

WHISTLE-BLOWING POLICY

DOCUMENT NUMBER:	1/2010
DOCUMENT VERSION:	2
UNIT RESPONSIBLE:	National Fraud Committee (NFC)
DATE/APPROVED S	
NEXT REVIEW DATE:	January 2012

National Department of Human Settlements	2010/11
Internal	
• DG	
DDG'S	
CD: IA, RM & SI; LEGAL & CORPORATE SERVICES	
Director: Investigations	
Director: Security Services	
Director: Internal Audit	
Director: Risk Management	
Director: Legal Services	
External	
 Law Enforcement (SAPS and SIU) 	
NPA (National Prosecuting Authority)	

TABLE OF CONTENT

1	Introduction	4
2	Purpose and Scope of this Policy	4
3	Legislative framework	5
3.1	What is a Protected Disclosure	5
3.2	How can a Protected Disclosure be made	5
3.3	Who can make a Protected Disclosure?	6
3.4	Where can you make a Protected Disclosures	6
4	Guidelines to be followed when making a Protected Disclosure	6
5	Application of the Protected Disclosures	7
6	Exclusions from the Protected Disclosures	7
7	What are the Requirements for a Protected Disclosure?	8
8	What is a General External Protected Disclosure	8
. 9	How to make a General External Protected Disclosure	8
10	Dissatisfaction with the Response	9
11	Sanctions	9

	7/45 7/45
Reference documents	10
Supporting procedures / guidelines	
Responsibilities	10
Policy approval	10

1. INTRODUCTION

The National Department of Human Settlements acknowledges that employees are often the first to realise that a crime or malpractice could have been committed within the National Department of Human Settlements operational environment. However, they may not express their concerns for fear of being disloyal to their colleagues or because they fear harassment or victimization from management. In such circumstances, it is normally easier for such employee(s) to ignore the concern than to report what may be a suspicion of criminal activity or malpractice.

The National Department of Human Settlements hereby encourages its employees to report potential criminal and or unethical behavior through the following toll free hotline number 080

Every employer and employee has a responsibility to disclose criminal and or irregular conduct in the workplace that they may became aware of. The National Department of Human Settlements will ensure that the identity of all confidential disclosure is maintained which includes the protection of employees from any form reprisal as a result of such disclosure.

The National Department of Human Settlements is committed to establishing a culture of accountability and transparency purposed at achieving the highest ethical behavior amongst employees in line with the Fraud Policy statement (signed by the Director General).

2. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THIS POLICY

This policy applies to:-

- Past, present and future potential criminal activity and malpractice,
- Confidentiality clauses in Employees' contract and severance agreements are ineffective if in conflict with the Protected Disclosure Act

Failure to disclose criminal or irregular conduct could-

- Result in disciplinary action being taken against such employee(s)
- Could result in criminal action been taken against an employee in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act. 7 of 204

Baseless reporting-

 Unfounded and or malicious accusations could result in disciplinary action been instituted against the such an employee

3. LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

3.1 WHAT IS A PROTECTED DISCLOSURE

Protected Disclosures

Section 1 of the Act defines disclosure as -

"disclosure" means any disclosure of information regarding any conduct of an employer, or an employee of that employer, made by any employee who has reason to believe that the information concerned shows or tends to show one or more of the following -

- That a criminal offence has been committed, is being committed or is likely to be committed
- That a person has failed, is failing or is likely to fail to comply with any legal obligation to which that person is subject
- That a miscarriage of justice has occurred or is likely to be endangered
- That the environment has been or is likely to be damaged
- o That the health or safety of an individual's has been, is being or is likely to occur
- Unfair discrimination as contemplated in the Promotion of Equality and Prevention of Unfair Discrimination Act, 2000 (Act No.4 of 2000)
- That any matter referred to in the above paragraphs has been, is being or is likely to be deliberately concealed

3.2 HOW CAN A PROTECTED DISCLOSURE BE MADE

An employee of the National Department of Human Settlements should make a protected disclosure to the Toll free hotline.

A protected disclosure can be made in anonymity.

An employee can also make a disclosure without hiding his/her identity.

A protected disclosure can be made prior or after an incident takes place.

