts, which made up 70.8\% of total funding (Table 6.7). The science councils funded
about $12.1 \%$ of their R\&D while funding from the business sector decreased to $4.4 \%$ in 2008/09. The share of funding from abroad amounted to $12.5 \%$ of total $R \& D$ expenditure by science councils in the 2008/09 survey.

TABLE 6.7: SCIENCE COUNCIL R\&D EXPENDITURE BY SOURCES OF FUNDS $(2008 / 09,2007 / 08$ AND 2006/07)

| SOURCE OF FUNDS | 2008/09 |  | 2006/07 |  | 2005/06 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Organisation | 381137 | 12.1 | 422811 | 14.6 | 305577 | 11.1 |
| Own funds | 381137 | 12.1 | 422811 | 14.6 | 305577 | 11.1 |
| Government | 2221321 | 70.8 | 1874511 | 64.9 | 1829383 | 66.7 |
| Grants | 1316975 | 42.0 | 1086663 | 37.7 | 1146192 | 41.8 |
| Contracts | 904346 | 28.8 | 787848 | 27.3 | 683191 | 24.9 |
| Business | 137356 | 4.4 | 263098 | 9.1 | 265441 | 9.7 |
| Business (Domestic) | 137356 | 4.4 | 263098 | 9.1 | 265441 | 9.7 |
| Other South African sources | 5521 | 0.2 | 26768 | 0.9 | 23449 | 0.9 |
| Higher education | 677 | 0.0 | 3353 | 0.1 | 583 | 0.0 |
| Not for profit organisations | 2463 | 0.1 | 21608 | 0.7 | 22846 | 0.8 |
| Individual donations | 2381 | 0.1 | 1807 | 0.1 | 20 | 0.0 |
| Foreign | 392008 | 12.5 | 298906 | 10.4 | 320868 | 11.7 |
| All sources | 392008 | 12.5 | 298906 | 10.4 | 320868 | 11.7 |
| Total | 3137343 | 100 | 2886094 | 100 | 2744718 | 100 |

Figure 6.1 provides data on the location of R\&D activities by province, that is, where R\&D is actually performed, as opposed to where it is managed or financed. Of the nine South African provinces, only four reported an increase in R\&D expenditure during 2008/09. R\&D expenditure performed by science councils in Gauteng province amounted to 63.5\%
of the total performed in the country in 2008/09, a percentage increase of $10.0 \%$, based on actual figures on expenditure. The Eastern Cape and KwaZulu-Natal provinces showed percentage increases of $24.0 \%$ and $14.9 \%$ respectively. The Western Cape (the second highest performer of R\&D within science councils) reported a percentage increase of $8.8 \%$.

FIGURE 6.1: PROVINCIAL DISTRIBUTION OF R\&D ACTIVITY (2008/09 AND 2007/08)


## Science Council Sector continued

### 6.3.3 R\&D Orientation

In the 2008/09 survey, 27.5\% of total R\&D expenditure was attributed to the engineering sciences followed by medical and health sciences at $14.3 \%$ and agricultural sciences at $14.1 \%$ (see Table 6.8). Information,
computer and communication sciences also feature significantly at $6.4 \%$ within the science councils sector in relation to other fields of science.

TABLE 6.8: SCIENCE COUNCIL R\&D EXPENDITURE BY RESEARCH FIELD (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| MAIN RESEARCH FIELD | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Natural sciences, technology and engineering | 2916350 | 93.0 | 2623455 | 90.9 | 2530246 | 92.2 |
| Mathematical sciences | 40632 | 1.3 | 35551 | 1.2 | 27129 | 1.0 |
| Physical sciences | 115737 | 3.7 | 93583 | 3.2 | 126542 | 4.6 |
| Chemical sciences | 44271 | 1.4 | 37430 | 1.3 | 33774 | 1.2 |
| Earth sciences | 167463 | 5.3 | 147427 | 5.1 | 130879 | 4.8 |
| Information, computer and communication | 201731 | 6.4 | 212796 | 7.4 | 133328 | 4.9 |
| Applied sciences and technologies | 139267 | 4.4 | 138849 | 4.8 | 126107 | 4.6 |
| Engineering sciences | 863084 | 27.5 | 643349 | 22.3 | 642923 | 23.4 |
| Biological sciences | 171810 | 5.5 | 175592 | 6.1 | 306056 | 11.2 |
| Agricultural sciences | 442060 | 14.1 | 566561 | 19.6 | 521454 | 19.0 |
| Medical and health sciences | 447479 | 14.3 | 358726 | 12.4 | 340764 | 12.4 |
| Environmental sciences | 101920 | 3.2 | 85414 | 3.0 | 72191 | 2.6 |
| Material sciences | 155529 | 5.0 | 108068 | 3.7 | 51020 | 1.9 |
| Marine sciences | 25368 | 0.8 | 20108 | 0.7 | 18079 | 0.7 |
| Division 2: Social sciences and humanities | 220993 | 7.0 | 262639 | 9.1 | 214472 | 7.8 |
| Social sciences | 194646 | 6.2 | 238019 | 8.2 | 194040 | 7.1 |
| Humanities | 26347 | 0.8 | 24620 | 0.9 | 20432 | 0.7 |
| Total | 3137343 | 100 | 2886094 | 100 | 2744718 | 100 |

