|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ID Number:** | **Remarks** |
| 8511266138088 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017.  |
| 9410266119082 | Funded in 2016; however, this student is not on the returning student list as the students did not sign the Loan Agreement Form (LAF) or Schedule of Particulars (SOP) (LAF/SOP) and therefore no academic results were presented to NSFAS.  |
| 9506131298082 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017. |
| 9603161195088 | Funded in 2016, but the student did not sign the LAF/SOP – if signed, this student will be funded for 2017 as the results are already received.  |
| 9802180498081 | Provisionally Funded - 2017 |
| 9602290307085 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9611200436080 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9801201423086 | Funded in 2016. The student did not sign the LAF/SOP, but if they had/do sign the LAF/SOP, they will be funded for 2017 as the academic results have been received.  |
| 9606020626086 | Funded in 2016. The student did not sign the LAF/SOP, but if they had/do sign the LAF/SOP, they will be funded for 2017 as the academic results have been received. |
| 9611296112084 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9505126049088 | Provisionally Funded - 2017 |
| 9311280426083 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017. |
| 9707155648083 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017.  |
| 9408225413083 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017. |
| 9607036219080 | Waiting Availability of Funds - 2017. This means that the student’s manual application was received, but not captured yet, he/she is eligible on the grounds of the information provided and has been enrolled at the university, but funding needs to be confirmed against a specific funding category. All final funding decisions will be made on the 31 March 2017. |
| 9302175567081 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017. |
| 9501145998082 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9912180850080 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9712300100089 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9109276227085 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 8911090547084 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9801220426086 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9710270560084 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9904221162088 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9508286195089 | Financial Eligibility Evaluated – 2017. This means that these student applications have been received, their financial eligibility has been checked and their academic eligibility is still being reviewed. Decisions will be finalised by 31 March 2017 for all students.  |
| 9707186188083 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9402180455080 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9703270861081 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9711200395088 | Funded in 2016. The student did not sign the LAF/SOP, but if they had/do sign the LAF/SOP, they will be funded for 2017 as the academic results have been received. |
| 9604100763085 | Application Submitted - 2017. This application has been received, but still needs to be evaluated, subject to having been confirmed as admitted by the university. Evaluated means that NSFAS needs to check that the income information, academic results information and IDs for the student and/or his/her family match the information provided on the application. At the current stage, the application is likely to be rejected as the student did not submit any supporting documentation.  |
| 9212251027084 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9511140374085 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9705110322083 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017  |
| 9804110524085 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9709251234081 | Funded in 2016. The student has not signed the LAF/SOP for 2016, and if this is signed, they will be funded for 2017 as their academic results have already been received.  |
| 9505221179087 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9605155668087 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9704280313089 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9703190820084 | Waiting Availability of Funds – 2017. This means that the student’s paper-based application has been received but not captured in full yet. However, he/she is eligible as the student has been admitted to the institution, and funding needs to be confirmed against a specific funding category. This will be finalised by the 31 March 2017. |
| 9110011367081 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9708105447089 | Funded in 2016 – Student has not signed the 2016 LAF/SOP – if they sign, their funding will be confirmed for 2017 as the academic results have been received and assessed.  |
| 9310035966088 | Funded in 2016 and confirmed for 2017. |
| 9905101267087 | Funded in 2016 - Student has not signed the 2016 LAF/SOP – if they sign, their funding will be confirmed for 2017 as the academic results have been received and assessed. |
| 9704200814083 | Funded in 2016 - Student has not signed the 2016 LAF/SOP – if they sign, their funding will be confirmed for 2017 as the academic results have been received and assessed. |
| 9412220701088 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9309061205080 | Provisionally Funded - 2017 |
| 9203035230086 | Application Submitted – 2017. This application has been received, and has now been evaluated. Evaluated means that NSFAS needs to check that the income information, academic results information and IDs for the student and/or his/her family match the information provided on the application. A final funding decision will be made on the 31 March 2017. |
| 9605285921083 | No Application - This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9408230440089 | No Application - This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9703260537089 | Financial Ineligible - 2017. This means that the students’ application has been declined on the basis of his/her income being above R122k.  |
| 9611170748086 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9702036224089 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9311295543088 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9711181038087 | Provisionally Funded -2017 |
| 9702190613085 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017.  |
| 9511306175081 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9651053522084 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9807091198084 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9571035410080 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student did not appear on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017, and therefore no means test data was provided. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. |
| 9712140594087 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017. |
| 9707015473086 | No Application on the system. This student did not apply for funding in 2016, but was registered by the institution following the DHET circular in 2016 that provided for eligible NSFAS students to be registered. The student was included on the list of 2016 unfunded, but eligible students sent by UMP to NSFAS last year, and who needed to apply for funding to be considered in 2016 or 2017. There were students sent by UMP that could not be funded as the full allocation for UMP had already been committed to students that had applied and were funded. In this case, the student should have applied directly to NSFAS for funding in 2017. The student does qualify for NSFAS based on the means test data submitted and will be considered for funding for 2017. |