3.3 WHO CAN MAKE A PROTECTED DISCLOSURE

Any employee or person employed by National Department of Human Settlements on permanent, temporary, (fixed contract) on the following levels could make a protected disclosure –

- Employee (Any member of staff)
- Supervisor
- Management (All levels of management)

3.4 WHERE CAN YOU MAKE A PROTECTED DISCLOSURES

A protected disclosure can be made through the following:

National Toll Free Line: 0800 701 701

The following are methods through which a protected disclosure can be made -

- Departmental Anti-Corruption Hotline 0800
- Email facilities (still to be provided)
- o Fax line (012) 421 1425
- Walk into the office to make disclosure

4. GUIDELINES TO BE FOLLOWED WHEN MAKING A PROTECTED DISCLOSURE AND/OR TO BLOW A WHISTLE

- o Report the incident or allegation through the methods outlined above.
- o Obtain a reference number from the Hotline or where such disclosure is made
- o Obtain feedback within seven days of the incident been reported
- o Provide further information regarding the incident / allegation
- Matters outside the scope of fraud and corruption will be referred to the relevant offices.

5. APPLICATION OF THE PROTECTED DISCLOSURES

This Act applies to any protected disclosure made after the date on which this Act came into effect (being 16 February 2001) irrespective of whether or not the impropriety concerned has occurred before or after the date.

The National Department of Human Settlements commits itself to encouraging a culture that promotes openness. This will be done through the following:

- o Involving employees, listening to their concerns and encouraging the appropriate use of this policy/process on disclosure promoted by the Senior Management.
- Issuing this policy to all existing employees and a copy to every new employee
 of the department.
- Educating/training/informing/explaining to all employees what constitutes fraud, corruption and malpractice and its effect on the Dopartment;
- Promoting awareness of standards of appropriate and accepted employee conduct and establishing a common understanding of what is acceptable and what is unacceptable behavior;
- Promote a policy to combat fraud and corruption;
- Annual reporting to the staff on the number of fraud and corruption related cases and outcomes.

6. EXCLUSIONS FROM THE PROTECTED DISCLOSURES

Any provision in a contract of employment or other agreement between an employer and an employee is void in so far as it -

- Purports to exclude any provision of the Act, including an agreement to refrain from instituting or continuing any proceeding under this Act or any proceeding for breach of contract
- Purports to preclude the employee
- Has the effect of discouraging the employee, from making a protected disclosure

7. WHAT ARE THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A PROTECTED DISCLOSURE?

The National Department of Human settlements will ensure that any member of staff who makes a disclosure in the above mentioned circumstances will not be penalized or suffer any occupational detriment as a result of such disclosure.

Occupational detriment as defined in the Act includes but not is not limited to dismissal, suspension, demotion, transfer against ones will, harassment or intimidation as a result of one's disclosure.

An employee making a protected disclosure must ensure that he/she is:

- Acting in good faith
- Reasonable believe that the allegations are substantially true
- Complying with appropriate channels and procedures of this policy
- No malicious reporting (false reporting)

8. WHAT IS A GENERAL EXTERNAL PROTECTED DISCLOSURE

General protected disclosure is a much wider disclosure when a Whistle Blower is not making an internal disclosure, but rather making a wider disclosure to the law enforcement agencies which include SAPS and SIU. When a whistle blower is experiencing victimization of any kind, the matter will be referred to the relevant law enforcement agency.

9. HOW TO MAKE AN EXTERNAL PROTECTED DISCLOSURE

General external protected disclosure can be made when:-

- The disclosures was made to the hotline but was not properly addressed or
- The disclosure was not made to the hotline because the whistle-blower reasonably believed he / she would be victimize
- The disclosure was not made to the hotline because the whistle-blower reasonably believed that a cover up was likely
- The disclosure was exceptionally serious
- Providing the disclosure was made in good faith
- The disclosure was not made for personal gain and the allegations are substantial true.

RESPONSIBILITIES

Responsibility	DG
Implementation	Director: Special Investigations
Compliance	EMT
Monitoring and evaluation	Chief Director: Internal Audit, Risk Management and Special Investigations
Development and / or review	Director: Special Investigations
Interpretation and advice	Risk Management Committee & Audit Committee

POLICY APPROVAL

Policy no	1
Approved / not approved	
Date approved	
Director General	Thabane Zulu
	THE SAIL CALL
Signature	

CHIEF DIRECTOR: INTERNAL AUDIT,
RISK MANAGEMENT AND SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS

DATE: 14/03/W

APPROVED BY:

DIRECTOR- GENERAL:

NATIONAL DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS

DATE: 15/03/200



FRAUD PREVENTION PLAN

Objective: The framework provided on the following pages is intended to support improved service delivery by highlighting and combating fraud and corruption within the Department. This objective will be achieved by:

- (a) identifying and addressing areas of potential risk
- (b) detecting the existence of fraudulent activities
- (c) increasing levels of awareness about fraud
- (d) establishing effective anti-fraud practices

Department:

Note: The person responsible for conducting the audit should record their findings in the Outcome column, and sign the last page on completion of the audit.

