ļ

SCHEDULED REPORT OF RESPONSES TO STAKEHOLDER'S SUBMISSIONS MADE AT THE PUBLIC HEARINGS

GEOMATICS PROFESSION BILL [B4----2013]

ANNEXURE "A"

COMMENTS	SPONSORED BY	DATE RECEIVED	CHIEF SURVEYOR-GENERAL'S ANALYSIS
GENERAL COMMENTS 1. Unreasonable Time Allowed for Comment:			
	South African Council for	Z/ WIRICH ZUTS	it is incorrect that unreasonable time was
limited time allowed for comment following the publication	Professional and Technical		from date of publication was 14 days plus an
e Bill. Fourteen days over a period	Surveyor		additional 15 days to the date of verbal
including two public holidays is unreasonable.			presentation.
When the 'Notice of Intention to Introduce the			
Geomatics Bill, 2012 in Parliament' [Government			However, is also noted that no comments
No 35801 of 22 October 2012] the draft Bill			were submitted for consideration after the
available at that time was the version published in			publication of the Bill for public comments on
There are however now minor differences between			
this version [B 4 – 2013] and the 2011 version.			
changes are not obvious and the Bills need to be			
compared in depth before meaningful comments			
made.			
This short period of time available for studying the			
Bill is specifically alarming, considering that			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
approximately eight years have passed since the			:
to			***************************************
as the Surveying Profession Bill) was published in			
version of		***************************************	
The state of the s			

SOUTH AFRICAN COUNCIL FOR PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL SURVEYORS ESTABLISHED IN TERMS OF ACT 40 OF 1984 Only after bringing considerable pressure to bear on	torward by those present were taken up by any members of the steering committee. This Bill, as published in 2005, was nothing more than a "cut and paste" of the Planning Profession Act No. 32 of 2002 with the word 'planner' substituted by 'surveyor'. This Bill is still essentially the same.	was during this time, "Road Showed" at the major centres, Pretoria, Durban and Cape Town. These road shows were pure lip service, no minutes or discussions were recorded and no suggestions put	although it had been in the drafting phase (in the total ignorance of the existing Council) for a full eighteen months. It allowed 60 calendar days for comment, ironically over the Christmas and New Year break. This Bill	2. Consultation was not as Transparent or as Comprehensive as is Alluded to: Referring to Paragraph 4 of the 'Memorandum on the Objects of the Geomatics Bill, 2013', the contents of this paragraph are inaccurate and misleading. It is correct that the Bill was first published as the 'Surveying Profession Bill' in November 2005,	the Bill was published for comment. Characteristic of each publication of a draft bill has been that very limited and often restrictive time was allowed for comment after which would generally follow an inordinate time lapse of apparent
It must be borne in mind that Act 40 of 1984	therefore not compromise the authenticity and contextual integrity of the Bill. It should be noted that most professional legislations from 2000 are based on the same working model, but each has its unique application and legislative relevance.	It is incorrect that the Geomatics Profession Bill ("GPB") is a "cut and paste of the Planning Profession Act", which was merely used as a guide to the drafting of the Bill. The similarity in the two documents should		A full consultation process was conducted and a portfolio of evidence is available.	

Act is currently functioning well and in no way impedes the Government's transformation aspirations	needs to be replaced has never been properly addressed. This Act commonly referred to as the PLATO	from any steering committee participation. 3. Act 40 of 1984: The question of why the current Professional and To be in the participation of the face of 1004 (act to 51004).	To single this Council out as a body that was consulted is not correct. It has been treated no differently to	reappeared in May 2011. This Bill was workshopped in June 2011. While it is true to say that many stakeholders were represented at this workshop none of the comments made, other than a few errata or subtleties, appear to have been included in this latest		the Planning Profession Act bears no the Geomatics Profession Bill, a change that was relevance to the GPB, which does not have	After the change in CSG the Bill was republished in After the change in CSG the Bill was republished in	and when amendments were made to the various draft Bills. The various drafts were published as fait Act 40 of 1984 outdated and irrelevant to the and when amendments were made to the various drafts were published as fait Act 40 of 1984 outdated and irrelevant to the and irrelevant to		some representation from the private sector, the steering committee remained limited to members of therefore incorrect. It is clear that the vast	Notwithstanding many requests for participation by myself, as President of the Council, and requests for	specifically with this Council. Specifically with this Council. Specifically with this Council. Specifically with this Council.		steering committee refused to agree to make any commitment regarding discussions held at that	committee arrae to meet with this Council Again the
					with the Planning	າrofession Act bear າe GPB, which doe:	efficiencies in the fur	practitioners, gover	addressed in the C	rect. It is clear that	. The argument tha	d by a mere amend	ubstantive legislativ	iplines, which then	surveyors. The transformation and