Table 6.9 indicates that in the 2008/09 survey, 50.7\% of R\&D expenditure was directed towards economic development, $16.2 \%$ went towards advancement of knowledge, society accounted for a further $13.3 \%$, while environment and defence stood at $10.8 \%$ and $8.9 \%$ respectively. Within the economic development division, science councils funded R\&D related to energy resources amounting to almost R380 million (12.1\%) followed by plant production and plant primary products amounting to R349 million (11.2\%
of the total). R\&D related to manufacturing decreased from R385 million in 2007/08 to R225 million in the 2008/09 survey. Within the society division, the health field increased from R272 million in the 2007/08 survey to R326 million in the 2008/09 survey. The R\&D expenditure in the natural sciences, technologies and engineering field within the advancement of knowledge division, increased from R361 million in 2007/08 to R407 million in the 2008/09 survey.

TABLE 6.9: SCIENCE COUNCIL EXPENDITURE BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE $(2008 / 09,2007 / 08$ AND 2006/07)

| SOCIO-ECONOMIC OBJECTIVE | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Division 1: Defence | 280219 | 8.9 | 228603 | 7.9 | 260354 | 9.5 |
| Defence | 280219 | 8.9 | 228603 | 7.9 | 260354 | 9.5 |
| Division 2: Economic development | 1592110 | 50.7 | 1560688 | 54.1 | 1172607 | 42.7 |
| Economic development unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Plant production and plant primary products | 349907 | 11.2 | 433850 | 15.0 | 332655 | 12.1 |
| Animal production and animal primary products | 18760 | 0.6 | 25124 | 0.9 | 115649 | 4.2 |
| Mineral resources (excluding energy) | 67418 | 2.1 | 63469 | 2.2 | 62585 | 2.3 |
| Energy resources | 379859 | 12.1 | 38979 | 1.4 | 51257 | 1.9 |
| Energy supply | 0 | 0.0 | 874 | 0.0 | 8033 | 0.3 |
| Manufacturing | 225227 | 7.2 | 385822 | 13.4 | 130396 | 4.8 |
| Construction | 116781 | 3.7 | 101232 | 3.5 | 149809 | 5.5 |
| Transport | 41260 | 1.3 | 33817 | 1.2 | 30943 | 1.1 |
| Information and communication services | 24146 | 0.8 | 17429 | 0.6 | 25177 | 0.9 |
| Commerial services | 19536 | 0.6 | 8975 | 0.3 | 3546 | 0.1 |
| Economic framework | 106105 | 3.4 | 206878 | 7.2 | 85194 | 3.1 |
| Natural resources | 24311 | 7.7 | 244239 | 8.5 | 177363 | 6.5 |
| Division 3: Society | 418385 | 13.3 | 368010 | 12.8 | 359982 | 13.1 |
| Society unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Health | 326340 | 10.4 | 272905 | 9.5 | 240248 | 8.8 |
| Education and training | 50525 | 1.6 | 37449 | 1.3 | 56054 | 2.0 |
| Social development and community services | 41520 | 1.3 | 57656 | 2.0 | 63680 | 2.3 |
| Division 4: Environment | 338290 | 10.8 | 263325 | 9.1 | 225563 | 8.2 |
| Environment unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Environmental knowledge | 173945 | 5.5 | 130041 | 4.5 | 120806 | 4.4 |
| Environmental aspects of development | 59943 | 1.9 | 46190 | 1.6 | 50877 | 1.9 |
| Environmental and other aspects | 104402 | 3.3 | 87094 | 3.0 | 53880 | 2.0 |
| Division 5: Advancement of knowledge | 508339 | 16.2 | 465468 | 16.1 | 726212 | 26.5 |
| Advancement of knowledge unclassified | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Natural sciences, technologies and engineering | 407189 | 13.0 | 361714 | 12.5 | 616487 | 22.5 |
| Social sciences and humanities | 101150 | 3.2 | 103754 | 3.6 | 109725 | 4.0 |
| Total | 3137343 | 100 | 2886094 | 100 | 2744718 | 100 |

## Science Council Sector continued

### 6.3.4 R\&D Personnel

The science councils sector employed a total of 5609 R\&D personnel (headcounts) in 2008/09 (Table 6.10). This is equivalent to nearly 4700 FTEs engaged in R\&D, a decrease of $7.1 \%$ compared to the 2007/08
survey. Generally, in the science council sector R\&D personnel devote most of their time to R\&D activities as evidenced by their FTEs consistently exceeding $80 \%$ of their headcounts.

