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**887. Mr H C C Krüger (DA) to ask the Minister of Rural Development and Land Reform:**

What is the status of the land transfer applications required for the Integrated Development Plan preparations in the Theewaterskloof Local Municipality for (a) Portion 1 of farm 292, (b) Portion 4 of farm Soopjesvlakte 301, (c) Portion 6 of farm 313 Hillside, (d) erf 4237 Slangpark, (e) Portion 11 of farm 295, (f) Lebanon, (g) Nuweberg, (h) erf 4233, (i) Remainder Portion of farm 95 and (j) Portion 6 of farm 287? **NW953E**

**THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND LAND REFORM:**

(a) The property description *‘Portion 1 of farm 292’* is an incomplete property description in that it lacks the necessary reference to an administrative district; this defect renders it not possible to identify the property concerned. In the absence of a complete property description, it is not possible to trace the status from the Deeds Office Tracking System.

(b) The property description *‘Portion 4 of farm Soopjesvlakte 301’* is an incomplete property description in that it lacks the necessary reference to an administrative district; this defect renders it not possible to identify the property concerned. In the absence of a complete property description, it is not possible to trace the status from the Deeds Office Tracking System.

(c) The property description *‘Portion 6 of farm 313 Hillside’* is an incomplete property description in that it lacks the necessary reference to an administrative district; this defect renders it not possible to identify the property concerned. In the absence of a complete property description, it is not possible to trace the status from the Deeds Office Tracking System.

(d) There is no township called *‘Slangpark’* that is registered in the deeds registry at Cape Town nor in the deeds registry at Kimberley and consequently *erf 4237 Slangpark* is non-existent in the registration system.

(e) The property description *‘Portion 11 of farm 295’* is an incomplete property description in that it lacks the necessary reference to an administrative district; this defect renders it not possible to identify the property concerned. In the absence of a complete property description, it is not possible to trace the status from the Deeds Office Tracking System.

(f) The property description *‘Lebanon’* is an incomplete property description in that it lacks the necessary detail; this defect renders it not possible to identify the property concerned. In the absence of a complete property description, it is not possible to trace the status from the Deeds Office Tracking System.

(g) The property description *‘Nuweberg’* is an incomplete property description in that it lacks the necessary detail that would facilitate identification of the property concerned; this defect renders it not possible to trace the status from the Deeds Office Tracking System.

(h) The property description *‘erf 4233’* is an incomplete property description in that it lacks the necessary reference to a township name that would facilitate identification of the property concerned; this defect renders it not possible to trace the status from the Deeds Office Tracking System.

(i) The property description *‘Remainder Portion of farm 95’* is an incomplete property description in that it lacks the necessary reference to an administrative district; this defect renders it not possible to identify the property concerned. In the absence of a complete property description, it is not possible to trace the status from the Deeds Office Tracking System.

(j) The property description *‘Portion 6 of farm 287’* is an incomplete property description in that it lacks the necessary reference to an administrative district; this defect renders it not possible to identify the property concerned. In the absence of a complete property description, it is not possible to trace the status from the Deeds Office Tracking System.