The state of the s		
	bill [B 4 – 2013] is iteria as Act 32 of 2002	Rules or Regulations. As the bill [B 4 – 2013] is largely subject to the same criteria as Act 32 of 2002 it
	stoning without	Profession Council is still functioning without appropriate
	irer the Planning	consultants and appointment or outside a full time Chief Executive Officer the Planning
	e promulgation,	Now, in its eleventh year since promulgation,
***	he existing PLATO Act, ls a basis.	amending or even redrafting the existing PLATO Act, using the existing legislation as a basis.
	el beating" gone into	had the same amount of "panel beating" gone into
	e, far less disruptive and	A much better, more workable, far less disruptive and certainly cheaper result could have been achieved
	ory piece of legislation.	produced a rather unsatisfactory piece of legislation.
	tice profession has now	different needs of the Geomatics profession has now
	sion Act to accommodate	beating" the Planning Profession Act to accommodate
	he result of "panel	with different requirements. The result of "panel
	v different disciplines	profession covers a single discipline; the Geomatics profession covers several very different disciplines
	002. The Planning	Planning Profession Act of 2002. The Planning
	essentially a copy of the	As indicated above this Bill is essentially a copy of the
	ia is little more than that	less sense if the driving criteria is little more than that
	little sense, and even	Act is already in place makes little sense, and even
	by good and functional	of a new Act, where a perfectly good and functional
		transformation.
	restricting or impeding	how the current legislation is restricting or impeding
	has not been shown	transformation and, as yet, it has not been shown
	oint has it been	evolution from this new logislation will positive
	ng profession in a	transformation of the surveying profession in a
- COLONIA DE COLONIA D	nechanism to accelerate	claims that it will "provide a mechanism to accelerate
		memorandum (1.4)
	tive and the	transformation a major objective and the
	- 2013I makes	The preamble to the bill [B 4 - 2013] makes
	CHIEISSOF TO CORRIN NO	discriminatory provisions
an tangkanana	firmed, in January 2010,	Act 40 of 1984 was also confirmed, in January 2010
	· ·	Council.
	er riding control of the	entrenches the Minister's over riding control of the

The PLATO Act recognises Hydrography and Photogrammetry as Geomatics disciplines, however neither of these have voluntary associations that would qualify in terms of Chapter 4. Representation by each discipline registered under the broad Geomatics definition is essential for the functioning of the Council. The broad term	A statutory council is not a voluntary association established to look after the interests of its members nor is it a "union" established to protect the needs of a labour force. A statutory council is specifically established to maintain a high level of technical, professional and ethical standards in order to protect the public; the spinoff is credibility for its registered members. This can only be achieved if the controlling council is made up of members, who have, through experience and academic achievement, reached those standards that the council intends maintaining. Section 4.(1)(b) The composition of the Council does not adequately address the various disciplines that are currently registered in terms of the existing Act, nor does it allow for the inclusion of new disciplines that may in the future fall under its control. While all falling within the broad definition of "Geomatics", the existing disciplines, currently being registered by PLATO, are individually very different from each other. In some cases they operate under and are responsible to entirely different legislation, an example being the Professional Land Surveyors who function largely in terms of the Land Survey Act while the Professional Mine Surveyors operate under various acts pertaining to health and safety on a mine as well as the registration of mineral resources.	does not auger well for the immediate future. SPECIFIC COMMENTS ON THE BILL [B 4 - 2013] Section 4. The composition of the Council, with the possible exception of category 4.(1)(a)(ii), should specifically preclude candidates, technicians and "in-training" persons.
	The definition of Geomatics Practitioner accommodates all disciplines of Geomatics and therefore avoids constant amendments to the Act in future, to accommodate new disciplines.	It is obvious that unqualified persons cannot be co-opted to a Council, except as contemplated in Section 4 (1) (d) of the Bill.

shall be appointed as a full member of the shall be filled by his or her alternate member who vacant by the appointment of the Chairperson With an additional clause: (8)(b) The position left a person outside of the industry or appointed in an representing Geomatics professions. appointees from the Department of Mineral chairperson is such that the Chief Surveyor General ex officio capacity. The work required of the Council and the minister shall appoint a new Resources and the Council on Higher Education and tulfilling the responsibilities of his position. The could not conceivably take on this task white still logically hold the position of chairperson of a Council those representing the interests of the public cannot It should be stipulated that the chairperson cannot be Chief Surveyor General shall hold the position of 4.(1)(a)(i), 4.(1)(a)(iii), 4.(1)(c) and 4.(1)(d). The appointment by the Minister, a Chairperson and a subsection (b) and (d)...". The member appointed in terms of **4.(1)(c)** is the nomination from the Council Section 4.(4)(a)"...in terms of subsection (1)(b), (c) or (d)" this should be amended to read, "....in terms Alternate Chairperson of the Council." members appointed in terms of sections Deputy Chairperson who shall not be Council members, for read: "(8)(a) The Council shall nominate from its Section 4.(8) This section should be reworded to appointment should be advertised. on Higher Education. There is no reason why this f) Geo-information Science Practitioners e) Mine surveyors d) Photogrammetric Surveyors c) Hydrographic Surveyors b) Engineering Surveyors a) Professional Land Surveyors Currently registered disciplines include: "Geomatics Practitioner" does not adequately cover the representation necessary on the Council. administered by the Registrar of the Council the day to day affairs the Council are state to do likewise, owing to the fact that as president, it is therefore possible for a Note: Section 8 (1) (a) (i) Geomatics professional in the employ of the principal in private practice, but still accountability. appointing the appropriate chairperson. The should therefore be his or her prerogative governance of the Geomatics Profession. It manages to run the Council in his capacity underpin his or her accountability by members of the Council cannot assume this proper implementation of the Bill and good The Minister is responsible for ensuring the This does not warrant comment. The current president of the Council is a