TABLE 6.10: SCIENCE COUNCIL R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT AND FTEs (2008/09, 2007/08 AND 2006/07)

| OCCUPATION | HEADCOUNT |  |  | FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | FTEs | FTES AS \% OF HEADCOUNT |
| 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 1615 | 1033 | 2648 | 2246.7 | 84.8 |
| Technicians | 681 | 621 | 1302 | 1119.1 | 86.0 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D | 829 | 830 | 1659 | 1334.0 | 80.4 |
| Total | 3125 | 2484 | 5609 | 4699.9 | 83.8 |
| 2007/08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 1602 | 992 | 2594 | 2300.2 | 88.7 |
| Technicians | 741 | 610 | 1351 | 1099.2 | 81.4 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D | 1205 | 838 | 2043 | 1659.4 | 81.2 |
| Total | 3548 | 2440 | 5988 | 5058.8 | 84.5 |
| 2006/07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Researchers | 1409 | 846 | 2255 | 1982.7 | 87.9 |
| Technicians | 891 | 679 | 1570 | 1342.1 | 85.5 |
| Other personnel | 1129 | 844 | 1973 | 1631.3 | 82.7 |
| Total | 3429 | 2369 | 5798 | 4956.1 | 85.5 |

Tables 6.11.1 and 6.11.2 provide a breakdown of total R\&D personnel by race, gender and qualification within the science councils sector. During the 2008/09 survey, 2648 researchers (headcounts) were employed within the science councils. Of these researchers, 27.5\% held a PhD degree, $62.2 \%$ held a masters, bachelor or equivalent degree and the remaining $10.3 \%$ held a diploma. The number of researchers who held a PhD degree has increased by $8.0 \%$ compared to the 2007/08 survey. In the same period, male researchers
with a PhD degree made up 65.0\% of total doctoral degrees. There were 255 women researchers with a PhD degree with $43.1 \%$ of these women being African, Indian or Coloured. White male researchers were the largest group in the science council sector (32.9\%), followed by African males (21.1\%), white females (18.0\%) and African females (15.0\%). Indian male and female researchers together made up $8.6 \%$ of the total, while Coloured male and female researchers together made up less than 5\% of the total in 2008/09.

TABLE 6.11.1: SCIENCE COUNCIL R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT BY GENDER, POPULATION GROUP \& QUALIFICATION LEVEL (2008/09)

| QUALIFICATION | AFRICAN |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |


| Researchers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 118 | 75 | 15 | 9 | 36 | 26 | 304 | 145 | 473 | 255 | 728 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 346 | 269 | 41 | 31 | 73 | 81 | 485 | 320 | 945 | 701 | 1646 |
| Diplomas | 94 | 54 | 11 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 83 | 13 | 197 | 77 | 274 |
| Subtotal | 558 | 398 | 67 | 46 | 118 | 111 | 872 | 478 | 1615 | 1033 | 2648 |


| Technicians directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 21 | 4 | 25 |
| Masters, honous, bachelor or equivalent | 95 | 131 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 27 | 107 | 69 | 230 | 243 | 473 |
| Diplomas | 231 | 222 | 52 | 19 | 6 | 12 | 141 | 121 | 430 | 374 | 804 |
| Subtotal | 335 | 355 | 70 | 35 | 16 | 39 | 260 | 192 | 681 | 621 | 1302 |

Other personnel directly supporting R\&D

| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 24 | 10 | 34 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 80 | 100 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 9 | 52 | 70 | 156 | 196 | 352 |
| Diplomas | 450 | 324 | 62 | 90 | 21 | 21 | 116 | 189 | 649 | 624 | 1273 |
| Subtotal | 539 | 428 | 74 | 107 | 35 | 31 | 181 | 264 | 829 | 830 | 1659 |
| Total | 1432 | 1181 | 211 | 188 | 169 | 181 | 1313 | 934 | 3125 | 2484 | 5609 |

