**1. REPORT OF THE PORTFOLIO COMMITTEE ON POLICE ON THE 2018/19 BUDGET VOTE 20, ANNUAL PERFORMANCE PLAN AND 2015/16-2019/20 STRATEGIC PLAN OF THE INDEPENDENT POLICE INVESTIGATIVE DIRECTORATE (IPID), DATED 9 MAY 2018**

The Committee examined the Budget and Annual Performance Plan (APP) for the 2018/19 financial year of the Independent Police Investigative Directorate (IPID). The Committee reports as follows:

1. **INTRODUCTION**

The IPID Act, 2011 (Act No 1 of 2011) gives effect to section 206(6) of the Constitution, which makes provision for the establishment of an independent police complaints body. The objectives of the IPID Act are to:

* Align provincial and national strategic objectives to enhance the functioning of the Directorate;
* Provide for independent and impartial investigation of identified criminal offences allegedly committed by members of the SAPS and the Municipal Police Services;
* Make disciplinary recommendations to the SAPS resulting from investigations conducted by the Directorate;
* Provide for closer cooperation between the Directorate and the Secretariat; and
* Enhance accountability and transparency of the SAPS and the Municipal Police Services in accordance with the principles of the Constitution.

The IPID Act provided the Directorate with an extended mandate which focuses on Schedule 1 and 2 crimes committed by members of the SAPS and the Municipal Police Services. It further obliges the SAPS and the MPS to report on matters that must be investigated by the IPID and also regarding the implementation of disciplinary recommendations made by the IPID upon the completion of investigations.

In terms of section 28 (1) of the Act, the Directorate is obliged to investigate:

a) Any deaths in police custody;

b) Deaths as a result of police actions;

c) Complaints relating to the discharge of an official firearm by any police officer;

d) Rape by a police officer, whether the police officer is on or off duty;

e) Rape of any person in police custody;

f) Any complaint of torture or assault against a police officer in the execution of his or her duties;

g) Corruption matters within the police initiated by the Executive Director, or after a complaint from a member of the public or referred to the Directorate by the Minister, a MEC or the Secretary for the Police Service; and

h) Any other matter referred to the IPID as a result of a decision by the Executive Director or if so requested by the Minister, an MEC or the Secretary for the Police Service as the case may be.

Section 28 (2) further provides that the Directorate may investigate matters relating to systemic corruption involving the police. In terms of Section 30 of the IPID Act, the National Commissioner or the appropriate Provincial Commissioner must-

(a) within 30 days of receipt thereof, initiate disciplinary proceedings in terms of the recommendations made by the Department and inform the Minister in writing, and provide a copy thereof to the Executive Director and the Secretary;

(b) quarterly submit a written report to the Minister on the progress regarding disciplinary matters made in terms of paragraph (a) and provide a copy thereof to the Executive;

(c)Immediately on finalisation of any disciplinary matter referred to it by the Department, to inform the Minister in writing of the outcome thereof and provide a copy thereof to the Executive Director and the Secretary.

**1.1.** **Structure of the report**

The Report provides an overview of the 2018/19 Budget Hearings of the IPID and is divided into the following sections:

* Section 1: Introduction. This section provides an introduction to this Report as well as a summary of meetings held during the hearings.
* Section 2: Strategic Priorities of the IPID for the 2018/19 financial year. This section provides a summary of the strategic focus areas for the IPID for the year under review.
* Section 3: IPID Budget and Performance targets for 2018/19. This section provides an overall analysis of the estimates of national expenditure of the IPID for the 2018/19 financial year. This section also provides a programme analysis of the IPID.
* Section 4: Committee observations. This section highlights selected observations made by the Portfolio Committee on Police on the annual performance targets and programme specific issues during the 2018/19 budget hearings and subsequent responses by the IPID.
* Section 5: Recommendations and additional information. This section summarises the recommendations made by the Portfolio Committee on Police, as well as the additional information requested from the IPID.
* Section 6: Conclusion. This section provides a conclusion to this Report.

**1.2. Meetings held**

The Committee received a briefing by IPID on the Annual Performance Plan and 2018/19 Budget on 20 April 2018.