This committee is also required to evaluate 'geomatics' qualifications gained at foreign and unaccredited institutions as being equivalent to the council's academic requirements necessary for registration. Also under the mandate of this committee is the time to time reviewing of the practical training components of the various levels of registration. A simple "The Council must, in the prescribed manner, appoint an Education and Training Committee" is	One of the functions of this committee is the requirement (Section 8(1)(d)(ii)) to accredit all institutions offering geomatics qualifications at least once every four years. Considering number of institutions offering qualifications in the various disciplines of geomatics this is a significantly arduous and costly task.	This paragraph is totally inadequate considering the work required from this committee. The composition of this committee should be elaborated on and stipulated in the Bill and must include a balance of suitably qualified academics as well as members from the Council, the Chief Surveyor General's office, the Council on Higher Education and the geomatics industry. In order to give this committee the authority that it will require to do its work, notwithstanding that it will be responsible to the Council, its members should also be Ministerial appointees and its funding should be separate for that of the council.	Section 5.(2) A fifth subsection should be added to read as follows: 5.(2)(e) has, with the exception of members appointed in terms of section 4(1)(c) and 4(1)(d), allowed his or her registration with the council to lapse or whose name is removed or suspended from the register. Section 6.(2) The Education and Training Committee:
		Any suggestions in the comment that fall outside the ambit of the prescribed manner could be accommodated in the rules of the Council.	This suggestion is accepted.

		The Council should simply maintain a register of 'Students'. Tthis will not adversely affect the goal, also set out in the preamble, "to provide for the facilitation of accessibility to the Geomatics profession." As the incumbent President of PLATO, having held this office since October 2001, I would like to make a verbal presentation on these and possibly other matters contained in and pertaining to this Bill.
		Taking cognizance of the intentions of Bill as set out in the preamble. "The Bill also seeks to provide for measures to protect the public from unethical geomatics practices and to provide for measures in order to maintain a high standard of professional conduct and integrity." By insisting on using registered persons the public is afforded some level quality assurance. This assurance cannot be given in respect unqualified and inexperienced registered persons. Registering as yet unqualified persons as "Students" avoids any confusion to the public at large.
This comment is sufficiently addressed in Sub-section 13 (4)		not satisfactory. Section 13.(1) The term Candidate Geomatics Practitioners should pertain only to academically qualified Professionals and Technologists while they are undergoing practical training/mentorships. All academically unqualified persons, still studying towards a recognised qualification should simply be registered as 'Students'.

COMMENT ON THE GEOMATICS PROFESSION BILL [B4 – 2013] BY THE INSTITUTE OF MINE SURVEYORS OF SOUTH AFRICA (IMSSA)	DC Andersen President: IMSSA	28 March 2013	
Your advertised request for comments on the Draft Geomatics Profession Bill (the Bill hereafter) refers.			
IMSSA convened a special Council Meeting for this purpose, during which concern was			
expressed should the Bill be promulgated in			
Our main concerns with the Bill itself, which			
are fundamental to the mine surveying profession and our role in the mining			
industry, are as follows:			
1. The Bill does not adequately describe the			
regarding the "Geomatics profession			definition with its reference to Section 2 (b)
principles" [Chapter 1, Definitions, 2(a)] and			
describe any geomatics professions other			
than land surveying;			
The Bill excludes mine surveyors from duties performed in terms of our current			
mine survey competence, in favour of professional land surveyors. This is done by: -			
(a) The very specific inclusion of professional land surveyors under the			
reference to geomatics practitioners in the			The comment is sufficiently addressed in the definition with its reference to Section 2 (b)

Considering the above-mentioned	6. Notwithstanding this short notice, which is an exact repetition of the process followed during the Christmas period in 2005-2006, we take exception to the fact that despite our submissions made on 16 January and 6 February 2006, as well as on 17 June 2011, none of our contributions appear to have been considered in any revisions of the draft Bills.	5. The members of Council of IMSSA received Mr Riba's communique sent to the South African Council for Professional and Technical Surveyors (PLATO) on 20 March 2013, effectively giving us nine calendar or six working days to comment in writing;	Further we wish to express our concerns with the consultation process followed by the Department:	4. In addition to the abovementioned fundamental concerns the Council of IMSSA have listed a multitude of specific comments, which are contained in an edited pdf version of the Bill, which document will be e-mailed to you today, along with the electronic version of this letter.	2 Section 4(1)(b)(ii)]; and for this purpose 4(1)(b)(i) should be amended to exclude the reference to professional land surveyors;
	All previous submissions were sufficiently considered.	The notice was advertised for public comments in the print on 17 March 2013 and the communique received from the Chief Surveyor-General was a kind gesture to ensure transparency.			

development, economic empowerment as			
what it is today to a profession that is more inclusive in terms of demographics, representivity, skills transfer, scarce skills			transformed are, amongst others, the composition of the Council, the entry requirements into the profession, the
one state to another will be a limitation to the capacity of the Council to develop a transformation programme which will ensure			stipulates that despite efforts by PLATO, the profession is still far from representative of the South African demographics and that areas where the profession still pools to be
Defining transformation Geomatics profession as a process of changing form			The memorandum attached to the bill,
			1. General Comment - Transformation
			Bill currently before the committee will thus have a direct bearing on our members. We comment firstly on a general nature and secondly specifically to various sections;
			Surveying, Engineering Surveying, Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing, Town Planning, Geographical Information Systems (GIS) & Land Management The
	29 March 2013	PETER NEWMARCH National SAGI President	The South African Geomatics Institute (SAGI) is a voluntary organization for registered persons working in Land
			Comments on the Geomatics Profession Bill [B4-2013]
			presentation.
			Further, we hereby wish to inform you that IMSSA would like to make a verbal
			concerns, IMSSA object to the Geomatics Profession Bill as it stands.

