Procedure for a committee Bill

National Assembly Approval

NA rule 230 read with NA rule 238 - the NA initiates legislation through it s
committees acting with permission of the Assembly – NA Resolution of 20
September 2012 constitutes such permission.

Preparation of the Bill

- 2. NA rule 239 determines that the Committee must -
 - a. prepare a draft bill and explanatory memorandum setting out the object of the bill;
 - b. consult with the JTM for advice on the classification of the bill; and
 - c. comply with NA rule 241.
- 3. NA rule 241 publication of an explanatory summary of the bill in the *Gazette* or publication of Bill (as introduced). The latter publication choice would facilitate timely processing of the bill, as rule 241(2) then requires that the bill itself is published in the *Gazette* with a notice of its publication containing "an invitation to interested persons and institutions to submit written representation on the draft legislation". This amounts to a call for public participation.
- 4. Publication of the bill together with a call for submissions would allow the Committee to facilitate public participation in a manner that enables speedy processing of the amendment.

Facilitation of Public Participation

- 5. There is no set prescript as to the form public participation should take. The Constitutional Court in *Doctors for Life International v Speaker of the National Assembly and Others*¹ (in considering the scope of section 72 of the Constitution that resembles that of section 59 in tone) highlighted the following considerations
 - a. Public participation refers to the facilitation of public involvement in the legislative process and calls for steps to be taken by Parliament to ensure that such involvement can be realised.²
 - b. In doing so, a constitutional balance is called for between the representative and participatory democratic elements which both inform the legislature's constitutional duties: "It imposes a special duty on the legislature and pre-supposes that the legislature will have

¹ 2006 (12) BCLR 1399 (CC).

² Doctors for Life International v Speaker of the National Assembly and Others 2006 (12) BCLR 1399 (CC) at 1443.

- considerable discretion in determining how best to achieve this balanced relationship."³
- c. The required degree of public participation that is left to discretion of Parliament, as long as the opportunity granted for public participation is <u>reasonable</u>. The context (scope, importance, impact) of every bill (and the processing it requires) will inform the degree of participation that is regarded as reasonable in the circumstances.⁴
- 6. Rule 249 (dealing with committee processing of bills) committee to which the bill is referred must arrange its business in such a manner that interested persons and institutions have an opportunity to comment on the Bill.

Amendments to the PFMA

- 7. Section 4 of the Public Finance Management Act, 1999 (Act No. 1 of 1999) (PFMA) requires consultation with the Minister of Finance prior to introducing legislation in Parliament directly or indirectly amending the PFMA.
- 8. Section 22(3) of the PFMA requires consultation with the Minister of Finance prior to introducing legislation in Parliament prior to introducing legislation excluding money from payment into a Provincial Revenue Fund. The consultation must be on the reasonableness of the exclusion and the Minister must consent to the exclusion.
- 9. Clause 72 proposes to amend sections 3, 22 and 89 of the PFMA.

Conclusion

- 10. The Standing Committee on Finance must:
 - a. consult with the JTM for advice on the classification of the Bill;
 - b. publish the Bill to be introduced in the GG and call for public submissions:
 - c. facilitate public participation, whether written submissions or public hearings;
 - d. consult the Minister of Finance on the amendments to the PFMA;
 - e. report to the NA, with a amendment Bill attached.

³ Doctors for Life International v Speaker of the National Assembly and Others 2006 (12) BCLR 1399 (CC) at 1443.

⁴ Doctors for Life International v Speaker of the National Assembly and Others 2006 (12) BCLR 1399 (CC) at 1444 with reference to Minister of Health and Another NO v New Clicks South Africa (Pty) Ltd and Others (Treatment Action Campaign and Another as Amicus Curiae) 2006 (2) SA 311 (CC); 2006 (1) BCLR 1 (CC) at par 630: "The forms of facilitating an appropriate degree of participation in the law-making process are indeed capable of infinite variation. What matters is that at the end of the day a reasonable opportunity is offered to members of the public and all interested parties to know about the issues and to have an adequate say. What amounts to a reasonable opportunity will depend on the circumstances of each case."