**South African National Editors’ Forum, Media Monitoring Africa and SOS: Support Public Broadcasting Coalition.**

**Additional submissions**

**Miscellaneous Document**

1. The Group is of the view that it is important that a multi-party body such as Parliament is involved in the appointment of the non-Governmental members of the Critical Infrastructure Council. To this end we propose the following changes to the draft wording of proposed section 4(6)(b) and (c) of the Bill:

Words in **bold type** in square brackets **[ ]** indicate omissions from existing draft provisions

Words underlined with a solid line indicate insertions in existing draft enactments

(b) **[appointing a panel consisting of a person who is admitted as an advocate or an attorney, and has practised as such for a cumulative period of at least 10 years after being admitted, as chairperson, and four other persons who are appropriately qualified, knowledgeable or experienced in infrastructure protection, to] Parliament**—

(i) compiles a list of not more than 20 persons from—

*(aa)* the applications and nominations referred to in paragraph *(a)*; and

*(bb)* persons serving on the Council who qualify for a further appointment in terms of subsection (8); and

(ii) conduct interviews with the persons referred to in subparagraph (i) for purposes of compiling a list of 10 recommended candidates in order of preference;

(c)**[the chairperson of the panel]** Parliament has submitted the list of 10 recommended candidates with their résumés to the Minister;

1. If the above amendments are made it will greatly add to the public’s sense of comfort that the Critical Infrastructure Council is not simply an arm of the Minister responsible for policing and that the public’s elected representatives have a say in the appointments to the Critical Infrastructure Council.