**1.3 2017/18 Budget Recommendations**

The following Budget Recommendations were adopted during the 2017/18 Budget Vote:

* + 1. **Recommendations: Budget allocation 2017/18**

The Committee made the following recommendations regarding the 2017/18 budget allocation of Vote 20: IPID:

* 1. The Committee recommended that the National Treasury must make available the additional R5 million so that IPID can conclude its investigations into the Marikana incident.
  2. The Committee recommended that the IPID management engage with the Executive Authority with regards to additional budgetary support within the Justice, Crime Prevention and Security Cluster.
  3. The Committee recommended that the Executive Authority should make all attempts to find the resources (financial and human) to complete the Marikana investigation.
  4. The Committee recommended that the IPID approach the Standing Committee on Appropriations with respect to the additional budget required by the IPID to complete its investigations.
  5. The Directorate should provide the Committee with all correspondence it has sent and received from the National Treasury for additional funding.
  6. The Committee recommended that further engagements with the National Treasury must take place in order to resolve the matter of legal services as a separate programme.
  7. The Committee recommended that IPID ensures that investigations are undertaken into all the 15 cases of corruption reported by the SAPU in its submission to the Portfolio Committee at the Budget and APP hearings and report back within 60 days.
  8. The Committee recommended that the IPID conducts and concludes investigations into all the outstanding disciplinary cases of top management of the SAPS and report back within 60 days.
  9. The Committee recommended that IPID reports on the outcomes of all the disciplinary cases they referred to the SAPS.
  10. The IPID must ensure that it develops its own ballistic and forensic capability and reports on a quarterly basis.
  11. The Committee recommended that the IPID provides written feedback on the activities of the Consultative Forum.
  12. The Committee recommended that the IPID develops a new system for feedback to complainants.
  13. The IPID must develop an implementation plan to ensure that the provisions of section 28(1) (a-f) are fully implemented and that it does not develop a backlog with respect to these targets.
  14. The Committee recommends that the IPID provide a report on how it intends to retain investigators through a staff retention strategy.

1. **Policy Priorities**

The IPID has continued to be on the back-foot with funding its operations due to underfunding. IPID has requested additional financial assistance with regard to dealing with critical programme areas. The Compensation of Employees, accruals brought forward and contractual obligations line items contributed to the shortfall in the IPID Budget. The 2018/19 policy priorities of the IPID are listed as follows:

1. **Investigating high impact cases *-*** The Directorate’s focus on high impact cases over the medium term will ensure that it is able to deal effectively with cases that are generally more complex to investigate
2. **Finalising the review of governing legislation -** An allocation of R1.2 million has been made in Programme 3: *Compliance Monitoring and Stakeholder Management* in 2018/19 in order to finalise the review of the IPID Act (2011) within the timeframes ordered by the Constitutional Court
3. **Safeguarding information and data (Sec 9(d) of the IPID Act) -** Over the medium term, the Directorate aims to improve its internal security measures for the collection and storage of this data
4. **Conducting community outreach events -** Improving visibility of the IPID

The Minister of Police, Hon Bheki Cele reiterated his support for the functional and operational independence of the Directorate as the oversight mechanism to keep criminality within the ranks of the SAPS in check. The Minister further indicated that the IPID should continue putting strategies and plans in place to ensure better service delivery to communities.

1. **OVERALL BUDGET ALLOCATION**

The IPID receives a main appropriation of R315 million in 2018/19 compared to R255.4 million in 2017/18, which is a nominal increase of 23.38%. This is due to the R158.5 million reprioritised from the SAPS budget to that of the IPID over the medium-term. Almost half of this reprioritised allocation (45%) will be spent on contractual obligations over the medium-term (R72.7 million from R158.5 million).

Although all programmes receive increased allocations in 2018/19, the allocation towards the Compliance Monitoring and Stakeholder Management Programme receives a nominal increase of 40.3% from R11.4 million in 2017/18 to R16 million in 2018/19. This increase is mainly for the review of the IPID Act, 2011. The increased allocation will continue over the medium term with an average growth rate of 17.4%. The programme receives only 5% of the total budget allocation of the Directorate.

Although the Compliance Monitoring and Stakeholder Management Programme receives the largest percentage increase, the Investigation and Information Management Programme receives the largest rand change. In 2018/19, this programme receives a nominal rand increase of R33.2 million. This programme performs the core service delivery function of the Directorate and as such receives 60.3% of the total budget allocation of the Directorate.