TABLE 6.11.2: SCIENCE COUNCIL R\&D PERSONNEL HEADCOUNT BY GENDER, POPULATION GROUP \& QUALIFICATION LEVEL (2007/08)

| QUALIFICATION | AFRICAN |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| Researchers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 111 | 39 | 16 | 7 | 24 | 18 | 326 | 133 | 477 | 197 | 674 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 298 | 245 | 36 | 34 | 67 | 69 | 486 | 316 | 887 | 664 | 1551 |
| Diplomas | 109 | 73 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 101 | 30 | 238 | 131 | 369 |
| Subtotal | 518 | 357 | 66 | 53 | 105 | 103 | 913 | 479 | 1602 | 992 | 2594 |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 20 | 2 | 22 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 85 | 111 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 19 | 87 | 59 | 199 | 201 | 400 |
| Diplomas | 268 | 240 | 67 | 21 | 9 | 28 | 178 | 118 | 522 | 407 | 929 |
| Subtotal | 361 | 351 | 83 | 33 | 20 | 47 | 277 | 179 | 741 | 610 | 1351 |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral degree or equivalent | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 27 | 9 | 36 |
| Masters, honours, bachelor or equivalent | 68 | 66 | 7 | 16 | 11 | 7 | 55 | 66 | 141 | 155 | 296 |
| Diplomas | 796 | 312 | 80 | 92 | 24 | 21 | 137 | 249 | 1037 | 674 | 171 |
| Subtotal | 871 | 379 | 88 | 108 | 40 | 30 | 206 | 321 | 1205 | 838 | 2043 |
| Total | 1750 | 1087 | 237 | 194 | 165 | 180 | 1396 | 979 | 3548 | 2440 | 5988 |

## Science Council Sector coninued

### 6.3.5 R\&D in multidisciplinary and other specific areas of national interest

### 6.3.5.1 Multidisciplinary R\&D

R\&D expenditure related to nanotechnology has increased sharply from nearly R48 million in 2007/08 to R173 million in the 2008/09 survey (Table 6.12). There has been a decline in expenditure on
biotechnology which has dropped from R216 million in the 2007/08 survey to R207 million in 2008/09, a decrease of $4.2 \%$.

TABLE 6.12: SCIENCE COUNCIL EXPENDITURE BY MULTIDISCIPLINARY R\&D (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| MULTIDISCIPLINARY AREA OF R\&D | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R'000 | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Biotechnology | 207250 | 6.6 | 216292 | 7.5 |
| Nanotechnology | 173834 | 5.5 | 47802 | 1.7 |
| Total | 381084 | 12.1 | 264094 | 9.2 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 3137343 | 100 | 2886094 | 100 |

6.3.5.2 Science councils sector R\&D expenditure by specific areas of national interest

Between 2007/08 and 2008/09, expenditure on specific areas of national interest within science councils increased from $13.0 \%$ to $22.8 \%$ of total expenditure
(Table 6.13). This increase in expenditure was related to R\&D performed in the tuberculosis (TB), HIV/AIDS and malaria area followed by expenditure in new materials.

TABLE 6.13: SCIENCE COUNCIL EXPENDITURE BY SPECIFIC AREAS OF NATIONAL INTEREST (2008/09 AND 2007/08)

| SPECIFIC AREAS OF INTEREST | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} 000$ | \% | R'000 | \% |
| Open source software | 67833 | 2.2 | 77885 | 2.7 |
| New materials | 157134 | 5.0 | 64131 | 2.2 |
| Tuberculosis (TB), HIV/AIDS, malaria | 490982 | 15.6 | 233917 | 8.1 |
| Total | 715949 | 22.8 | 375933 | 13.0 |
| Total R\&D expenditure | 3137343 | 100 | 2886094 | 100 |

## Annexure I <br> R\&D Survey Questionnaire




Statistics
South Africa

# CeSTII SURVEY OF RESEARCH \& EXPERIMENTAL DEVELOPMENT (R\&D) INPUTS SCIENCE COUNCILS/ GOVERNMENT/ NOT-FOR-PROFIT 2008/09 FINANCIAL YEAR 

| Organisation | Please modify address label if necessary |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

## AUTHORITY

The Centre for Science, Technology and Innovation Indicators (CeSTII), within the Knowledge Systems Programme of the Human Sciences Research Council (HSRC), conducts the Survey of Inputs into Research and Experimental Development (R\&D) for the Department of Science and Technology (DST). The Survey is a component of Official Statistics, as defined in the Statistics Act No. 6 of 1999, and all data gathered for this survey is confidential. The HSRC and DST will not disseminate any information identifiable with an organisation without their consent.

## PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SURVEY

The R\&D survey collects data on the inputs into R\&D activities performed IN-HOUSE in South Africa by all organisations (Including Business, Government, Science Councils, Not-for Profit and Higher Education). The data is used for planning and monitoring purposes and for measuring international competitiveness. Previous survey results may be viewed at www.hsrc.ac.za/RnDSurvey. This survey covers the Financial Year 1 April 2008 to 31 March 2009 (or your nearest complete financial year).

## DUE DATE

Kindly complete and return this questionnaire (by post or e-mail) to: wblankley@hsrc.ac.za or R\&D Survey, Private Bag X2, Vlaeberg 8018.

## ASSISTANCE

To assist you with queries kindly contact one of the survey managers:

| Sector | Name | Contact Number | E-mail |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Annexure <br> continued

Details of person completing this questionnaire (Please print)

| Name (With title) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Designation |  |
| Date |  |
| Signature |  |
| Tel |  |
| Fax |  |
| Cell |  |
| E-mail |  |

## THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS ARE IMPORTANT IN THE COMPLETION OF THE SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE: WHAT IS R\&D?

## Definition

This survey follows the approach of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), which defines Research and Experimental Development (R\&D) as:

- Research is creative work and original investigation undertaken on a systematic basis to gain new knowledge, including knowledge of humanity, culture and society.
- Development is the application of research findings or other scientific knowledge for the creation of new or significantly improved products or processes.

The basic criterion for distinguishing R\&D from related activities is the presence in R\&D of an appreciable element of novelty and the resolution of scientific and/or technological uncertainty, i.e. when the solution to a problem is not readily apparent to someone familiar with the basic stock of commonly used knowledge and techniques in the area concerned.

For example investigating electrical conduction in crystals is basic research; application of crystallography to the properties of alloys is applied research. New chip designs involve development. Investigating the limiting factors in chip element placement lies at the border between basic and applied research. Much business R\&D involves development.

R\&D Includes - but is not limited to:
Activities of personnel who are obviously engaged in R\&D. In addition, research activity includes:

- The provision of professional, technical, administrative or clerical support and/or assistance to personnel directly engaged in R\&D
- Management of personnel who are either directly engaged in R\&D or are providing professional, technical or clerical support to those performing R\&D
- Software development where the aim of the project is the systematic resolution of a scientific or technological uncertainty
- Research work in the biological, physical and social sciences, and the humanities
- Social science research includes economic, cultural, educational, psychological and sociological research.
- Research work in engineering and the medical sciences
- R\&D projects performed for other parties
- "Feedback R\&D" directed at solving problems occurring beyond the original R\&D phase, for example technical problems arising during initial production runs.


## R\&D Excludes:

The following specific ROUTINE activities are excluded, except where they are an essential part of R\&D:

- Scientific and technical information services
- Engineering and technical services
- General purpose or routine data collection
- Standardisation and routine testing
- Feasibility studies (except into R\&D projects)
- Specialised routine medical care, for example routine pathology services
- The commercial, legal and administrative aspects of patenting, copyrighting or licensing activities
- Routine computer programming, systems work or software maintenance where there are no technological uncertainties to be resolved.


## Annexure 1 <br> continued

## PART 1: GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Parent organisation/Department
$\square$
2. Name of organisation/ unit
3. Total number of employees working for the organisation during financial year (include staff on contract for six months or longer)
$\square$
4. Did the reporting organisation/unit perform any IN-HOUSE R\&D in South Africa during the financial year?

- In-house R\&D refers to R\&D performed by the reporting unit on its own behalf or on behalf of the others.
- It excludes R\&D projects funded by this organisation but carried out by others using their own facilities.
- In-house R\&D must be distinguished from outsourced R\&D which should be reported under Part 5 .
- Only R\&D performed in South Africa should be recorded.

Y Yes - Please continue with question 5 to question 15

N No - Please proceed to Part 5: Question 13, 14 and 15 on Outsourced R\&D

If your reporting organisation/unit does not do any In-House and/or Outsourced R\&D, please tick this box and return the questionnaire as a NIL response.

## PART 2: IN-HOUSE R\&D PERSONNEL

Report for all R\&D personnel, permanent and contract ( 6 months or longer).

## Researchers

Researchers are professionals engaged in the conception or creation of new knowledge, products, processes, methods and systems and also in the planning and management of the projects concerned.

## Technicians directly supporting R\&D

Persons doing technical tasks in support of R\&D, normally under the direction and supervision of a researcher.

## Other personnel directly supporting R\&D

Other supporting staff includes skilled and unskilled crafts persons, secretarial and clerical staff participating in R\&D projects or directly associated with such Projects.

