**To Whom it May Concern:**

Following an invitation to participate in the process of developing and presenting solutions to bring a greater level of voluntary accountability to the religious community of South Africa, we, the undersigned, have duly considered the below alternative solutions to those proposed in the CRL Rights Commissions’ Report on the *Commercialisation of Religion and the Abuse of People’s Belief Systems*. We believe these alternative solutions will bring greater levels of accountability to the religious community, without the need for the State to intervene in the form of further legislation, as well as help to identify where “problem areas” may exist so that existing laws can be enforced and/or education and training opportunities be presented to remedy areas where there may be a lack of compliance.

**Solution #1:** **We support the establishment of ministers’ “networks & fraternals” on a purely voluntary basis because this will:**

o help ensure greater local accountability by religious practitioners.

o encourage local communities to report criminal activity being perpetrated in the name of religion to the relevant authorities.

o provide opportunity for education on issues such as legal compliance and other relevant areas.

o give the potential for intervention in the event of evident leadership failure in a member organization/entity.

o improve communication and relationship between otherwise “independent” churches.

**Solution #2: We support the development (and subsequent voluntary adoption) of a “Code of Conduct” by the South African Council for Religious Rights and Freedoms (SACRRF) because this will:**

o reflect the responsibilities corresponding to the rights in the SA Charter for Religious Rights and Freedoms, already adopted by +/- 22 million people from the religious community.

o provide an agreed benchmark and a standard for the ethics and conduct of the religious community.

**Solution #3: We encourage (on a voluntary basis) greater levels of training and education (both theological and practical management skills) for religious practitioners to support their calling. To this end, we note that:**

o being a religious practitioner is primarily an issue of calling/vocation, not as the result of an academic qualification (although supporting such a calling is certainly to be encouraged).

o problems of non-compliance can arise through ignorance of legal requirements – not willful lawlessness.

o religious practitioners need access to and/or education in the relevant information and knowledge so that they are empowered to run their churches properly.

o the CRL has a key educational role to play in the above since the CRL Act, 2002 already gives the CRL the power to:

 conduct programmes to promote respect for and further the protection of the rights of …. religious communities (section 5(1)(b));

 assist in the development of strategies that facilitate the full and active participation of cultural, religious and linguistic communities in nation-building in South Africa (section 5(1)(c));

 educate, lobby, advise and report on any issue concerning the rights of cultural, religious and linguistic communities (section 5(1)(e));

**Solution #4:** **We urge the CRL to improve its capacity to investigate possible “abuses” and to recommend appropriate remedial action. To this end, we note that:**

o the CRL Act already gives the CRL the power to:

 monitor, investigate and research any issue concerning the rights of cultural, religious and linguistic communities (section 5(1)(e));

 bring any relevant matter to the attention of the appropriate authority or organ of state, and, where appropriate, make recommendations to such authority or organ of state in dealing with such a matter (section 5(1)(k)).

**Solution #5: We support the activation/implementation of section 5(1)(j) of the CRL Act, which empowers the CRL to register (NOT regulate!) religious practitioners and organisations. To this end, we note that:**

o keeping a register does not in any way empower the CRL (or any other body) to examine doctrine or religious practice (assuming there is no infringement of law).

o information that could legitimately be required by the CRL in terms of its Act has the potential to reveal where there may be compliance issues (or even illegalities) taking place.

[EG] A church operating without a bank account

o the CRL Act already gives the CRL the power to:

 “establish and maintain databases of …. religious organisations and institutions and experts…” (section 5(1)(e));

* keeping a database of religious organisations and institutions (with the senior official of such organization as the point of contact) would enable the CRL to communicate and address effectively and efficiently any issue which may arise.

Signed at\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 2019 for and on behalf of the organisations and entities listing in Appendix A (attached and incorporated by reference)
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APPENDIX A – LIST OF SUPPORTING ORGANISATIONS AND ENTITIES