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**“Mrs V van Dyk (DA) to ask the Minister of Sport, Arts and Culture:**

1.(a). What is the reason that the Performing Arts Centre of the Free State did not accept and adhere to the outcome of a certain person’s (name furnished) case at the Commission for Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration (CCMA), whom both the Morar forensic investigation, as well as the CCMA, indicated was treated and dismissed unfairly, and waited four months to take it back on review, but decided to make a payment towards a certain person (name furnished) who was implicated and (b) who decided to make the specified payment;

(2). what will the total amount in costs be to reopen a certain person’s (name furnished) case;

(3). whether, if the outcome of the re-opened case is again in favour of the specified person, the legal bill will be paid by the decision-making body who decided to take the matter up again; if not, why not; if so, what are the relevant details? **NW953E**

**Reply**

1. (a). PACOFS took the matter on review because the award was made without the employer being given an opportunity to present its case. This matter is still on going.