The reality is that no profession can change its demographics by changing the profession or the rules of the profession – it	 Who's role is it to bring people into the profession? And who finances them? What is the purpose of a profession – what are its core functions and responsibilities? 	In the departments response in February this year to this very portfolio committees concern about bursary students and their performance, the department submitted a report on the scarce skills training program they are running — it is stated that the "The Geomatics Profession is still not representative of the demographics and requires transformation". The following questions must be asked;	categories of surveyors, work reservation and disciplinary procedures. Clearly the drafters of this bill have little understanding of who PLATO is. The bill uses the acronym SACPTS to refer to the current council, any person who undertook a study of the profession and its needs before drafting this bill would have realized that the council is known as PLATO and not SACPTS. In addition, this Bill is basically a cut and past exercise of the Planning Profession Act 2002 in fact is basically 90% the same.
		Substantial portion of the comments under this subject are superficial and derisive, therefore irrelevant to the objects of the Bill.	continuous improvement and ensuring external monitoring which is sensitive to internal procedures and values without compromising evolving standards.

transformation in the context of a altered the demographics of the profession motivation behind this bill and what is and to protect the public. students generally need bursaries. It is studying that course and in turn those profession. Governments Student Grant scheme have its sole purpose to regulate the profession profession - not the legislation governing a this is that a profession relies on people compromising standards). The reason for is simply not possible (unless one is The department's bursary scheme and the profession, which legislation should have as player in changing the demographics of a This raises serious questions about the transformation. The state is thus the largest unding of students that leads to

The assertion that a certain institution of higher learning is producing graduates of a low standards draws one to enquire, whether the current Council is addressing the apparent deficiency since it sees fit register these very graduates to practice in a profession that considerers its standards to be high. This gives impetus to the necessity for the new legislation to establish a transformed Council and not to compromise standards.

"transformation" is indeed necessary in

If the Government maintains that

every day language in South Africa (ie demographics). At worst they could be described as simple modernising measures

procedures have absolutely nothing whatsoever to do with transformation in the context of transformation that is used in

Academic qualifications, funding of the council, rationalisation of the categories of surveyors, work reservation and disciplinary

these categories, then they should explain what they mean, it surely cannot mean a

lowering of standards and thus placing the

public in danger.	
Standards of best practice, standards of	
knowledge, standards of conduct are non negotiable and relevant irrespective of if one is Black, White or Indian. To mention	
transformation in this way is to say that standards are too high and somehow need changes — if anything, it's our view that standards are far too low.	
This leaves only the Composition of council as a "transformation" matter. We would	
council is entirely transformed to the extent that people are prepared to take up a	
excellent Black, Colored and Indian	
institute, when we ask people of colour to	
stand for positions within our organisation – the result is always the same "we are too	
busy making money, you do it and if there is	
reject entirely the notion that somehow people must be forced to serve time on a	
council – if people do not stand of their own free will then this is not transformation.	
The Law Commission investigation into apartheid era legislation (Discussion Paper	
August 2010 - ISBN: 978-0-621-39657-7)	It is not correct to state that the Law
we feel as a matter of principle that we must	current Act. The Commission findings were consistent with context of its mandate: to

	1 also. The initial	surveyors was considered also. The initial
	Jers, and quantity	architects, engineers, valuers, and quantity
	such as	legislation for professions such as
	iPB, but other	used as a model for the GPB, but other
	Act of 2003 was	The Planning Profession Act of 2003 was
	ent GPB.	were changed to the current GPB
	le and content	other stakeholders, the title and content
	r consultation with	Professions Bill", but, after consultation with
	as the "Surveying	had originally been titled as the "Surveying
	vation. The Bill	not catered for work reservation. The Bill
	ences. It also had	geospatial information sciences. It also had
	such as	geomatics professionals, such as
	categories of	legislation excluded other categories of
	on. That current	aligned with the Constitution. That current
	demised and	Act of 1984, had to be modernised and
	inical Surveyors	the Professional and Technical Surveyors
	old legislation,	GPB)) was needed, as the old legislation,
	sion Bill (the	" the Geomatics Profession Bill (the
	ee that	was stated to the committee that
	ieral in which it	office of the Surveyor General in which it
	ary briefing by the	committee had a preliminary briefing by the
	this portfolio	On the 29th January 2013 this portfolio
	eded.	obsolete and no longer needed
	hich where	of transitional measures which where
	ere two sections	however find that their where two sections
	ımission did	PLATO Act. The Law commission did
	endments to the	evidenced by previous amendments to the
	time – as	amendments from time to time – as
	Jire legislative	and issues in Industry require legislative
	over the years	Geomatics developments over the years
case with the Bill.	ent Act.	no problems with the current Act.
cover transformation issues as is the the	ver that there are	This does not mean however that there are
case. Its interrogation of the Act did not	nics) to be valid.	transformation (demographics) to be valid.
discriminatory, which it found was not the	sed on	motivations for this Bill based on
investigate if the Act was racially	not believe any	place on record that we do not believe any
The state of the s		

spheres of Geomatics (although perhaps Education. in 2005, and there were public comments where the Council was funded solely by practitioners, encompassing all those in out in the Bill, namely candidate geomatics public and one from the Council of Higher and there would be one member of the of Mineral Resources. Five members of the be from DRDLR and one from Department being changed, so that the appointments engineering surveying and geo-spatial and public workshops in 2005/06. membership fees."(source – PMG website) Council, as distinct from the current position branches. The State would fund the professionals. Each category had different Council would be non-state representatives, represent the State, of whom three should nominations. Four members would transparent process of calling for would be made by the Minister after a more position, where only the institutes could being expanded in scope, and the current information sciences. The Council was draft was approved by the Deputy Minister The current Act currently covers all the technicians, technologists or geomatics training, including those training for There were four registration categories set nominate members to the Council, was now fraternity, including mine and land and include related fields within the geomatics As already set out, the intention was to