**Table 1: IPID Expenditure over the medium term Programmes**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Administration  2. Investigation and Information Management  3. Compliance Monitoring and Stakeholder Management | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Programme** | **Budget** | | | | | **Nominal Rand change** | **Real Rand change** | **Nominal % change** | **Real % change** | |
| *R million* | | **2017/18** | **2018/19** | **2019/20** | **2020/21** | **2017/18-2018/19** | | **2017/18-2018/19** | | |
| Programme 1 | 87.1 | | 109.0 | 116.3 | 124.1 | 21.9 | 16.2 | 25.14% | | 18.62% |
| Programme 2 | 156.9 | | 190.1 | 203.1 | 216.8 | 33.2 | 23.3 | 21.16% | | 14.84% |
| Programme 3 | 11.4 | | 16.0 | 17.2 | 18.8 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 40.35% | | 33.03% |
| **TOTAL** | 255.4 | | 315.1 | 336.6 | 359.7 | 59.7 | 43.3 | **23.38%** | | **16.94%** |

Source: National Treasury (2018)

The Directorate prioritised R1.2 million in 2018/19 towards finalising the review of the IPID Act, 2011. These funds would be spent on costs associated with stakeholder and public consultation such as travel and subsistence, stationary and printing. The Directorate further indicated that this review would consequently result in an average growth of 49.4% over the medium term in the Compliance and Stakeholder Management Programme. However, the amendments to the IPID Act, 2011 as per the 2016 Constitutional Court judgement is currently contained in a Committee Bill and will be processed as such by Parliament

**3.1 Expenditure trends and estimates for significant spending items**

The table below shows expenditure for significant spending items in 2018/19 compared to the previous financial year. The budget allocation for compensation of employees increases from R176.8 million in 2017/18 to R212.2 million in 2018/19, which is a nominal increase of 20.02%. This is mainly due to the increase in the personnel establishment of the Directorate. At the end of 2016/17, the Directorate had a vacancy rate of 11.48%, or 48 vacant posts, of which 29 posts were in the Investigation and Information Management Programme. The vacancy rate was reduced to 7% at the end of the second quarter of 2017/18.

The expected expenditure on travel and subsistence increases from R10.4 million in 2017/18 to R14.2 million in 2018/19, which is a nominal increase of 36.54%. Spending on operating leases is expected to increase from R23.1 million to R28.2 million in 2018/19, which is a nominal increase of 22.08%. Similarly, spending on property payments is expected to increase by 61.47% in 2018/19 compared to the previous financial year.

**Table 2: Expenditure for significant spending items**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Programme** | **Budget** | | **Nominal Rand change** | **Real Rand change** | | **Nominal % change** | **Real % change** |
| *R million* | **2017/18** | **2018/19** | **2017/18-2018/19** | | | **2017/18-2018/19** | |
| Compensation of employees | 176.8 | 212.2 | 35.4 | | 24.3 | 20.02% | 13.77% |
| Travel and subsistence | 10.4 | 14.2 | 3.8 | | 3.1 | 36.54% | 29.42% |
| Operating leases | 23.1 | 28.2 | 5.1 | | 3.6 | 22.08% | 15.71% |
| Property payments | 10.9 | 17.6 | 6.7 | | 5.8 | 61.47% | 53.05% |
| **TOTAL** | 221.4 | 272.2 | 50.8 | | 36.6 | **22.94%** | **16.54%** |

Source: National Treasury: (2018)

The Directorate’s funded personnel establishment is set to increase by 27 posts, from 388 in 2016/17 to 415 in 2018/19, and remains constant over the medium term. Between 2018/19 and 2020/21, the Directorate will spend 68.2% (R687.6 million) of its total budget on compensation of employees, mostly investigators.

