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**2615. Mr Z N Mbhele (DA) to ask the Minister of Police:**

1. With reference to his repeated statements that he stands by the findings of his report on Nkandla on the basis that the technical findings were made by security experts, why did he not advise the President, Mr Jacob G Zuma, to subject the Public Protector’s Secure in Comfort report to judicial review for alleged flawed findings;
2. whether each of the specified security experts were employees of the SA Police Service; if not, (a) at which organisation(s) was each of the experts employed and (b) what are the further relevant details in this regard; if so, (i) what are the (aa) names and (bb) ranks of each of the experts and (ii) in which (aa) divisions and/or (bb) components did each of the experts work?
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**REPLY:**

1. The mandate of the Minister of Police with regards to Nkandla upgrades was in terms of the ad hoc committee report adopted by a resolution of the National Assembly in November 2014. According to this resolution, the Minister of Police was to consider, in the light of the Public Protector’s findings, what constitutes security and non-security feature to determine the personal liability of the President if any. It was not part of the brief of the Minister of Police to advise the President, Mr J G Zuma on the legal steps that needed to be taken.
2. In compiling the report, information, analysis and contextualisation were solicited from, among other experts, security experts within the South African Police Service and State Security Agent. The Minister is of the view that names, ranks and divisions of the said experts are not relevant as they were representing the organisations that they work for and not acting in their personal capacities.