We recognize of course that transformation does the SAGI Trust, but these are small in comparison to the Departments Bursary Governments General Bursary scheme. although not practicing. massive bursary scheme the department figures would not be possible without the thus inflating the number of white males emigrated to maintain their registrations -The PLATO council offers bursaries as instituted some years back as well as the It must be stated that these transformation for retired persons and people who have be higher due to the fact that it's customary of 89 % non white males. be white males - a transformed percentage registered persons of which about 318 will overall situation in 10 years from now will students per year (combined totals), the These transformation numbers will in reality be a profession that has about 2850 graduate numbers of a total of about 158 90 in the next 10 years - if academic 1026 people will be aged between 60 and will dramatically increase, so much so that graduates, this non white male percentage retirement (60 years) and the rate of new factors in the age of surveyors, their South Africa, what's more, when one institutions maintain their current student the profession than any other professions in

if much of it is simply glossed over? Even this process of submitting these comments to the Portfolio Committee will get glossed over as it is the department that compiles the comments together and summarises them in a more glossy version. They can then be dismissed very easily. We have seen this from other legislation and indeed comments are not even considered.	The derogatory nature of these comments are a serious indictment of the integrity of the parliamentary process and places serious doubt on the sincerity of the institutes exercise in lodging comment at all.
indeed comments are not even considered. We are not very pleased at having 14 Days to comment on this Bill, we have	
days is hardly enough to appropriately	
be dismissed anyway Commenting on Bills	
in South African nowadays is becoming a	
Corresponding engagement on those	
comments - they are simply dismissed.	
The only true way of having our comments	
a verbal presentation which we intend doing.	
We have no doubt that all other Geomatics	
a verbal presentation in addition to	
submitting comments - This is indicative that there are major problems with the prob	
A profession, its structure and format are	
we certainly get the impression that this is	
Simply the Departments Bill and that's it – Public Comment has simply been a sham	

not related to registration – such as the fencing act to name but one. The principle should be amended to read as follows, "the planning and determination of, the position of the boundaries of land and of rights in land, for the purpose of registration of such land, as well as rights therein with respect to relevant legislation,"	Section 2(a)iii does not correctly cover what the land surveyor does with respect to real rights. The problem is the wording as it implies that we only deal with "applicable legislation" for registration purposes—this is not true, there are a myriad of pieces of legislation that we have to deal with that	Additionally there is not a single mention of land management for example. The department should sit down with the profession and suitably reword this entire section to ensure that it covers what the profession does.	Geomatics Principles Section 2(a) does not adequately cover what Geomaticians do. For one, Mine surveying is not suitably covered by this description and secondly engineering surveyors are not fully accounted for in this definition.	3. Specific Comments;
	Applicable and relevant are redundant, therefore the comment does not warrant amendment of this sub-section.	Land management is not a specific Geomatics discipline, but rather an overarching multi-disciplinary vocation covering general management of land matters.	This comment is sufficiently addressed in the definition of a Geomatics Practitioner and its reference to Section 2 (a).	

Section 2(c)i – this should be removed.	
See my comments on transformation. The effect of this is that in 10 years or so time, council may sit with the situation of having over transformed, will council then bring in	Comments are speculative.
measures to promote and protect white people? We believe this is self defeating: council is	
already on the right path, with the help of	
the department and its bursary schemes.	Comments are speculative.
everything into equilibrium.	***************************************
The profession is already completely	
transparent and this clause only serves to	
integrity will transformation play a role in	
discipline matters for example, or for that	
matter high standards. The use of this word	
discipline and standards to meet a certain	
target - notwithstanding section 2(c)ii	
Words to the effect of legitimacy and	
effectiveness imply that the current Act is	
not legitimate or effective. The Law	
with this aspect as do wel	
This Item should be removed.	
Establishment of South African Geomatics	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Section 3(3) – there is no need for this	
clause, it is already a requirement in the	
SAWA legislation for all professional bodies to register. We are very surprised that this	requirements are mandatory to professional
bill even passed the State Law Advisor, as	bodies. The Bill therefore, refers to SAQA for recognition purposes. The sub-section

The Bill caters for the Geometics Profession	problematic. Subsection 2(ii) stipulates that at least four but no more than five people must represent voluntary
	Composition of Council Section 4(1) The composition of the council is
	should be removed; it is unnecessary and will lead to this bill being challenged in the courts.
	Any and all reference to SAQA in this Bill
	existing and future areas of expertise and
	background – it should in no way serve to
	lay the foundation to a Geomaticians
	persons to academic models. In the case of Geomatics while academic models might
•	strictly linking the competency of Geomatics
	By expressly double legislating one is
	established professional body of people is
	continue to perform work for which an
	surely intended to include the right to
***************************************	the law" while noting that (2) "Equality
should be retained.	"right to equal protection and benefit from
recognition purposes. The sub-section	provisions enshrined in Chapter 2 (9) of the
requirements are mandatory to professional	the constitution, namely the inequality
SAQA is a statutory body and its framework	Constitutional rights that surveyors have in
	In addition to this, the repeated referral to
should be retained.	legislate.