**3.2. Goods and Services expenditure trends and estimates**

The overall allocation for Goods and Service increases from R77.4 million in 2017/18 to R97.7 million in 2018/19, which is an increase of 26.1%. Over the medium term, the allocation has an average growth rate of 11.4%. The following changes to line-item allocations should be noted:

* Allocation for Minor Assets increases from R43 thousand in 2017/18 to R2.09 million in 2018/19 (increase of 4 769.7%), which will have an average growth rate of 276.7% over the medium term.
* Allocation for Bursaries: Employees increases from R214 thousand in 2017/18 to R454 thousand in 2018/19 (increase of 112.1%). The allocation has an average growth rate of 32.7% over the medium-term.
* Allocation for Catering: Departmental activities increases from R90 thousand in 2017/18 to R131 thousand in 2018/19, which is an increase of 45.5%. Over the medium-term, the average growth rate is 15.9%.
* Allocation for Agency and support/Outsourced service increases significantly from R27 thousand in 2017/18 to R100 thousand in 2018/19, which is an increase of 270.3%. Over the medium-term, the average growth rate of the allocation will be 60.2%.
* Allocation for Consumable supplies decreases from R509 thousand in 2017/18 to R264 thousand in 2018/19, which is a decrease of 48.1%. The allocation has an average growth rate of -17.1% over the medium term.
* Allocation for Property payment increases from R10.9 million in 2017/18 to R17.59 million in 2018/19. This is an increase of 60.3%. On average, the allocation increases with 21% over the medium term.
* Allocation for Training and Development increases from R931 thousand in 2017/18 to R2 million in 2018/19, which is an increase of 115.68%. Over the medium term, the allocation has an average growth rate of 33.8%.

**3.4 Ring-fenced funds**

The following item received specific and exclusive appropriations (ring-fenced) in the Appropriations Bill, 2018:

* Compensation of Employees: R212.2 million

**3.5 Minister of Finance’s Response to the 2016/17 BRRR report:**

In response to the Committee Recommendation that that the Department enhance engagements with National Treasury, Executive Authority and JCPS Cluster (especially SAPS) to secure additional funding over the MTEF, the Minister of Finance stated the following:

Over the 2018 MTEF, IPID will be allocated an additional R50 million in 2018/19, R52.8 million in 2019/20 and R55.7 million in 2020/21 from funds reprioritised from the SAPS as part of the 2018 Budget. These funds will provide for, among other things, finalising the review of the IPID Act, 2011 in line with the 2016 Constitutional Court judgement, human resource capacity strengthening across all of the Directorate’s programmes to address compliance-related audit queries raised by the AG and enhancement of the Directorate’s security measures to ensure compliance with minimum information security standards. In addition, a portion of the reprioritised funds will be utilised to settle the Directorate’s accruals from previous years in relation to contractual obligations.

1. **PROGRAMME PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND BUDGET ALLOCATION**

**4.1. Programme 1: Administration**

Table 3: Administration Programme

The purpose of the Administration Programme is to provide for the overall management of the Independent Police Investigative Directorate and support services, including strategic support to the Department. Compensation of Employees remain the largest line item being allocated R64 246 million with Goods and Services accounting for R39 633 million in the 2018/19 financial year.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ADMINISTRATION** | **2017/2018** | **2018/2019**  **Current F/Y** | **2019/2020** | **2020/2021** |
|  | **R’000** | **R’000** | **R’000** | **R’000** |
| Compensation of Employees | 54 114 | 64 246 | 69 208 | 74 555 |
| Goods & Services | 32 199 | 39 633 | 41 755 | 43 864 |
| Transfers | 604 | 639 | 688 | 742 |
| Capital Assets | 194 | 4 485 | 4 672 | 4 894 |
| **Total** | **87 111** | **109 003** | **116 323** | **124 055** |

**Table 3: Administration Budget** Source: IPID 2018 APP

The budget allocation of the Administration Programme is increased from R77.1 million in 2016/17 to R87.1 million in 2017/18, which is a nominal increase of 12.97%. The budget allocation is further expected to increase by 8.5% over the medium-term period.

