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**QUESTION FOR WRITTEN REPLY**

**PARLIAMENTARY QUESTION NO: 2247**

**DATE OF QUESTION: 10 SEPTEMBER 2021**

**DATE OF SUBMISSION: 27 SEPTEMBER 2021**

**The Leader of the Opposition (DA) to ask the Minister of Justice and Correctional Services:**

(1) Whether he has found that the National Commissioner of Correctional Services, Mr Arthur Fraser, complied with all the requirements and provisions set out in section 79 of the Correctional Services Act, Act 111 of 1998, as amended, before placing the former President, Mr J.G Zuma, on medical parole; if not, which requirements and provisions did he find the National Commissioner did not comply with in processing the medical parole; if so, what are the relevant details;

(2) whether he established a medical advisory board to provide an independent medical report to the National Commissioner before the specified inmate was placed on medical parole; if not, why not; if so, (a) on what date did he establish the medical advisory board, (b) what are the (i) names and (ii) professional designations of each person who served on the medical advisory board, (c) on which date(s) did the medical advisory board meet and (d) what are the details of the recommendation of the independent medical report that the medical advisory board gave to the National Commissioner;

(3) whether the inmate, his legal representatives and/or the inmate’s medical practitioner(s) lodged the request for medical parole; if not, what is the position in this regard; if so, (a) on what date was the request for medical parole lodged and (b) what are the full details of the inmate’s medical parole conditions, including the appropriate arrangements made for the inmate’s supervision, care and treatment;

(4) whether he was consulted before the National Commissioner decided to place the inmate on medical parole; if not, what is the position in this regard; if so, what are the relevant details? **NW2552E**

**REPLY:**

The matter is sub judice and therefore the Department is not in a position to respond until court proceedings are finalised.

**END**