Professional in the full time employ of DME, it therefore does not warrant amendment.	to reflect that such a Geomatics practitioner should be registered in the mining category — else what would be the purpose of referring to the Dept of Mineral Resources.
disciplines.	Section 4(1)a(iii) The Department of Mineral Resources can nominate any Geomatics Practitioner, this should change
Not all disciplines should during every tenure of the Council. The Council in its day to day operations caters for all Geomatics	Thus Section 4(b)ii should change to reflect that the voluntary organisations should account for at least seven members of council.
	(Please note that not all institutes have been mentioned).
	We are of the view that in addition to the six sub domains which the institutes represent, the youth should also have an input.
	PLATO, SAGI, GISSA, IMSSA and the departments assistance.
	better network between young and old –
	younger generation. An organisation already exists in South Africa called the
	sometimes very different to those of the
	interests of the youth. The interests and
	Institutes or voluntary bodies. In addition to this, the bill ignores the
	these sub domains are covered by various
	representation from each sub domain of
which is gender and age inclusive.	six professional categories. To be fair,

Section 4(9) is problematic as it is a very subjective matter as to when a person can or cannot perform their duties. It is vague and arbitrary. Specific timeframes should be stated. We are deeply concerned about having a council that cannot perform its	Section 4(8) – for the council to function properly on a day to day basis, the chairperson should not be a member in the employ of the state.	Section 4(a) is problematic as in the case of a recognised voluntary organisations, the minister is not required to consult or indeed necessarily ask for their nominations – the legal effects of this is that somebody may represent a voluntary organisation other than that organisations appointed person!
The current president of the Council is a principal in private practice, but still manages to run the Council in his capacity as president, it is therefore possible for a Geomatics professional in the employ of the state to do likewise, owing to the fact that the day to day affairs the Council are administered by the Registrar of the Council. Note: Section 8 (1) (a) (i) The comment is speculative. However, it is noted that the Section deals with the tenure of member or office bearers and not what is contained in the comment.	The Minister is responsible for ensuring the proper implementation of the Bill and good governance of the Geomatics Profession. It should therefore be his or her prerogative underpin his or her accountability by appointing the appropriate chairperson. The members of the Council cannot assume this accountability.	The section as quoted, does not exist in the Bill.

	bringing the profession into disrepute. A persons conduct can be such that be	This is a regulation form
	she does harm to the good name of the profession as a whole	a roganatory issue.
	This item should be reworded to - not deliberately do anything calculated to unjustly or unfairly injure the reputation of another registered person or the Geomatics Profession.	
77 (0.0)	Offences and Penalties Section 35(8) This is very confusing. The ability to do Geomatics work is through the individual, this Bill does not licence companies or partnerships. Secondly this section implies that the directors need not be registered persons.	It is concurred that Section 35 (8) be amended to incorporate the suitably amended provisions of Section 27A of the Professional and Technical Surveyors Act, 1984 (Act 40, 1984)
- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	There is therefore the possibility of persons outside of the Geomatics profession owning a company and employing a Geomatician to perform certain Geomatics functions. The directors (who don't do any Geomatics work) can then place undue pressure on the registered person to perform certain functions with no liability whatsoever on the company – or if they run into difficulties, they can liquidate the company but the "employed" registered person will still have the legal obligation to finish such work. In the case of reserved work, a company cannot be appointed, only the individual for that company can be appointed.	
S	We propose that section 27A of the	

1(1)There is currently no definition for professional GISc practitioner. There is, however, one for professional land surveyor. This is indicative of the current	Definition for professional GISc practitioner	Although GISc practitioner use information collected by "a person who exercises skills and competencies. In the science of measurement" (i.e. surveyor), they need not necessarily have these skills (if they do, then they all need to be surveyors). The term "administration" is also biased towards land surveying and "management of land, sea and structures" would be a better description of what GISc practitioners do with geospatial information. We consequently suggest that the definition be amended to: "geomatics practitioner" means a person who exercises skills and competencies in the science of measurement and Jor the collection, assessment and application of geographic information for the efficient management of land, the sea and structures thereon or therein, as contemplated in section 2(a), and who is registered in one or more of the braches of geomatics and in one or more of the categories contemplated in section 13(4)(b),(c) and (d)	proofition of the definition of "geomatics" is bigged to the definition of "geomatics" in bigged to the definition of th
The branch encompasses ALL categories of geomatics fraternity.		the definition of Geomatics Practitioners as defined in the Bill and encompasses ALL sciences of measurement.	Section 1 (1) as these categories fall within

The Land Survey Acts definition of	to incorporate all disciplines of Geomatics and functions, it may require a slightly different definition for different branch's of Geomatics.	The Land Survey Act defines supervision but only in relation to certain categories of surveyors (Geomaticians). It would be critical that this Bill adopt the same definition of supervision but suitably worded	supervision of a registered person and such registered person assumes responsibility for any work so performed." This is a very dangerous section and the implications of which depend on the definition of supervision – which is not defined in this Bill. This must be defined.	Registration of Persons Section 13(2)a states that "a person may not practice in or perform any work, whether for reward or otherwise which is reserved for any of the categories or branches referred to in subsection (1) unless he or she is registered in that category or branch or he or she performs such work under the	recognises that council may recognise any qualification or examination irrespective of SAQA processes. – Contradictions and uncertainty in the Bill. This section should be removed.
disciplines is not subject to examination.	It should be also noted that cadastral land survey work is subject to examination in terms of the Land Survey Act, hence the need for proper definition of supervision.	subsection (1) unless he or she is registered in that category or branch or he or she performs such work under the supervision of a registered person of the same discipline and such registered person assumes responsibility for any work so performed.	13(1)	The issue of supervision is sufficiently addressed in this sub-section of the Bill. However, we propose that an insertion of the following words of the same discipline be made to the sub-section to read as follows:	The comment in respect of the provisions of Section 8 (d) vi, is misleading and out of context in that it does not reflect what is provided for in this sub-section.