The table 3 below provides a summary of the programme performance indicators and targets of the *Administration* Programme for the 2018/19 financial year:

**Table 4: Programme Performance Indicators and Targets: Administration Programme 2018/19**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Strategic objectives and Annual Targets** | **2018/19** |
| **Strategic objective: Capable workforce** | |
| Number of strategic training areas undertaken as per IPIDs Training Plan | 7 |
| **Performance Indicator** | |
| 1) Percentage vacancy rate per year | <10% |
| 2) Percentage implementation of Annual Internal Audit Plan | 80% |
| 3) Percentage implementation of risk mitigating strategies *(New)* | 40% |
| **Strategic objective: Capable workforce** |  |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | 4) Number of evaluations conducted per year *(New)* | Approved evaluation Plan | |  | 70% (4) | |  | 70% (10) | | Approved evaluation Plan |
| 5) Number of written legal opinions provided to the Department within 30 working days. | 70% (4) |
| 6) Percentage of contracts/service level agreements finalised within 30 working days | 70% (10) |

Source: 2018 IPID APP

**4.2 Programme 2: Investigation and Information Management**

The purpose of the Investigation and Information Management Programme is to strengthen the Department’s oversight role over the police service by conducting investigations, in line with the powers granted by the Independent Police Investigative Directorate Act No. 1 of 2011. This includes making appropriate recommendations on investigations in the various investigation categories and submitting feedback to complainants. The Programme will also enhance efficiency in case management and maintain relationships with other law enforcement agencies, such as the SAPS, the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA), Civilian Secretariat for Police (CSP) and community stakeholders, through on-going national and provincial engagement forums.

**Table 5: Investigation and Information Management Budget**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Investigation and Information Management** | **2017/2018** | **2018/2019**  **Current F/Y** | **2019/2020** | **2020/2021** |
|  | **R’000** | **R’000** | **R’000** | **R’000** |
| Compensation of Employees | 121 949 | 134 065 | 144 526 | 155 802 |
| Goods & Services | 35 289 | 56 025 | 58 586 | 61 017 |
| Transfers | 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| **Total** | **157 247** | **190 094** | **2013 116** | **216 823** |

Source: IPID 2018 APP

The Investigation and Information Management programme mirrors the Programme 1 with respect to Compensation of Employees which allocation is increased from R121 .9 million in 2017/18 to a total of R134. 0 million in 2018/19. It remains the biggest cost driver of Programme 2.

**4.2.1 Performance targets**

The table below summarises the programme performance indicators and targets of the Investigation and Information Management Programme:

**Table 6: Programme Performance Indicators and Targets: Investigation and Information Management Programme**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Strategic objectives and Annual Targets** | **2018/19** |
| **Strategic Objective: Specialised investigative capacity established** | |
| Number of investigators trained on specialised services | 75 |
| **Strategic Objective: Decision-ready cases finalised** | |
| Percentage of decision ready cases completed from total cases received | 45%  (5 347) |
| **Strategic Objective: Provide Investigation advisory service to investigators** | |
| Percentage of legal advice provided to investigators from the total requests received | 90%  (6) |
| **Performance Indicator** | |
| 1) Percentage of cases registered and allocated within 72 hours of written notification | 79%  (5 332) |
| 2) Number of statistical reports on investigations generated *(Revised)* | 2 |
| 3) Number of investigations of deaths in police custody that are decision ready *(Revised)* | 150 |
| **Strategic objectives and Annual Targets** | **2018/19** |
| 4) Number of investigations of death as a result of police action that are decision ready (Revised) | 130 |
| 5) Number of cases for investigation of the discharge of an official firearm by a police officer that are decision ready (Revised) | 500 |
| 6) Number of investigations of rape by a police officer that are decision ready (Revised) | 65 |
| 7) Number of investigations of rape while in police custody that are decision ready (Revised) | 10 |
| 8) Number of investigations of torture that are decision ready (Revised) | 60 |
| 9) Number of investigations of assault that are decision ready (Revised) | 2 000 |
| 10) Number of investigations of corruption that are decision ready | 60 |
| 11) Number of investigations of other criminal and misconduct matters referred to the directorate in terms of s28(1)(h) and s35(1)(c) of the IPID Act that are decision ready (Revised) | 80 |
| 12) Number of approved systemic corruption cases that are decision ready | 2 |
| 13) Number of backlog decision ready cases completed (excluding cases of systemic corruption) | 1 250 |
| 14) Percentage of criminal recommendation reports referred to the NPA within 30 days of recommendation report being signed off | 90%  (1 300) |
| 15) Percentage of disciplinary recommendation reports referred to the SAPS or MPS within 30 days of recommendation report being signed | 90%  (1 400) |
| 16) Percentage of oral legal advice provided to investigators within 24 hours of request | 90% (3) |
| 17) Percentage of written legal advice provided to investigators within 2 working days of request | 90% (3) |
| 18) Percentage of PAIA requests finalised within 30 days | 100%  (65) |

