

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

21 January 2009

Via e-mail: LiLouw@justice.gov.za

Dr L Louw c/o The Ad Hoc Committee on Criminal Law (Forensic Procedures) Bill

Dear Madam

COMMENTS ON THE CRIMINAL LAW (FORENSIC PROCEDURES)
AMMENDMENT BILL

INTRODUCTION

This document is submitted on behalf of the Law Society of South Africa in response to the draft Criminal Law (Forensic Procedures) Amendment Bill.

- 1. The law Society objects absolutely to taking of non intimate samples of persons by police officials for the reasons as stated below:
- a. The police officials are not trained as medical experts and may not be able to correctly take the said samples.
- b. The police have interest in any criminal matter and it cannot be said with certainty and confidence that such samples may not be exposed to contamination.
- c. The integrity of such samples may not be relied upon.
- d. If such samples need to be taken the procedure must be done by health workers.
- In terms of the proposed amendment non intimate samples involve 'blood finger prick and this procedure is far above the competency of a police official.
- The law society further objects to the taking of body prints by police officials as this is likely to infringe on a person's right to privacy. The process will have more integrity if it is performed by a health worker. The police officers are not skilled enough to perform this duty.

DEREBUS

THE SA ATTORNEYS' JOURNAL

Tel +27 (12) 366 8800 Fax +27 (12) 362 0969 E-mail derebus@mweb.co.za www.derebus.org.za PO Box 36626 Menlo Park 0102 Docex 82 Pretoria 304 Brooks Street Menlo Park Pretoria



LEGAL EDUCATION AND DEVELOPMENT

Tel +27 (12) 441 4600 Fax +27 (12) 341 1339
E-mail liaison@lssalead org.za www.lssalead.org.za
PO Box 27167 Sunnyside 0132 Docex 227 Pretoria
Old Main Building Unisa Sunnyside Campus
145 Mears Street Sunnyside Pretoria



PROFESSIONAL AFFAIRS

Tel +27 (12) 366 8800 Fax +27 (12) 362 0969
E-mail info@lssa.org.za www.lssa.org.za
PO Box 36626 Menlo Park 0102 Docex 82 Pretoria
304 Brooks Street Menlo Park Pretoria

3. The Law society objects to the retention and storing of DNA analysis of persons who are acquitted of charges they are charged of as this will victimize innocent citizens of the country. There is no guarantee that the police may not maliciously use this information to the detriment of innocent citizen.

CONCLUSION

The process of gathering DNA samples cannot be left in the hands of the police if the rights of individuals are to be respected. If police are to be in charge of gathering this type of evidence this may encourage fraud and dishonesty on the part of the police and prosecution to the disadvantage of ordinary citizens.

The police officers we currently have in the country cannot be trusted with such a task. It is always in the best interest of justice to have an independent person performing all these procedures. The fact that the police and prosecutors have interest in the conviction rate may encourage dishonesty and malice on their part. The Bill has good intentions and seeks to accelerate the wheels of the criminal justice but this cannot be done at the expense of human rights.

Yours faithfully

TO

Raj Daya Chief Executive Officer Tel: (012) 366 8800 Fax: 086 677 8419

Fax: 086 677 8419 rajdaya@lssa.org.za