Professional Conduct Section 18(6)a - no provision is made for	corner points or other objects which were previously connected to or based upon reference marks or trigonometrical stations are inspected by an assistant; and (b) adequate control by the land surveyor when beacons are placed and surveyed by an assistant;	participation by the land surveyor during the reconnaissance as to ensure the sound determination of the beacons, comer points or other objects: Provided that the land surveyor shall not be compelled to be present or to participate when any such beacons,	(a) the personal presence of the land surveyor when existing beacons, corner points or other objects which must be surveyed are inspected and pointed out to an assistant, with such	In terms of the Professional and Technical Surveyors' Act, 1984 (Act No. 40 of 1984), (in this definition referred to as "an assistant"), means—	"supervise" or "supervision", when used in relation to a survey and the field operations by any person registered as a professional surveyor, professional surveyor in training or technical surveyor
					σ.

1 from private sector 4(1) (a) Someone from the Committee on	4(1) (a) & 1 (1) (b) Composition of Council – Representation from the GIS industry must be included.	the design, development, establishment and administration of geospatial technologies (e.g. geographic information systems and remote sensing) and the collection, storage, analysis, visualisation and management of geo-spatial information;	2(a)(iv) The section concerned with GISc in the description of the geomatics profession should be amended to:	Will this "branch" also include educators such as teachers/ lecturers of geo-spatial information science?	1(1) "branch" means a specific specialisation field of geomatics including, but not limited to, land surveying, topographical surveying, engineering surveying, mine surveying and geo-spatial information science of any other specialisation field which may from time to time be determined by the Minister by notice in the Gazette;	bill's plas towards surveying.
the Section referred to and further elaboration of description contained in the Section referred. These comments are sufficiently addressed in the Sections referred to.			This comment is sufficiently addressed in the Section referred to and further elaboration of description contained in the			

Spatial information (CSI) must be		
represented on the Committee.		
4 (1) (b) We request that Geospatial information Science be provided with more representation or are guaranteed of a certain level of representation as their numbers will grow significantly when this Bill / Act is promulgated and will need to be "equal" to the surveyors if not represented		
4 (1) (b) (i) Minimum of 1 from GISSA		in the Sections referred to.
4 (1) (d) Can this be anyone or is it mandatory for this public rep to have geomatics interest and / or experience.		
What are the constraints on choosing such a person? This is very open-ended and needs to be expressed i.t. o a member of the public with a specific interest in the Goematics profession (e.g. professional town planner, conveyance, ect)		This comment falls within the administrative imperative of the Council to be established in terms of Section 4 of the Bill.
4 (7) it should also be Law that the chairman and deputy chairman of the council cannot be both Surveyor by trade one must come from a GIS back ground.		This comment fall within the administrative imperative of the Council to be established in terms of Section 4 of the Bill.
5 (1) (b) the word "non rehabilitated" rather than unrehabilitated.		The comment is irrelevant to the objects of the Bill.
8 (1) (b) (ii) (aa) What branch will the current Professional GISc practitioners be		This comment fall within the administrative
	THE PARTY OF THE P	This confident fall within the administrative

entitled to practise in : geo- Information Science?	imperative of the Council to be established	o be established
8 (1) (e) Must be moved to the Finance Section (12)	in terms of Section 4 of the Bill	9 Bill.
9 (6) & 9 (10) (1) What is a majority of the members which will then constitute a quorum? More than two thirds or?		
11 We presume the idea of the remuneration is to reimburse an individual for expenses he/she might have incurred to attend the meeting. The idea is not to earn a salary. If money comes into play, people might not represent their category without bias.	This comment fall within the administrative imperative of the Council as it will formulate its rules.	e administrative s it will formulate
12 (5) will the 14 days be after the closure of the financial year? How will registered members know when the statement and balance sheet is available for public inspection?	This is a regulatory issue which will be addressed by regulations to be promulgated	hich will be be promulgated
13 Will there be subsection under the categories, for example:	amendment of the Bill.	equent to the
Professional Geomatics Practitioner		
 Professional GISc Practitioner 		
What will be the Title of Geomatics Professional? Will it be Geomatics Professional under the branch (category) Geospatial Infromation Science (GISc)	This comment is sufficiently addressed in Section 1 (1) as these categories fall within the definition of Geomatics Practitioners as	addressed in ories fall within ractitioners as

The state of the s	Where and how will the categories and the reserved work per 'branch' be compiled and agree upon and then published? I am concerned that some work will be reserved under a branch but there might be cross cutting functions. I am assuming this actually refers to non-surveyors doing surveys work for which they are not qualified.	13 (2) If effectively Surveyors and GIS practitioners will be registered under the same categories, as seemingly is what this Bill hopes to achieve, how would the public know who has the right specification for the job they need?	13 (1) Should registration categories (land surveyor, photogrammetric surveyor, ect.) not be explicitly mentioned?	13 (1) Where will the GIS person fit in? Will there be a diferrent section for GIS professional person register presently with PLATO?	13 (1) We think it would be advisable that a category for "educators" be established?	13 In fact the Bill/Act is a bit thin on what the requirements are for the diferrent levels and 'branches' will be. Will these be covered in the Rules and Regulations?	
The same of the sa	mpiled and learned learned learned learned learned learned learnes learnes learned learnes lea	id GIS The swhat this the public tion for the	ories (land eyor, ect.)	n fit in? Will SIS sently with	isable that a ₃blished?	n on what errent levels se be lations?	
	This comment falls within the administrative imperative of the Council and the question of who must do survey work is sufficiently addressed in the Land Survey Act.	The Bill does not seek to mingle registration of ALL categories that fall within the definition of a Geomatics Practitioner as separate registers are going to be maintained.		These comments are sufficiently addressed in Section 36(7)	WING WILL OF LINE BILL.	This is a regulatory issue which will be addressed by regulations to be promulgated in terms of Section 30 subsequent to the	