Source: IPID 2018 APP

**4.3 Programme 3: Compliance Monitoring and Stakeholder Management**

The purpose of the Compliance Monitoring and Stakeholder Management Programme is to safeguard the principles of cooperative governance and stakeholder management through on-going monitoring and evaluation of the quality of recommendations made to the SAPS and MPSs. In addition, the programme also reports on the police service’s compliance with reporting obligations in terms of the Independent Police Investigative Directorate Act No. 1 of 2011.

Table 7 below provides a breakdown of the budget for Programme 3.

**Table 7: Compliance Monitoring and Stakeholder Management**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Compliance Monitoring and Stakeholder Management | **2017/2018** | **2018/2019**  **Current F/Y** | **2019/2020** | **2020/2021** |
|  | **R’000** | **R’000** | **R’000** | **R’000** |
| Compensation of Employees | 10 094 | 13 946 | 15 025 | 16 189 |
| Goods & Services | 1 012 | 2 070 | 2 189 | 2 316 |
| Capital Assets | 18 | - | - | - |
| **Total** | **11 124** | **16 016** | **17 214** | **18 505** |

Source: IPID 2018 APP

**4.3.1 Performance Targets**

The table below summarises the programme performance indicators and targets of the Programme:

**Table 8: Performance targets**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Indicators and Targets: Programme Strategic objectives and Annual Targets** | **2018/19** |
| **Strategic Objective: Ensure an Integrated Communication and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy** | |
| Percentage implementation of the Integrated Communication and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy | 90% |
| **Performance Indicator** | |
| 1) Number of community outreach events conducted per year | 80 |
| 2) Number of formal engagements held with key stakeholders | 128 |
| 3) Number of disciplinary recommendations referred to the SAPS and MPS that are analysed *(New)* | 1 000 |
| 4) Number of criminal referrals forwarded to the NPA that are analysed *(New)* | 748 |
| 5) Percentage of responses from SAPS and MPS that are analysed *(New)* | 50%  (900) |
| 6) Percentage of responses from NPA that are analysed *(New)* | 50%  (600) |

Source: IPID 2018 APP

**4.4 STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER**

The Department has identified the following key strategic risks that may affect realisation of the strategic objectives of the Department:

**Extreme Risk**

* Counter investigations by the SAPS: The mandate of the IPID is to investigate cases and finalise them efficiently and on time. Cases might not be finalised as per the set objectives due to the counter investigations that sets to interfere with the investigations by the IPID.
* Political interferences: In delivering the constitutional mandate, the IPID may be affected by external political environment to achieve proper implementation of its objectives.

**High risk**

* Constrained resources: Adequate resources and their proper allocation is fundamental to the IPID to achieve its overall objectives. Due to the continuous baseline budget reduction experienced, there is a high possibility of resources being constrained which will affect negatively on operations.
* Inadequate information systems: Proper information technology serves as a critical part of the origination’s ability to perform its duties and achieve its mandate. The risk on the information systems impact on the Department’s performance as it will hinder in terms of conducting certain functions to finalise the work that needs to be done.
* Inability to investigate and finalise cases timeously: The mandate of IPID is to investigate cases and finalise them.

1. **COMMITTEE OBSERVATIONS**

The Committee made the following observations during the 2018/19 budget hearings:

* 1. The Committee noted the challenges highlighted by the IPID with respect to managing their investigations with a budget that was constrained. The Committee expressed its concern about the ability of IPID to reach its targets as a result. The Committee noted that IPID has as a matter of principle decided to take on high impact, low volume investigations.
  2. The Committee noted that IPID has received an additional allocation on budget pressures, but this has not been sufficient due to the historical under-funding. The Department has had rapid increases in its accruals for the past three financial years.
  3. The Committee noted that due to budgetary constraints, the IPID was unable to implement the Farlam Commission recommendations. Despite its appeals, the funding to specifically implement the Farlam Commission recommendations was not forthcoming and the IPID had to resort to their baseline budget for the relevant investigations.
  4. The Committee expressed its concerns with respect to the testimony of the IPID management with regard to alleged interference in its investigations against senior police officers implicated in systemic corruption investigations. The Committee noted that urgent steps should be taken by the Executive Authority to prevent the matter from escalating as both the SAPS and the IPID report to the Minister of Police.
  5. The Committee decided to support the IPID’s continued investigation into systemic corruption and indicated that it would support an additional allocation by National Treasury of R20 million to pursue and complete its investigations.
  6. The Committee noted that as a result of the fact that the Committee will be tabling its own IPID Amendment Bill, the R1,2 million which it was going to use for consultation on the Bill could be used elsewhere.
  7. The Committee wanted to know what other efforts the IPID has made to leverage its investigations into corruption on the other government departments with which it shares a role. The IPID informed the Committee that it has met with the DPCI, the Inspector General of Intelligence and the DPCI has agreed to second some investigators to the IPID. The IPID also indicated that the Anti-Corruption Task Team is not effective due to a lack of activity and follow up. The National Treasury has seconded a forensic audit team to the IPID to assist it with investigations into the Kriminalistics company and the FDA investigations.
  8. The Committee wanted to know what methods the IPID used to prioritise cases. The IPID indicated that they subjected any matter to a process whereby other members of the provinces gave input into the matter before a decision was reached to priorities matters.
  9. The Committee questioned to what extent the IPID was known in communities as one of the NGOs, the Social Justice Coalition indicated that the IPID was not known in the community and that they would assist to raise the profile of IPID in the communities. The IPID responded that they do have roadshows where they partner with the Public Protector and other government departments, or with the Minister when they have meetings in communities. The IPID also uses local radio stations to advertise their services and always invite the community to get in touch with it.

1. **RECOMMENDATIONS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**
   1. The Committee recommends that the National Treasury must allocate the additional R20 million in the current financial year so that IPID can continue its investigations into systemic corruption to finalise and implement the Farlam Commission recommendations.

* 1. The Committee recommends that the IPID management engage with the Executive Authority and National Treasury with regards to additional budgetary support within the Justice, Crime Prevention and Security Cluster.
  2. The Committee recommends that the Executive Authority should make all attempts to find the resources to allow the IPID to conclude the investigations into the Marikana investigation.
  3. The Committee recommends that the IPID Management engages with the Executive Authority and National Commissioner to ensure compliance with the IPID Act by the SAPS and to ensure the independence of the IPID.
  4. The Committee recommends that the IPID makes its proposals and submissions during the legislative process on the IPID Amendment Bill at the appropriate time.
  5. The Committee recommends that further engagements with the National Treasury must take place in order to resolve the matter of legal services as a separate programme.
  6. The Committee recommends that IPID uses the R1.2 million which was to be used for the consultative process on the IPID Bill, elsewhere to alleviate spending pressures.
  7. The Committee recommends that the IPID conducts and concludes investigations into all the outstanding disciplinary cases of top management of the SAPS and report back within 60 days.
  8. The Committee recommends that IPID reports on the outcomes of all the disciplinary cases they refer to the SAPS.
  9. The IPID must develop an implementation plan to ensure that the provisions of section 28(1) (a-f) are fully implemented.
  10. The Committee recommends that the IPID provide a report on how it intends to retain investigators through a staff retention strategy.
  11. The Committee recommends that the IPID provide it with quarterly reports on all investigations involving members of the SAPS senior managements service echelon.

1. **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the Committee noted the work that the IPID has started with respect to systemic corruption and has pledged to support that it continues on that path, albeit with a reduced investigative capacity. The Committee supports the position of the IPID to leverage its investigative work with support from other government departments in this regard. The Committee noted that the oversight role played by IPID over the police must ensure compliance with the rules and result in police professionalism in its conduct.

The Committee believes that for the IPID to fulfill its mandate in terms of independent oversight, an additional budget allocation should be supported.

The Committee supports the 2018/19 Budget Vote (Vote 20) of the Independent Police Investigative Directorate. The Democratic Alliance reserved its rights with respect to supporting or not supporting the Budget Vote.

**Report to be considered.**