Strategy	Indicator	Mervention	Outcome
Employee reconciliation	Staff count	<u>Checklist</u>	# of employees
		O Number of employees according to HR records	<u></u>
		Number of employees based on head count Action	
	·	Where employee numbers according to HR differ from actual employee numbers within the department, individual employee names and numbers need to be reconciled and the difference accounted for.	
Background Checks	Reference	Checklist	# of employees
ilecks	checking	Employee references checked prior to commencing employment	" of ciripioyees
		o Employee references checked after commencing employment	
		Employee references not checked	
į		Action	
		Where reference checks have not been done by HR, the forensic unit needs to conduct reference checks on those employees:	
		Conduct a minimum of 3 reference checks using referees provided by the employee	
		Solicit references from the HR department of the previous employer	
		Compare the results of the reference checks with information provided on the employee's job application	
		o Consult with labour relations in cases of discrepancies	
1		<u>Useful sources of information</u> : DPSA performs reference checks	

Background	Criminal records	Checklist	# of employees
checks (cont)	records	o Employee(s) criminal status confirmed prior to commencing employment	
100/10		Employee(s) criminal status confirmed after commencing employment	
		o Employee(s) criminal status not confirmed	
· 		Where criminal status has not been confirmed by HR, the forensic unit needs to verify the criminal status of the employee(s):	
 		Compare the information on the application form of the employee with information held by the criminal records centre	
		o In cases of discrepancies consult with the labour relations department	
		<u>Useful sources of information</u> : SAPS	
	Civil records	Checklist	# of employees
		Employee(s) credit status confirmed prior to commencing employment	
		o Employee(s) credit status confirmed after commencing employment	
		Employee(s) credit status not confirmed	
ļ		Action	
		Where credit status has not been confirmed by HR, the forensic unit needs to verify the credit status of the employee(s):	
		Compare the information on the application form of the employee with information held by the credit bureau centre	
[o In cases of discrepancies consult with the labour relations department	
		Credit information can be verified through 5 different credit bureau systems	
ļ		Useful sources of information: Trans Union, ITC, EXPERIAN	

Background checks	Disciplinary records	Checklist	# of employee
(cont)	records	Employee(s) disciplinary records confirmed prior to commencing employment	
·		o Employee(s) disciplinary records confirmed after commencing employment	
		Employee(s) disciplinary records not confirmed	
	 	Action	
:		Where disciplinary records have not been confirmed by HR, the forensic unit needs to verify the disciplinary records of the employee(s):	
		 Compare the information on the application form of the employee with the information held by the DPSA 	
		 Solicit references from the HR department of the previous employer (if not already done under reference checking) 	
į		o In cases of discrepancies consult with the labour relations department	
_	····	<u>Useful sources of information</u> : DPSA	
I	Employee	Checklist	# of employees
I .	Business interests	Employee business and other interests disclosed prior to employment	
		o Employee business and other interest s disclosed during employment	
į		Employee business and other interests not disclosed	
		<u>Action</u>	
		Where business and other interests have been disclosed, the forensic unit needs to verify whether it is partial or full disclosure:	
	i	 Special provision is made for the verification of business interests of senior management 	
	i	Business interests of all other employees can be verified without such provision	
		<u>Useful sources of information</u> : CIPRO, Credit Bureau Systems	

Background	Qualifications	Checklist	# of employees
checks		o Employee(s) qualifications confirmed prior to employment	i
(cont)		Employee(s) qualifications confirmed during employment	
		o Employee(s) qualifications not confirmed	
	:	Action	
		Where qualifications have not been confirmed by HR, the forensic unit needs to verify the qualifications of the employee(s):	
		 Compare the information on the application form of the employee with the information held by Umalusi and the respective tertiary institutions 	
'		o In cases of discrepancies consult with the labour relations department	•
	:	 Extra verification is needed for foreign qualifications (for both previous and current employees) 	
		Useful sources of information: Umalusi, Tertiary institutions	
	Validity of	Checklist	# of employees
	curriculum vitae	o Employee(s) curriculum vitae confirmed prior to employment	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	VILGE	Employee(s) curriculum vitae confirmed during employment	
		Employee(s) curriculum vitae not confirmed	
j		<u>Action</u>	
		Where curriculum vitae have not been confirmed by HR, the forensic unit needs to verify the curriculum vitae of the employee(s):	
		 Verify the employers, employment dates, and job descriptions given on the curriculum vita of the employee 	
	i	o In cases of discrepancies consult with the labour relations department	

