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**NATIONAL ASSEMBLY**

**QUESTION 3797 / 2015**

**FOR WRITTEN REPLY**

Date of publication on internal question paper: 23 October 2015

Internal question paper no: 42

**3797. Mr M Waters (DA) to ask the Minister of Social Development:**

(1) With reference to her reply to question 2725 on 4 September 2015, particularly the fact that Cash Paymaster Services (CPS) did not cost for the re-registration when it was clear in the request for proposals that recipients, beneficiaries, children and procurators are to be registered, why then did the SA Social Security Agency accept confirmation that CPS will only charge for the registration of other beneficiaries and not for (a) children and (b) procurators;

(2) with reference to her reply to the specified question, is the statement that the re-registration of all beneficiaries, children and procurators was part and parcel of the long-term, not a contradiction of the statement that the extension and the inclusion of the children and procurators at the same time that enrolment was taking place was agreed to in a management meeting held in June 2012, within the same document? NW4541E

**Reply:**

I have taken legal advice that this matter is before the Gauteng High Court (case 21904/2015) and therefore will await the outcome of this case.