that only Surveyors are registered under the PLATO Act, and thus the old Act's definition of registered professionals is only extended to the include assessment of geographic information, the problem is GISc professionals registered under the same Act cannot be imposed to the same candidature assessment methods as	describes geomatics technicians, technologists and professionals. These roles in a similar way to that of the GIS technicians, technologist and professionals roles. Therefore if i register with PLATO/ Under the new bill as a GIS Technologist for instance do i become recognized under this new draft bill in the equivalent geomatics Technologist?	13 (4) Provision need to be made for the recognition of "old" practitioners who do not have formal qualification, but is experience based i.e. grandfather clause incorporated?	Is it correctly to say that if you want to do GIS work in South African you must be registered under the Geomatics Bill to earn an income? If this is the case, what will the committee do if people don't register? What due course do they have over non members to discipline and pursue them under the law.
d 37	4 5 ==	not ce	o arm the that
This issue falls within the administrative imperative of the Council to be established.	The Bill does not seek to mingle registration of ALL categories that fall within the definition of a Geomatics Practitioner as separate registers are going to be maintained and this comment is sufficiently addressed in Section 36 (7).	This issue falls within the administrative imperative of the Council as well as the Education and Training Committee to be established.	This comment falls within the administrative imperative of the Council and it is sufficiently addressed in Section 35 (6) of the Bill.

36 (3) In terms of owere in the proces PLATO Act what he for this registration	36.(3) Would the registration as a geomatics professional be signific different to the OLD registration LPLATO Act?	36 (3) In general registration with F classification?	36 (3) What happen already a Registered PLATO at the comm There seems transitions of about registe Do we simply certificate or a through the sassessments Will only survivative for registed Professional of Won't we haw professional gin the branch of the same professional of the same professional of the same professional of the branch of the same professional of the sa	regulations to p be covered, but BillAct per se.
36 (3) In terms of GISc professional who were in the process of registering under the PLATO Act what happens to their fees paid for this registration since the new Bill does	36.(3) Would the registration as a geomatics professional be significantly different to the OLD registration under the PLATO Act?	36 (3) In general: How will the existing registration with PLATO converted to this classification?	36 (3) What happens to someone who is already a Registered GISc Practitioner with PLATO at the commencement of this Bill There seems to be mention of the transitions of surveyors but silent about registered GISc Practitioners. Do we simply apply and get a new certificate or do we have to go through the same competency assessments. Will only surveying professionals have to re-register? What about the Professional GISc practitioner? Won't we have have to reregister as professional geomatics practitioners in the branch of GIS?	regulations to put people at rest that this will be covered, but not necessarily by the BillAct per se.
				
			These issues are sufficiently addressed in the Section referred to and more particularly in Section 36 (7)	
			addressed in ore particularly	

disadvantage them as their qualification are not the same. How is this distinction made in the Bill?	
17 Shouldn't SAGI, GISSA and the other established bodies be defined in the Bill/Act to try and ensure that we do not have	
multiple associations diluting the roles of the voluntary associations?	This comment is irrelevant to the objects and the purpose of the Bill.
18 It seems to talk more about registered professional what about unregistered professionals performing the same functions as registered ones? What can the council do about such individuals?	This is a regulatory issue which will be addressed by regulations to be promulgated in terms of Section 30 subsequent to the amendment of the Bill and it falls within the administrative imperative of the Council
18 In protection of the public from unethical geomatics practise, whos is allowed to lodge a complaint about geomatics professionals whether registered or unregistered and t which structure? Following what process?	This is a regulatory issue which will be addressed by regulations to be promulgated in terms of Section 30 subsequent to the amendment of the Bill and it falls within the administrative imperative of the Council.
22 What rights would an accused registered person have to access to all reports by investigation officer or witness's statement in order to appeal any judgement against them?	All rights that is available in terms of Section 35 of the Republic of South Africa as well as at common law.
30 When will the geomatics (and specifically GIS profession) regulations be published?	
30 Maybe define the types of information	This issue will be addressed following the enactment of the Bill.

Nowhere in the current bill has there been any reference to the Consumer protection Act (Act No68 of 2008). This act has had severe impact on various other (relate) professional industries in the way they interact with clients, their guarantees and deliverables and time frames. Would the	General Will the bill/act influence the registration of people that is currently busy with the registration process?	General Transformation must be defined more clearly.	program kicks in.
There is no need to make specific reference, as it is ordinary that the Consumer Protection Act will be applicable to services rendered by Geomatics Practitioners.	These issues are sufficiently addressed in the Section referred to and more particularly in Section 36 (7)	Defining transformation Geomatics profession as a process of changing form one state to another will be a limitation to the capacity of the Council to develop a transformation programme which will ensure that the Geomatics Profession moves from what it is today to a profession that is more inclusive in terms of demographics, representivity, skills transfer, scarce skills development, economic empowerment as well as adopting a bottom-up approach to continuous improvement and ensuring external monitoring which is sensitive to internal procedures and values without compromising evolving standards.	

person	***************************************
	impact of the Consumer Protection Act have on our industry be something that will be dealt with in amendments in the future?