Blacklisting	NDHS	Checklist	Chanta
	Database	o Department has a blacklisting database for employees and suppliers	Status
		Department has a blacklisting database for employees who defraud NDHS	1
		o Department keeps no blacklisting records	
		Action	
		Where blacklisting records have not been kept, the forensic unit needs to ascertain the blacklist status of employees and/or suppliers:	
		o Information on fraud committed by suppliers can be obtained from the SIU	
		 Information on fraud committed by employees can be obtained from current HR records and the SIU 	
		o The black list on employees and suppliers should be consulted by HR and Procurement before appointing or awarding a contract	
	j	<u>Useful sources of information</u> : SIU, current HR Records, SAPS	
	Provincial	A blacklist is provided for by the National / Provincial Treasury but not utilised	Compliance
	Database	O Names contained on the blacklisting database of the NDHS should be registered on the database of the National / Provincial Treasury department	•
		The NDHS should consult the blacklisting database of National /	
		Provincial Treasury ir conjunction with information registered on the database of the NDHS before appointing an employee or awarding a contract to a supplier	
ľ			
ļ			
Ĺ			

National Database	A blacklist is provided for by the national departments of treasury but not utilised	Compliance
	Names contained on the blacklisting database of the NDHS should be registered on the database of the national treasury department	
 	The NDHS should consult the blacklisting database of national treasury in conjunction with information registered on the database of the NDHS before appointing an employee or awarding a contract to a supplier	·

Anti-Fraud	General anti-	Annual training must be provided on general anti-fraud measures to minimise	
Training	fraud training	fraud and corruption	Compliance
		General anti-fraud training should be used to increase awareness of fraud and the reporting thereof	
		The training should be directed towards general staff and management	
		O The training should focus on highlighting the risk of fraud and corruption within the NpHS	
		o The purpose of the training should be to enable employees to recognise fraud at the early stages and to prevent the increase or spread of fraud	
	Specific anti- fraud training	Specific training interventions should be provided to individual departments	Compliance
		 Specialised anti-fraud training should be used for individual high risk areas 	- Compilarite
		 For example, staff in procurement departments should receive different anti-fraud training from that given to staff in the HR department 	
Surprise Audits / Surprise Forensic Reviews	Prevention and detection	Should be executed weekly and planned well in advance	Compliance
		Provides a pro-active means of uncovering fraud	compnunce
		O Acts as a deterrent to potential fraudsters	
		 Reduces reliance on reactive measures, which frees staff to conduct new full investigations 	
		<u>Action</u>	
		 Departments that are perceived as high risk (such as procurement and HR) should be subjected to surprise audits to maintain a fraud-free environment 	
		A surprise preventative audit can include submitting a database containing employee names to CIPRO to detect undisclosed interests	
		 The database containing the names of suppliers can be submitted to SARS to check basic compliance to legal requirements (e.g. tax clearance certificates) 	

Name and Shame campaign	Public awareness	Should be executed bi-annually	Compliance
		Will provide feedback to stakeholders and the community about successes achieved through fraud prevention initiatives	Compilance
		Will alert employees to the dangers of fraud	
		 Will shame employees who have been caught and disciplined (but without disclosing identities) 	
		o Should include information regarding policy successes and updates	
		Can promote the role of the fraud hotline in contributing to successful investigations	
	i	o Can be distributed by means of newsletters, pop ups, email notifications	

Awaiting follow-up	Notes	Date
Employee reconciliation		Date
Background checks		
Blacklisting		
Anti-fraud training		
Surprise audits / reviews		
Name & shame campaign		

Department:

RESPONSIBILITIES

Responsibility	Director General	
Implementation	Director: Special Investigations	
Compliance	EMT	
Monitoring and Evaluation	Chief Director: Internal Augit, Risk Management and Special Investigations	
Development and/ or review	Director: Special investigations	
Interpretation and advice	Risk Management Committee & Audit Committee	

POLICY APPROVAL

Policy No.	1	·
Approved/ not approved		
Date Approved	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Director General	Thabane Zulu	
Signature		

KHUIVIOETSILE GAESALE

CHIEF DIRECTOR: INTERNAL AUDIT,

RISK MANAGEMENT AND SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS

DATE: 14/03/11

1.6

Approved By:

THABANE ZULU

DIRECTOR GENERAL:

NATIONAL DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SETTLEMENT DATE: 15/03/20/