NOTE: Do not include personnel indirectly supporting R\&D: Typical examples are transportation, storage, cleaning, repair, maintenance and security activities, as well as administration and clerical activities undertaken not exclusively for R\&D (such as the activities of central finance and personnel departments).

Allowance for these should be made under overheads in R\&D expenditure (current expenditure - Question 7D) but such persons should not be included as $R \& D$ personnel.

## 5. Headcount Of R\&D Personnel

Provide the headcount of all R\&D personnel according to categories below

| PERSONNEL CATEGORIES AND HIGHEST QUALIFICATION | AFRICAN |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |


| Researchers (incl. Research Executives \& Research Managers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doctorates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masters/Hons/Bachelors or equivalent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diplomas and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RESEARCHER TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technicians /Technologists |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctorates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masters/Hons/Bachelors or equivalent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diplomas and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Annexure 1 <br> continued

| PERSONNEL CATEGORIES AND HIGHEST QUALIFICATION | AFRICAN |  | COLOURED |  | INDIAN |  | WHITE |  | SUBTOTAL |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| TECHNICIAN TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctorates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masters/Hons/Bachelors or equivalent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diplomas and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER SUPPORT TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CARRY SUBTOTALS OVER TO QUESTION 6

## 6. Full-Time Equivalents (Ftes) and Labour Costs of R\&D Personnel

Provide an estimate of Person Years of effort on R\&D (or Full-Time Equivalents), according to the categories below.

## CALCULATING 'FULL TIME EQUIVALENT' (FTE) PERSONS

Note: For the purpose of this survey, an employee can only work one person year each year (even if he/she works several hours of over-time everyday. For example:

- a full time employee who devotes $100 \%$ of their time to R\&D
$1 \times 1=1$ person years on R\&D
- a full time employee spending $40 \%$ of his/her time on R\&D during half of the survey year: $0.4 \times 0.5$ years $=0.2$ person years of R\&D effort
- a part-time employee working $40 \%$ of a full time year doing only R\&D $0.4 \times 1=0.4$ FTE to the R\&D effort.
- 20 full-time male researchers spending $40 \%$ of their time on R\&D during the survey year: $20 \times 0.4 \times 1=8$

NOTE: please calculate FTEs for all R\&D personnel.

| PERSONNEL CATEGORIES | HEADCOUNTS (FROM Q 5) |  |  | FULL TIME EQUIVALENTS (FTE'S) |  |  | AVERAGE ANNUAL LABOUR COST PER PERSON R'000 (EXCL. VAT) <br> (B) | CALCULATED <br> LABOUR COST OF R\&D <br> R'000 <br> (EXCL. VAT) <br> (AXB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | TOTAL | M | F | TOTAL <br> (A) |  |  |
| Researchers (incl. <br>  <br> Research Managers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technicians directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other personnel directly supporting R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL LABOUR COST OF R\&D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Carry over total calculated labour cost to question 7C

## PART 3: IN-HOUSE R\&D EXPENDITURE

## 7. In-House R\&D Expenditure:

Allocate in-house R\&D expenditure as follows:

## CAPITAL EXPENDITURE ON R\&D

- The full price of capital expenses must be reported in the year of purchase (do not depreciate)
- If the asset has been/will be used for more than one activity, include only an estimate of the portion used for R\&D.


## Including - but not limited to:

- Expenditure on fixed assets used in the R\&D projects of your business.
- Acquisition of software, including fees, expected to be used for more than one year.
- Purchase of databases expected to be used for more than one year.
- Major repairs \& improvements on land \& buildings


## Excluding:

- Other repairs and maintenance expenses.
- Depreciation provisions.
- Proceeds from the sale of R\&D assets.

|  |  | $R^{\prime} 000$ (Excl. VAT) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Vehicles, plant, machinery and equipment | A | R |
| Land; buildings and other structures | B | R |

LABOUR COSTS OF R\&D

|  |  | $R^{\prime} 000$ (Excl. VAT) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Labour Costs of R\&D personnel (from Question 6: ) | C | R |

## Annexure <br> continued

## OTHER CURRENT EXPENDITURE ON R\&D

## Including - but not limited to:

- Materials, fuels and other inputs.
- Water, electricity and other overheads expenses
- Repair and maintenance expenses.
- Payments to outside organisations for use of specialised testing facilities.
- Payments to outside organisations for analytical work, engineering or other specialised services in support of R\&D projects carried out by this department/unit
- Commission/consultant expenses for research projects carried out by this department/unit
- Other R\&D expenses and indirect costs not classified in 7A, 7B or 7C.
- The relevant \% of labour costs of persons providing indirect services such as Head office, HR, Finance, security, maintenance personnel, staff of central libraries, IT departments


