**TIMELINE: CIEX / ABSA BANK INVESTIGATION**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date filed** | **Document** |
| 1999 | The CIEX report commissioned by the government in 1999 alleged impropriety and irregularity in relation to these transactions. |
| 2010 | Complaint lodged by Accountability in Southern Africa |
| 15 October 2016 | Adv B Mkhwebane appointed |
| 20 Dec 2016 | Issue provisional report 12 of 2016/2017 |
| 19 June 2017 | The Public Protector’s Report 8 of 2017/18 - P.12 |
| 26 June 2017 | Urgent application to set aside one part of remedy  paragraph 7.2 instructs the third respondent, the Chairperson of the Portfolio Committee on Justice and Correctional Services, Dr Mathole Motshekga, (“the Chairperson of the portfolio committee”) to initiate a process to amend s 224 of the Constitution to alter the constitutional mandate of the Reserve Bank. The wording of the constitutional amendment is set out in para 7.2.1 |
| 6 July 2017 | Minister of Finance v Public Protector case no: 46255/17 |
| 31 July 2017 | SARB v Public Protector case no. 52883/17 |
| 13 July 2017 | ABSA bank v Public Protector case no. 48123/17 |
| 15 August 2017 | Annexure 1B: **South African Reserve Bank v Public Protector & Others** (43769/17) [2017] ZAGPPHC 443; [2017] 4 All SA 269 (GP); 2017 (6) SA 198 (GP) (15 August 2017) P.71  Urgent application by SARB setting aside the remedial action taken by the first respondent, the PP in Report 8 of 2017/1018 into the Alleged Failure to Recover Misappropriated Funds. (The report followed an investigation and a preliminary report by the PP into a complaint about the alleged failure by government in 1999 to implement the recommendation of a covert UK based asset recovery agency, CIEX, suggesting that the government recover monies paid by the Reserve Bank to Bankorp, a private commercial bank. |
|  | 60.1 The second, third and fifth respondents are joined as applicants.  60.2 The remedial action in paragraph 7.2 of the Public Protector’s Report 8 of 2017/1018 into the Alleged Failure to Recover Misappropriated Funds, issued on 19 June 2017, is reviewed and set aside.  60.3 The obligation placed upon the Chairperson of the Portfolio Committee on Justice and Correctional Services and the South African Reserve Bank under paragraph 8.1 of the Report to submit an action plan to the Public Protector in relation to remedial action in paragraph 7.2 of the Report is reviewed and set aside.  60.4 The Public Protector is ordered to pay the costs of the application up to and including the filing of her answering affidavit, such costs to include the costs of employing two counsel. |
| 16 February 2018 | Annexure 1C: **Absa Bank Limited & Others v Public Protector & Others** (48123/2017; 52883/2017; 46255/2017 [2018] ZAGPPHC 2 [2018] 2 All SA 1 (GP) (16 February 2018) per Pretorius J, Mngqibisi-Thusi J and Fourie J - |
|  | 1. Both points in limine raised by the Public Protector are dismissed;  2. The remedial action as set out in paragraphs 7.1.1, 7.1.1.1, 7.1.1.2 and 7.1.2 of the Public Protector's Report 8 of 2017/2018 into the "Alleged Failure to Recover Misappropriated Funds" ("the Report") issued by the Public Protector on 19 June 2017 is reviewed and set aside;  3. The remedial action imposing the obligation referred to in paragraph 8.1 of the report to submit an action plan to the Public Protector in relation to paragraphs 7.1.1, 7.1.1.1, 7.1.1.2, and 7.1.2 of the Report is reviewed and set aside;  PP to pay the costs of ABSA on an attorney and client scale, including costs of 3 counsel. PP to pay 85% of the costs of the SARB on an attorney and client scale, including 3 counsel. PP to pay 15% of the costs of SARB on an attorney client scale, including the costs of 3 counsel de boniis propriis |
| 28 March 2018 | Public Protector v SARB case no: 52883/17 (Pretorius J)  Application for leave to appeal is dismissed with costs.  PP applied for leave to appeal the de bonis propriis costs order that was granted against her personally; SARB sought leave to cross appeal for an order declaring that PP abused her office during her investigation. |
| 22 July 2019 | Public Protector v SARB case CCT 107/18  Khampepe J & Theron J for majority (with Basson AJ, Cameron J, Dlodlo AJ, Froneman J, G, Mhlantla J, Petse AJ)  Mogoeng CJ dissenting  Appeal to CC re two issues: (a) appeal by PP against the award of the full bench of Gauteng of punitive costs in favour of SARB against PP in her personal capacity; (b) cross appeal by Reserve Bank for a declaratory order to the effect that the PP abused her office in conducting the investigation that gave rise to her impugned report |
|  | 1. The Public Protector’s application for leave to appeal is granted.  2. The appeal is dismissed with no order as to costs in this Court.  3. The Reserve Bank’s application for leave to cross-appeal is dismissed with no order as to costs in this Court. |