## Excluding:

- Contract R\&D expenses where the research project is carried out elsewhere by others on behalf of this department/unit.
- Payments for purchases of technical know-how.
- Payments for patent searches.
- Depreciation provisions.

|  |  | $R^{\prime} 000$ (Excl. VAT) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other Current Expenditure | D | R |


|  | $R^{\prime} 000$ (Excl. VAT) |
| :--- | :--- |
| TOTAL R\&D EXPENDITURE $(A+B+C+D)$ | $R$ |

## 8. Sources of Funds for In-House R\&D

Provide a breakdown of the total R\&D expenditure (as reported in question 7) according to sources of funds.

## R'000 (Excl. VAT)

Organisation

| Own funds | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- |

## Government (includes Science Councils e.g. CSIR, Departments and Institutes)

| Grants (including SPII, Innovation Fund etc) | R |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contracts | R |

## Business

| Business (Domestic only) | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- |

## Other South African

| Higher Education | R |
| :--- | :--- |
| Not For Profit Organisations | R |
| Individual Donations | R |

## Foreign

| All sources | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | R'000 (Excl. VAT) |
| TOTAL R\&D EXPENDITURE (to correspond with Q7) | $R$ |

## 9. Provincial Expenditure On R\&D

Please state the location where your organisations/unit carried out R\&D activities and the percentage of the total R\&D expenditure.

Specify where R\&D is actually performed, rather than where it is managed/financed from.

| Eastern Cape |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Free State |  |
| Gauteng |  |
| KwaZulu-Natal |  |
| Limpopo |  |


| Mpumalanga |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Northern Cape |  |
| North-West |  |
| Western Cape | $100 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  |

## PART 4: CATEGORIES OF IN-HOUSE R\&D EXPENDITURE

## 10. In-House R\&D Current Expenditure by type Of R\&D

Specify the percentage of total IN-HOUSE LABOUR COST and OTHER CURRENT R\&D expenditure by type of R\&D.

## Basic Research

- Work undertaken primarily to acquire new knowledge of the underlying foundations of

Percentage phenomena and observable facts, without a specific application in view

- Analyses of properties, structures and relationships with a view to formulating and testing hypotheses, theories or laws.
- The results of basic research are usually published in peer-reviewed scientific journals


## Annexure 1 <br> continued

## Applied Research

- Original investigation to acquire new knowledge with a specific application in view.
- Activities that determine the possible uses for the findings of basic research.
- The results of applied research are intended primarily to be valid for a single or limited number of products, operations, methods, or systems.
- Applied research develops ideas into operational form.
- Information or knowledge derived from applied research may be published in peerreviewed journals or subjected to other forms of intellectual property protection.


## Experimental Development

Systematic work using existing knowledge gained from research and/or practical experience for the purpose of creating new or improved materials, products, processes or services, or improving substantially those already produced or installed.

## TOTAL



## Percentage

\%

100\%

## 11A. Research Fields (Rf)

Classify R\&D according to Research Fields (See Appendix B in Code book) and provide the associated \% of the Total R\&D expenditure per research field.

The RF Codes are based on recognised academic disciplines and emerging areas of study.

| RF Codes |  | Percentage | RF Codes |  | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RF |  |  | RF |  |  |
| RF |  |  | RF |  |  |
| RF |  |  | RF |  |  |
| RF |  |  | RF |  |  |
| RF |  |  | RF |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | 100 \% |

## 1 1B. Multidisciplinary R\&D

Please estimate the percentage of R\&D expenditure allocated to the following areas:I

Multidisciplinary R\&D combines several research fields or disciplines. If your organisation performs such R\&D, as described below, please provide the applicable \% of total R\&D Expenditure.

Note that the percentages will most likely not total 100\%.

## DEFINITIONS

- Biotechnology is an application of science and technology to living organisms as well as parts, products and models thereof, to alter living or non-living materials for the production of knowledge, goods and services.
- Nanotechnology is the understanding and control of matter at dimensions of roughly 1 to 100 nanometres, where unique phenomena enable novel applications. Encompassing nanoscale science, engineering and technology, nanotechnology involves imaging, measuring, modelling, and manipulating matter at this length scale.

| MULTIDISCIPLINARY AREA OF R\&D | \% OF R\&D EXPENDITURE |
| :--- | :---: |
| Biotechnology | $\%$ |
| Nanotechnology | $\%$ |

No Multidisciplinary R\&D in these areas. TICK if no such R\&D is done:

## 11C. R\&D and National Priority Areas

Please estimate the percentage of R\&D expenditure allocated to the following areas:

- National Policy and the National R\&D Strategy emphasise the importance of certain areas of R\&D.
- Some of these National Priority areas are listed below. If your organisation performs R\&D in these areas, please provide the applicable \% of total R\&D Expenditure.
- Note that the percentages will most likely not total $100 \%$.

| NATIONAL PRIORITY AREA OF R\&D | \% OF R\&D EXPENDITURE |
| :--- | :---: |
| National Priority Area of R\&D | $\%$ |
| Open source software | $\%$ |
| New materials |  |
| Tuberculosis (TB), HIV/AIDS, Malaria |  |

No R\&D in these areas. TICK if no such R\&D is done:

## Annexure 1 continued

## 12. Socio-Economic Objectives (SEO)

Classify R\&D according to Socio-Economic Objectives with associated \% expenditure. (See Appendix C in Code book)

The SEO classification provides an indication of the sector of the national economy which will be the main beneficiary of the R\&D you are practising

| SEO Codes |  | Percentage | SEO Codes |  | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S |  |  | S |  |  |
| S |  |  | S |  |  |
| S |  |  | S |  |  |
| S |  |  | S |  |  |
| S |  |  | S |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | 100 \% |

PART 5: R\&D OUTSOURCED / CONTRACTED OUT

Outsourced R\&D refers to:

- Outsourced or extramural expenditures are the amounts an organisation paid or committed to pay to another organisation for the performance of R\&D during a specific period.
- This includes acquisition of R\&D performed by and/or grants given to other organisations for performing R\&D

> R’000 (Excl. VAT)
13. State value of R\&D outsourced inside South Africa.
14. State value of R\&D outsourced outside South Africa.

R'000 (Excl. VAT)

## R

15. If the amount stated in question 13 and 14 is in excess of 1 million Rands, please indicate the name of the organisation that received payment, the approximate payment made for the performance of R\&D and the associated expenditure.

State details of R\&D outsourced inside South Africa

| OUTSOURCED TO: | APPROXIMATE VALUE <br> $R^{\prime} 000$ (EXCL. VAT) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

State details of R\&D outsourced outside South Africa

| OUTSOURCED TO: | APPROXIMATE VALUE <br> $R^{\prime} 000$ (EXCL. VAT) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND EFFORT

## Annexure II

## User Satisfaction Survey

In order to improve the quality and relevance of the R\&D statistics it would be useful to receive the views of users of this publication. It would therefore be appreciated if you could complete the following questionnaire and return by fax to +27 (0)21 4611255 or e-mail to wblankley@hsrc.ac.za.

1. Name and address of respondent:

| Name and title |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Designation/ occupation |  |
| Name and address of organisation or enterprise |  |

2. Which of the following describes your area of work? Mark with ' $X$ '.

| Government |  | International organisation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Private enterprise |  | Media |  |
| Public enterprise |  | Not-for-profit organisation |  |
| Academic or research institution |  | Other, specify |  |

3. In which country do you work?
4. What is your assessment of the contents of this publication?

| Excellent |  | Good | Average |  | Satisfactory |  | Poor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

5. How useful is this publication for your work?

| Extremely useful |  | Very useful |  | Useful | Partly useful |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

6. How accurate is the picture of R\&D in your sector or research field/s as presented in this publication?

| Very accurate | Fairly accurate | Unsure | Not too accurate | Not at all accurate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

7. How easy was it to find specific information that you required in the publication?

| Extremely easy |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| Very easy |  | Not very easy |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

8. What information (i.e. tables, text or figures) were of most interest to you? Please be as specific as possible e.g. provide table, page or figure numbers.
9. What did you like best about the publication?
10. Provide any comments or recommendations for the improvement of the publication.

Thank you for completing the questionnaire.


Department of Science and Technology
Private Bag x894
Pretoria 0001
www.dst.gov.za
Tel: +27 (0) 128436300

Centre for Science and Innovation Indicators (CeSTII)
Population Health, Health Systems and Innovation
Human Sciences Research Council www.hsrc.ac.za/CCUP-RnD-7.phtml
Tel: + 27 (0)21 466 7806/02/18
Fax: +27 (0)21 4611255


[^0]:    *Subject to rounding error

[^1]:    *Including doctoral and post-doctoral students

[^2]:    *Subject to rounding error

[^3]:    * Excluding doctoral and post-doctoral students

[^4]:    *Subject to rounding to nearest R'000

[^5]:    *Excluding post-graduates

[^6]:    *Excluding postgraduate and postdoctoral students

